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Preface

It is not easy to understand why the remarkable historical memoir which goes under the name De expugnatione Lyxbonensi should hitherto have attracted so little attention and remained so little known as appears to be the case. The episode with which it deals—the Christian conquest of Lisbon from the Moors in 1147—was not only the sole important success achieved by the Second Crusade; it was an event of the utmost importance in the early development of the Portuguese monarchy; and, since it was the first notable success in a series of similar enterprises by northern crusaders, it laid the foundation for an intercourse and cooperation between England and the Low Countries, on the one hand, and Portugal, on the other, which were destined to have a far-reaching effect on the maritime and commercial development of both regions. Moreover, the period in question is one for which there is a general dearth of important contemporary histories. William Stubbs once remarked of the middle years of the twelfth century that they are “more scantily illustrated by contemporary historians” than any other period in the history of England after the ninth century; and R. L. Poole has recently observed that, Otto of Freising notwithstanding, the situation is but little better with respect to the Continent;¹ and all students of the Second Crusade are aware how unsatisfactory are the accounts of it which we possess when placed in comparison with the great histories which the First Crusade inspired. It would seem, therefore, that so detailed, dependable, and informative a narrative as the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi should be regarded as doubly precious.

Moreover, the conquest of Lisbon was, as Henry of Huntingdon well expressed it, the achievement of “lesser folk who

were dependent upon no great leader except omnipotent God.”¹ The modern world feels an immense interest in these obscure “lesser folk” who were so largely overlooked and ignored by most writers of the Middle Ages, and in the pages of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi they live once more before us. For the author of this work was himself one of them. He shared their interests and emotions. And being an enthusiastic and active participant in the stirring events which he records, as well as an alert observer with an instinctive understanding of all things human, he has succeeded to an extraordinary degree in recreating and preserving in rude, but for the most part simple and direct, language the atmosphere of a crusade.

The De expugnatione Lyxbonensi has long been available in print, having been published in Portugal in 1861 under the editorship of Alexandre Herculano and in England in 1864 under that of William Stubbs. Both were scholars who stood in the very front rank of the historical profession in their respective countries; and it is unpleasant to have to say that at this point their work was unsatisfactory, particularly in view of the fact that the present editor has so often profited from it, as will be evident to all users of the present volume. But editorial standards have been greatly advanced in the last seventy-five years, and it also seems apparent that neither Stubbs nor Herculano gave his best efforts to this work. In any case the texts which they produced are inaccurate and unsatisfactory, and their treatment of editorial problems is very inadequate. Thus this remarkable source has remained unattractive and difficult to use.

I cannot claim to have solved all the problems with which I have been confronted in the course of the present undertaking. But I have done my utmost to produce an accurate text and to provide such information as is necessary for its convenient and intelligent use. Those who enjoy great familiarity with the Bible and with the writings of the church fathers will doubtless discover some passages derived therefrom which I have failed to note; but I hope that, building

¹ See below, pp. 12-13.
upon the excellent work which was begun by Stubbs, I have succeeded in indicating all the more important derivations from these sources.

In adding a translation into English I have been influenced by the belief that the history of the Lisbon crusade can never again be told with such vividness and directness as in this original narrative and that this is a record of sufficient interest to deserve a wider circle of readers than would be willing or able to use it in the Latin text. Endeavoring to make the translation as readable as possible, I have not hesitated to make slight departures from strict grammatical construction when the rudeness of the Latin seemed to require it. It would doubtless be objectionable to mar the English version through a slavish adherence to literalness, but there is surely no occasion to try to improve upon the original. If I have to any degree succeeded in letting the author's personality stand forth as it is revealed in his own at times curious text, I shall be more than content. Quotations from the Bible, which are often fragmentary and sometimes inaccurate, I have generally rendered in the language which has been made familiar by the King James Version in so far as the words used by the author would permit.

To Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, and to the librarian of that institution, Sir Edwyn Hoskyns, who so generously placed his treasures before me under ideal conditions for so long a time as I required, I acknowledge a very particular debt of gratitude. I am also deeply grateful to the American Council of Learned Societies for the generous assistance which enabled me not only to work in European libraries but to travel over the route of the Lisbon crusade in Portugal and Spain and make some study of important features of local topography. To the libraries of Harvard University and of Bryn Mawr College I am also under a heavy obligation. Only those who have worked in those two institutions, so different in resources but so much alike in the spirit which animates their expert and efficient staffs, can appreciate the good fortune of scholars who are privileged to use them. Space forbids
an enumeration of all the individuals who have placed me in their debt in the course of this work, but there are some whose assistance has meant too much to be covered by any general expression of gratitude. Professor Charles H. Haskins of Harvard University, notwithstanding his failing health and retirement, has been an inspiration and a help from the beginning. It is a source of profound regret that the untimely death of Professor Dana C. Munro of Princeton University has prevented me from thanking him as I should for many helpful criticisms and for reading my manuscript and urging its publication. Two accomplished Latinists, Professor Dino Bigongiari of Columbia University and Dr. T. R. S. Broughton of Bryn Mawr College, have saved me from many a pitfall. I am also much indebted to Professor Henry T. Cadbury, now of Harvard University, and to Professor Georgiana Goddard King and Dr. Stephen J. Herben of Bryn Mawr College. Professor King has not only put her extraordinary knowledge of mediaeval Spain at my disposal but has had the patience to read the whole of my manuscript and criticize it in detail. The skillful pen of my wife has been mainly responsible for the map and plan. To Professor Austin P. Evans, editor of the Records of Civilization, and to the authorities of the Columbia University Press I am obligated for the efficiency and care with which this volume has been handled in the printing. Finally I express my thanks to Mr. Howard L. Goodhart, whose generosity has terminated a long delay in its publication.

For the information of American scholars who may be interested in the manuscript of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, it should be noted that the photostatic copy which I have used is to be placed in the Library of Congress, in accordance with the recently devised plan whereby that institution has been made a national repository for such reproductions of foreign manuscript material.

C. W. David

Bryn Mawr College
January, 1936
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De expugnatione Lyxbonensi

The Conquest of Lisbon
MAP ILLUSTRATING THE LISBON CRUSADE
Introduction

THE ANGLO-NORMAN ARISTOCRACY IN THE EARLY HISTORY OF THE CRUSADES

To the student who views the crusading movement broadly from its inception until the conclusion of the Second Crusade, it must seem a very remarkable fact that this vast enterprise, which in one way or another came to embrace practically all the peoples of western Christendom, should have been so largely dominated by France, and that England, whose connections with the continent through Normandy and through the church were for the most part close, should appear to have played so small a part in it. It can hardly seem surprising that to the Muslims everywhere, whether in Portugal or Spain or Syria, the crusaders were known as Franks, if one pauses to consider that most of the early histories of the crusades were the work of French writers, that all the evidence goes to show that from no other region of Europe was there so great an outpouring of the population on crusade, and that in no other part of Europe did events in the Kingdom of Jerusalem claim such a continuous interest or arouse such an immediate response in time of need.

If one makes allowance for the changing conditions of the ages, it would be difficult to name any other event in the whole history of France which produced a more immediate or striking impression on contemporary French historiography than

1 The subsequent period would doubtless repay investigation, but since my present purpose is to do no more than provide the necessary background for an understanding of the full significance of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi (1147), I have made no attempt to extend the present inquiry beyond the middle of the twelfth century.

2 I do not, of course, intend to imply that there was a French nation in any modern sense during the crusading epoch. I use the word loosely, as was occasionally done by writers of the twelfth century, to designate approximately the regions which have come to be embraced in modern France.
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did the First Crusade. In an age when historical memoirs and monographs were far from common, writers in France or originating in France—several of them actual participants in the crusade—began almost at once to record its history; and within a few years we have an imposing list of works bearing such titles as *Historia Hierosolymitana*, *Historia Francorum qui ceperunt Ierusalem*, and *Gesta Dei per Francos*.\(^1\) The continuing interest in the movement in France is well illustrated by the fact that Ordericus Vitalis, writing about 1130 or 1135, thought it necessary to insert in his vast *Historia ecclesiastica* a whole book which is practically a monograph on the First Crusade, and that he devoted a considerable portion of another book to a detailed account of the far less glorious events which followed the first great victory. At a still later period the tragic fiasco of the Second Crusade produced the unique record of Odo of Deuil, the secretary and chaplain of King Louis VII, who followed his master on the expedition.

Apart from the *De expugnatione Lyxbonensi*, which is concerned with an enterprise of the humbler population which the articulate and ruling classes considered hardly worthy of their attention, one looks in vain for anything in England which is to be compared with the imposing array of works produced in France. The Anglo-Saxon chronicler declares that the preaching of Pope Urban caused “a great excitement through all this nation,” and he adds a few lines concerning the early stages of the crusading movement and then turns to other things. Florence of Worcester and Simeon of Durham insert a few scattered notices concerning the First Crusade in the course of their annals. Henry of Huntingdon gives it a dozen brief pages. But William of Malmesbury is the only writer in England who feels called upon to deal with it in any fullness; and he is embarrassed for want of information and complains a little mournfully, and in mixed metaphor, that “the fame of Asiatic affairs illumines with but a faint murmur

---
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the dark places beyond the British Ocean.1 The mission of Hugh de Payns, master of the Templars, to England and Scotland in 1128 in search of recruits for the Holy Land caused a considerable stir and received some attention from the chroniclers and local annalists; and the Second Crusade is treated in a paragraph or two by Henry of Huntingdon and in the anonymous Gesta Stephani regis Anglorum. Altogether, it must be acknowledged that these meagre and scattered notices make but a poor showing.

It is unfortunately impossible to compile any statistics with respect to the numbers of those who took the cross in different countries; but some impression of the appeal which the crusades made to the more important members of society in a given land may perhaps be gained from the number of individual crusaders who can be identified by name. It is difficult, of course, to compile extensive lists of early crusaders from any country; but it is surely significant that while two score or more crusaders can be named who marched with Robert Curthose in the north French contingent on the First Crusade,2 a diligent search has revealed the names of only two from England who joined the company, namely, the Norman William de Percy, benefactor of Whitby Abbey, and Arnulf of Hesdin, a Fleming.3 The situation is much the same in England with respect to the Second Crusade.4 I have discovered only Count Waleran of Meulan,5 William of Warenne, earl of


2 See the list of fifty-two names in my Robert Curthose, Duke of Normandy (Cambridge, Mass., 1920), pp. 221-29. This list includes the names of the two known crusaders from England as well as a number of doubtful cases.

3 Ibid., pp. 94-95, 222, 229. Edgar Aetheling and Robert, son of Godwin, evidently went on crusade at a somewhat later date. In any case, they belonged to the conquered rather than to the ruling race. On them see below, p. 24 and notes 1 and 2.

4 It is unfortunately impossible to cite any dependable list of known crusaders from France on the Second Crusade, but were such a list to be compiled, it would doubtless extend to a considerable length.

5 Robert of Torigny, Chronique (ed. Léopold Delisle, Rouen, 1872-73), I, 241; Chronicon Valassense (ed. F. Somménil, Rouen, 1868), pp. 8-9. One almost hesitates to reckon him a member of the English nobility, since at his father’s death in 1118 he received the continental fiefs, while the English went to his twin brother, Robert de Beaumont,
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Surrey, and Roger of Mowbray, of whom it may be said with certainty that they joined the expedition of the king of France; but to these must probably be added Philip, a son of Earl Robert of Gloucester, and William of Dover, one of Earl Robert's knights, and perhaps also Roger de Clinton, bishop of Coventry. Between the First and the Second Crusades there is record of some individuals who took the cross in England, but the number is small indeed. In 1102 Ivo of earl of Leicester, and since throughout his life he appears not to have used an English title in any known document. But he was often in England and played an ambitious rôle there during the early years of Stephen's reign, having been betrothed to the king's two-year-old daughter in 1136 and having received an important grant in the city of Worcester, perhaps as her marriage portion. He deserted the cause of Stephen for that of the Empress Matilda and her husband in 1141; but the importance of his position in Worcestershire both before and after this date is certain; and it now seems to have been demonstrated, particularly from a study of his seals, that he held the title of earl of Worcester, both under the king and under the empress, for a number of years, perhaps having received the grant of the earldom from Stephen in 1138. G. H. White, "King Stephen's Earldoms," in Royal Historical Society, Transactions, 4th ser., XIII (1930), 51, 55-72. On his whole career see now G. H. White, "The Career of Walera, Count of Meulan and Earl of Worcester," ibid., XVII (1934), 19-48.


2 John of Hexham, loc. cit.


4 Ibid., 113-14.

5 He died and was buried at Antioch. William Dugdale, Monasticon Anglicanum (new ed., London, 1817-30), VI, 1242. The date of his death is given as 16 April, 1148, on what authority I have failed to discover. See Ordericus Vitalis, Historia ecclesiastica (ed. Auguste Le Prévost, Paris, 1838-55), IV, 428, note 1; William Stubbs, Registrum sacrum Anglicanum (2d ed., Oxford, 1897), p. 44.

The name of Walter Fitz Gilbert (de Clare), brother of the first earl of Pembroke, should possibly be added, though there is no certainty as to the date of his pilgrimage. See R. Howlett, in Chronicles of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II, and Richard I, III, p. xxxviii.

Odo of Deuil (De Ludovici VII profectione in Orientem, in Migne, CLXXXV, 1210) mentions a body of Normans and English under Arnulf, bishop of Lisieux, which joined the forces of Louis VII after he had crossed the Rhine, but we hear no more of this contingent, and it is evident that it can have been of no great importance.
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Grandmesnil, a Norman, who had been on the First Crusade and had caused a public scandal by his flight from Antioch, and who, on his return to the West, had been so rash as to engage in private war in England, was brought to justice before the court of King Henry I. Being covered with shame because of his record of cowardice and despairing of ever regaining the king’s friendship, he found it advisable to pledge his lands for a loan to Count Robert of Meulan and go a second time on crusade. Departing with his wife, who is said to have been a daughter of Gilbert of Ghent, the restorer of Bardney Abbey, he died somewhere on the way.\(^1\) It is recorded that Robert de Baskervill returned from Jerusalem to Gloucester in 1199;\(^2\) and from beyond the Welsh border it is reported that Morgan, son of Cadwgyn, who had slain his brother, went to Jerusalem propter fratricidium in 1128 and died in Cyprus on the way home.\(^3\) At about the same time, or shortly afterwards, the prominent and wealthy Londoner, Roger “nepos Huberti,” father of the better-known Gervase de Cornhill, set out for Jerusalem; and it is probable that he also did not live to return.\(^4\)

To judge from the statements of the chroniclers, one might suppose that the rising military order of the Templars made a strong appeal to the generous impulses of the propertied classes of England.\(^5\) The mission of Hugh de Payns, master

---

\(^1\) David, Robert Curthose, pp. 108, 139.


\(^3\) Annales Cambriæ (ed. John Williams ab Ithel, London, 1860), p. 38. A Johannes Anglicus and a Guîllemus Anglicus are to be met with in documents of the Kingdom of Jerusalem, of the years 1144 and 1146; but it would be rash to conclude from their names alone that they were crusaders from England. Reinhold Röhrich, Regesta regni Hierosolymitani (Innsbruck, 1893), Nos. 226, 229, 242.

\(^4\) For all that is known about him, see J. H. Round, Geoffrey de Mandeville (London, 1892), pp. 305–306, 308–309; idem, The Commune of London (Westminster, 1899), p. 107. He was dead and his son had succeeded to his estate in 1130. Round has shown that he was joint sheriff of London in 1125.

of the Templars, in 1128 is thus described in the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*:

In the same year Hugh of the Temple came from Jerusalem to the king in Normandy; and the king received him with much honor and gave him much treasure in gold and silver. And afterwards he sent him to England, and there he was received by all good men, and all gave him treasures; and in Scotland also. And they sent in all a great sum of gold and silver by him to Jerusalem. And he invited the people out to Jerusalem; and there went with him and after him so great a number as never before since the first expedition in the days of Pope Urban. Yet it availed little: he said that there was a furious war between the Christians and the heathens, and when they came there it was nothing but lying. Thus were all these people miserably betrayed.¹

This passage seems impressive; yet we know of no individual crusader from England who followed Hugh de Payns back to Jerusalem;² and in the final observation of the chronicler we may detect a note of realism, not to say of cynicism, which suggests but scant enthusiasm for the crusades or for the Templars in England. But the best test of the English attitude towards the Templars is doubtless to be found in the surviving charters of donations which were made in their favor. Hugh de Payns may have gathered “a great sum of gold and silver” during his sojourn in England and Scotland, but there appears to be no record of grants of land or endowments to the Templars before the close of Henry I’s reign. They fared considerably better during the reign of Stephen, when enthusiasm for the endowment of religious houses was at its height among the English nobility³ and when the king and queen led the way in conferring favors upon them.⁴ But there was no St. Bernard

¹ *Ibid.* The translation is that of J. A. Giles, with slight modifications.
² It is possible that Morgan, son of Cadwgan, and Roger “nepos Huberti,” who have just been referred to, were of his company, but direct evidence on the point is lacking.
⁴ Clarence Perkins, “The Knights Templars in the British Isles,” in *English Historical Review*, XXV (1910), 213; *Cartulaire général de l’Ordre du Temple, 1119-1150*. 


to be their advocate in England as he was in France; and while
we have some two score charters in their favor down to about
1150, these make but an unimpressive array when placed in
comparison with the approximately three hundred charters
on their behalf which have survived from the same period in
France.1

It seems clear, therefore, that so far as the upper or ruling
classes were concerned—the classes whose interests are nat-
urally reflected by the chroniclers and in the charters of the
period—the crusades aroused an interest and called forth a
support in England which compare but poorly with the en-
thusiasm, generosity, and ambition which they awakened in
France. A partial explanation of this English lukewarmness
is perhaps to be found in the attitude of certain of the English
kings. We know what considerable efforts were made by
Pope Urban, through his agent, the abbot of Saint-Bénigne
di Dijon, to conclude a treaty of peace between England and
Normandy in 1096, so that Robert Curthose might be free
to lead a Norman contingent on the First Crusade.2 There
is no evidence that any parallel effort was made to promote the
crusade in England, and it does not seem a very rash con-
jecture that it was recognized that such an attempt would meet
with small success. We do not know that William Rufus was
actively opposed to the preaching of the crusades in England,
but such was almost certainly the attitude of Henry I, at
least during the early years of his reign. In 1106 Bohemond,
prince of Antioch, visited France and other regions of the
North for the purpose of recruiting an army for a crusade
against the eastern emperor. Before leaving Italy on his north-

1 Caritulaire général de l'Ordre du Temple, passim. The best account of the early
history of the Templars in England is now to be found in the introduction to the recent
dition of Records of the Templars in England in the Twelfth Century by Beatrice A. Lees,
especially pp. xxxviii ff. Miss Lees finds "about sixty charters, royal and private, issued
during Stephen's reign in favor of the English Templars."

2 David, Robert Curthose, pp. 90-91.
ward journey, he sent representatives to Henry I in England, who explained the nature of the enterprise and besought an invitation to the English royal court; but the king, fearing that Bohemond might draw away his choice fighting forces, sent word that he ought not to risk the dangers of a winter crossing of the English Channel, particularly in view of the fact that the king himself would be in Normandy before Easter and would meet him there.¹ We know of no knights from England who joined the forces of Bohemond against the Greek emperor; but we learn significantly of a Norman, Robert of Montfort, who was put on trial before the Norman curia in 1107 for breaking the peace and who, being granted permission to go to Jerusalem, went to join the forces of Bohemond in Apulia.² The case is strikingly like that of Ivo of Grandmesnil, above mentioned. King Henry was willing enough to see rebels and peace-breakers depart on crusade, but not his loyal vassals. Later in his reign, when he felt absolutely secure in his rule of both Normandy and England, his attitude may well have undergone some change, for, as has been seen, he lent his countenance to the mission of Hugh de Payns, master of the Templars.

Of the attitude of King Stephen towards the crusades nothing certain is known; but that it was not unfavorable would seem to be a fair inference from his generosity towards the Templars. Nevertheless, there was evidently no great outpouring of the English nobility on the Second Crusade,³ and it is a fact of some interest that some, at least, who went had fought on the losing side against the king in the civil war.⁴

But the royal attitude alone, whatever it was, can hardly

² Ordericus Vitalis, Historia ecclesiastica, IV, 239.
³ The statement of the writer of the Gesta Stephani regis Anglorum (Chronicles of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II, and Richard I, III, 122) might lead to a different conclusion were it not so highly rhetorical and were it not for the meagreness of the evidence concerning individual crusaders, which has been pointed out above.
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have been the controlling factor in determining the interest of the English upper classes in the crusades. Some deeper and more fundamental explanation is required, and I venture to suggest that the Anglo-Norman aristocracy were not greatly drawn towards the Holy Land primarily because, for this class, England itself was so great a land of opportunity. Less a land of adventure than the Iberian Peninsula or the Orient, it was perhaps the greatest land of opportunity anywhere in the world in the epoch with which we are here concerned. A recently conquered country with a government which, for the most part, was strong and efficient and stable, there was no place else where an ambitious and talented man might hope to win wealth and honor and a successful career so readily, provided he enjoyed the favor of the king. Under Stephen there was, to be sure, a period of royal weakness and great disorder; but the powerful and the ambitious were often able to turn the civil war to their own advantage by playing off one side against the other. Even the church, from which the Anglo-Saxon prelates had been removed to make way for the Norman, the Italian, and the French, offered opportunities for the ambitious and the able which were hardly to be matched elsewhere. It was only when a career in England had made shipwreck that an ambitious man was likely to turn his attention towards the East. The case of Ivo of Grandmesnil in 1102 may well be regarded as typical. That of Arnulf of Hesdin was evidently much like it. Notwithstanding the fact that he had been falsely accused of complicity in the conspiracy of Robert of Mowbray and had won his case in trial by battle, he still withdrew from England, tanto dolore et ira commotus, and joined the First Crusade. And a much more prominent member of that expedition was the Breton, Ralph de Gael, the one-time earl of Norfolk, who, having been driven from England by the Conqueror, found an English career closed to him. Analogous cases are to be met with in Normandy under Henry I; that of Robert of Montfort in 1107 has already been noted; likewise in 1128, upon the death of William Clito,

1 David, Robert Curthose, p. 222.  2 Ibid., p. 226.  3 Above, p. 10.
son of Robert Curthose and potential claimant to the English throne, some of his late supporters made their peace with King Henry, if they could, but others, we are told, took the cross and went to Jerusalem.\textsuperscript{1} In such cases the crusades offered a tempting avenue of escape from a difficult situation; but for the successful members of the upper classes, the evidence seems to indicate that England was hardly a land from which one would be inclined through personal interest to depart.

\textbf{EARLY CRUSAADING ENTERPRISES OF THE MARITIME POPULATIONS OF ENGLAND AND THE LOW COUNTRIES}

Whatever be the truth about the crusading interest of the English ruling classes, there was a humbler element in the population for whom England under the rule of the Norman monarchy may not have seemed such a land of opportunity, and it is not necessary to explain in terms of religious zeal alone the apparent enthusiasm with which the middle and lower classes turned to the crusades. For it is evident that by the beginning of the crusading epoch considerable numbers of this population had developed a way of life upon the sea (whether commercial or piratical) which led them to see in the crusades opportunities for gain which were singularly appealing. It is for the light which it sheds on this seafaring population—which is so largely overlooked in other sources of the period—that the \textit{De expugnatione Lyxbonensi} will be seen to possess perhaps its greatest interest.

The hardy maritime crusaders of the Lisbon expedition are, to be sure, not wholly ignored by other writers of the time. Odo of Deuil refers to them as the \textit{maritimi} and notes the beginning of their enterprise;\textsuperscript{2} Henry of Huntingdon knows

\footnotetext[1]{Ordericus Vitalis, \textit{Historia ecclesiastica}, IV, 483. According to the chronicle of Hyde Abbey (\textit{Liber monasterii de Hyde}, ed. Edward Edwards, London, 1866, pp. 320–21), in 1120, when the fortunes of William Clito were at a low ebb, he sent messengers to King Henry and besought him to release his father from captivity, and promised, if his request were granted, to depart with him for Jerusalem and never again to appear this side the Julian Alps. Compare David, \textit{Robert Curthose}, p. 184.}

\footnotetext[2]{"Interea fama volat, Angliam transfretat, et aliarm recessus penetrat insularum. Parent naves maritimi cum rege navigio processuri." \textit{De Ludovici VII profectione in Orientem}, in Migne, CLXXXV, 1208.}
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them as “the lesser folk who were dependent on no great leader except omnipotent God,” and he is unstinting in his praise of their achievement, contrasting their brilliant success with the disastrous failure of the Second Crusade at Damascus.¹ But it is only from the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi that one can gain a clear view of this enterprise and of the status and interests of the people who were engaged in it. Here we are confronted with a very considerable body of active, adventurous men. It is made up of both English and Norman elements, but the nobility has practically no part in it. (No one except Saher of Archelle is ever referred to as a lord.)² It is recruited primarily from the eastern and southern counties, and especially from the seaports all the way from the harbors of East Anglia to Bristol. These men are not only accustomed to the sea, but they are accustomed to acting together in an organized way. Before they set out from England they form a sworn association and establish drastic regulations for the maintenance of discipline. They choose their own judges (iudices et coniurati), one of whose functions is evidently the equitable distribution of the profits or spoils of their enterprise. They recognize leadership where there is talent, but major decisions respecting plans and agreements are arrived at in public assembly and debate. They treat the king of Portugal with democratic nonchalance and haggle over the terms on which they will serve him in his struggle with the Moors. Whatever the religious motives of their enterprise, it is expected to be profitable. The chances of profit in this plan or in that are made the subject of earnest debate, and no fine


² Though his part in the enterprise was evidently secondary to that of Hervey de Glanvill, he is repeatedly called dominus.
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distinction is drawn between the profits of piracy and the rewards of more legitimate enterprise.

Moreover, these men are able to deal—though, to be sure, with some difficulty—in organized cooperation with other men of their own kind from beyond the Straits of Dover and the North Sea, and it would seem not unlikely that the combined forces from the territory of Boulogne, from Flanders, and from the region of Cologne in 1147 actually outnumbered the English contingent.¹

How far back such cooperation between the maritime populations of England and the Low Countries may go, it seems impossible to say. The surviving evidence indicates that a seafaring and commercial life had been developed in Flanders and Frisia and Lower Lotharingia much earlier than in England, and it is not unlikely that the English learned it from the merchants of these regions. The commercial activities of these merchants in London can be traced in a well-known list of market tolls of the reign of Aethelred the Redeless, probably dating from between the years 991 and 1002; and it may be noted that already the subjects of the emperor who came in their ships (homines imperatoris qui veniebant in navibus suis) enjoyed a privileged position.² Much more extensive regulations concerning the activities of these men of the emperor, who are identified with the merchants of Lower Lotharingia,³ are believed to date from before the reign of Henry II, perhaps from about the year 1130.⁴ Information concerning the Flem-

¹ Henry of Huntingdon, in the passage quoted above (p. 13, note 1) claims numerical superiority for the English; but the fact that the continentals were given a preponderance of the select troops that were sent into the city in advance to occupy the strategic positions at the time of the surrender of Lisbon would seem to indicate that the English were in the minority. See below, pp. 172-75. Continental writers not unnaturally assign to the English a secondary rôle on this occasion.


⁴ Ibid., XVII (1902), 483, 495; cf. Konstantin Hohlbaum, in Hansisches Urkundenbuch, III (1882-86), 388-90. Attention may also be drawn to the well-known description
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ings is less satisfactory, for they do not figure in these commercial regulations of the first half of the twelfth century. But they are mentioned in connection with the market tolls of Aethelred’s reign; and the Flemish Hanse of London, the main purpose of which was the exploitation of trade by Flemish merchants in England, is revealed as an organization already in full vigor in a well-known Latin text which dates from but little after 1187.1 The English, therefore, had long had an opportunity to make the acquaintance of these aliens from beyond the sea and to learn to imitate their ways; and it need occasion no surprise to find Flemings and men of the Empire (a Romani imperii partibus)2 joining forces with the maritime population of eastern and southern England in the naval crusade of 1147, from which commercial3 or piratical as well as spiritual benefits were expected to be realized.

For the maritime enterprises of these populations were by no means confined to the narrow seas. Long before this the way from the North Sea and the English Channel through the Straits of Gibraltar into the Mediterranean had been made familiar by the Vikings;4 and the oldest extant mediaeval portolano, apparently dating from the eleventh century, has come down to us, not, as one might expect, from the Mediterranean basin, but from the North; and it gives the sailing

of London at about the same period by William of Malmesbury (Gesta pontificum, ed. N. E. S. A. Hamilton, London, 1870, p. 140); “constipata negotiatorum ex omni terra et maxime ex Germania venientium commertiis.”


2 Below, pp. 52, 54.

3 Note especially the provision of the convention between the crusaders and the king of Portugal on p. 112 below: “Naves insuper et res eorum vel heredum eorum qui ad urbis Lyxbonensis obidionem una mecum fuere ab omni consuetudine mercatoria, que vulgo pedatica dicitur, a modo et in perpetuum per totam terram mean firmiter et bona fide concedo.”
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course from Denmark and Flanders to Acre in Syria.\(^1\) Over this course the mariners of the Low Countries and of England inevitably learned to sail and it is apparent that they were making the journey with considerable frequency during the early period of the crusades.

The *De expugnatione Lyxbonensi* would be a far less interesting record than it actually is if it were necessary to regard the Lisbon crusade of 1147 as an isolated enterprise. But it was clearly unique only in its extraordinary success. According to the *Chronica Gothorum*, in the year 1140 a fleet of almost seventy vessels from parts of the Gauls (*de partibus Galliarum*),\(^2\) loaded with armed men who had taken vows to go to Jerusalem, entered the mouth of the Douro in Portugal. Received with joy by the king, Affonso Henriques, they entered into a compact with him for a joint attack upon the Moors in the great stronghold of Lisbon. As the fleet sailed for the mouth of the Tagus, the king marched overland with his army and in due course the city was invested. But its conquest proved impossible, and after the suburbs had been invaded and the surrounding country devastated, the king withdrew to his own country while the crusaders proceeded on their way towards Jerusalem.\(^3\) This reads like a rehearsal of the expedition of 1147, and it may well be true, as has generally been assumed,\(^4\) that this episode is to be identified with a vain attack upon Lisbon which is repeatedly referred to in the *De expugnatione Lyxbonensi* as having taken place five years before the successful siege of 1147, or in 1142. But the identification is not necessary or certain. What is clear, apart from the *Chronica Gothorum*, is that there had been an unsuccessful cooperative attack upon Lisbon, apparently in 1142, in which a considerable body of the crusaders of 1147—presumably men from Southampton and Hastings, and perhaps also from Bristol—


\(^{2}\) The phrase is exactly the same as that which is applied in the *Chronica Gothorum* to the crusading fleet of 1147. *PMH*, *Scriptores*, I, 15.

\(^{3}\) *PMH*, *Scriptores*, I, 13–14.

\(^{4}\) See below, pp. 96–97, note 3.
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had taken part, and that the experience of these men on that occasion, and especially the king's dealings with them, had left them with little enthusiasm for undertaking a new siege in 1147. But the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi seems to contain references to still other unsuccessful attacks upon Lisbon in which northern crusaders had taken part. In the exchange of declarations preliminary to the siege of 1147, the Moorish elder, addressing the archbishop of Braga, is made to say, "How many times now within our memory have you come hither with pilgrims and barbarians to subdue us and drive us hence!" And the bishop of Oporto, in closing the exchange, freely acknowledges the truth of the implication. Whether these speeches be genuine, or the literary devices of the author of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, makes little difference. The inference is clear that the attack of 1147 was but one of a series of such undertakings.

Nor did such enterprises cease with 1147. Lisbon once in Christian hands became a base from which further operations could be conducted by the rising power of Portugal with the assistance of crusaders from the North. Already in 1150 Gilbert of Hastings, the new bishop of Lisbon, is reported to have been back in England, preaching a fresh crusade and seeking recruits for an attack upon Seville. Our concern, however, is not with these later operations, but with the earlier maritime enterprises of the English and of their neighbors across the North Sea, which in large part form the necessary background for a full understanding of the great achievement of 1147 and which, though the evidence is fragmentary and often unsatisfactory, may be traced back to the First Crusade and perhaps even beyond it.

1 Below, pp. 102-111; cf. pp. 164, 165.
2 Below, pp. 120, 121, 124, 125.
4 On these Friedrich Kurth, Der Anteil niederdeutscher Kreuzfahrer an den Kämpfen der Portugiesen gegen die Mauren, in Institut für österreichische Geschichtsforschung, Mitteilungen, Ergänzungsband VIII (1909), 159 ff., may be consulted with profit.
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Attention may first be directed to a curious passage in the *Historia Compostelana*—a very respectable source for the matter in question—which relates to events of the year 1112.\(^1\) The death of Alfonso VI of Castile without male issue had created a grave succession problem, and the country was rent by civil war as a result of the bitter struggle between his daughter, Urraca, and Alfonso I of Aragon, her husband.\(^2\) Though Diego Gelmírez, the celebrated bishop of Santiago de Compostela, was a staunch and vigorous upholder of her authority in Galicia, he had met with only partial success in suppressing a rising against her; and two incorrigible rebels, Pelayo Godesteiz and Rabinat Núñez, had set him at defiance and were holding out against him in two strongholds in western Galicia, one of which seems to have been Puente Sampayo on the upper end of Vigo Bay.\(^3\) Urged on by a letter from Queen Urraca, who was away campaigning against her husband, the bishop ordered a siege of the latter stronghold by land and sea. This he was able to undertake because he had at his disposal not only a considerable land force but also a small navy, which it appears that, through an arrangement with the seafaring inhabitants of Padron and other nearby ports, he had taken the initiative in creating.\(^4\) But the rebels also were not without naval resources, for just at this time there had arrived most opportunely on the coast of Galicia a force of English pirates, who were bound for Jerusalem, but who were not averse to turning their hands to some profitable

\(^1\) In Enrique Flórez, *España sagrada* (Madrid, 1747–1879), XX, 130–36. For the more significant parts of the text see below, p. 19, note 4. For the date see Antonio López Ferreiro, *Historia de la santa A. M. Iglesia de Santiago de Compostela* (Santiago, 1898–1909), III, 384.

\(^2\) For a fuller account see López Ferreiro, *op. cit.*, III, chaps. xiii–xv.


\(^4\) *Historia Compostelana*, in Flórez, *España sagrada*, XX, 133–35. The bishop is reputed to have been the earliest organizer of Spanish naval power on the Atlantic. Compare C. Fernández Duro, *La Marina de Castilla desde su origen* (Madrid, 1894), pp. 20–23; *Enciclopedia universal ilustrada Europeo-América* (Barcelona: J. Espasa, etc. [1912–30]), XXV, 1182.
 enterprise in the course of their journey. Taking these pirates into their employ, the rebels put themselves in a position to practice depredations along the coast and even for some distance inland, and the pillagings and atrocities which followed this arrangement are likened in the Historia Compostelana to the inhumanities of the Almoravides. At the very moment that the Gallegan naval forces, acting on the bishop’s orders, were making their way up Vigo Bay to blockade the rebel stronghold, the pirates were engaged in loading their vessels with the plunder of a church which they had just destroyed; and as soon as the bishop’s marines sighted them a great way off, we are told that they recognized that they would without any doubt be English pirates. In the fight which ensued the Gallegan forces gained a decisive victory. The enemy ships were boarded, and three of them were captured. Some members of the crews were slain, but others were taken alive and bound with their hands behind their backs. And then the victors, laden with spoils, continued their way to the siege and landed with their captives. The bishop, it appears, was entitled to one-fifth of the booty which his naval forces had taken, but when he beheld the English captives weeping and wailing, he was moved with compassion, and, giving up his right to share in the rest of the spoils, he asked that the captives be surrendered to him; when his request had been granted, he set them at liberty—but only after he had bound them with an oath never again to be disturbers of Christians or to practice such iniquities against Christians as those in which they had recently been engaged.

1 “Ces soi-disant croisés, ces sacrilèges qui pillaient les églises, venaient sans doute des Orcades, où l’on n’était encore chrétien que de nom,” says Dozy, Recherches sur l’histoire et la littérature de l’Espagne, II, 328, but there is no need for any such assumption.

2 The author calls them Moabites. For the meaning of this word in the sources of the period see below, pp. 68–69, note 1.

3 Only one of the captured vessels is said to have been English, the other two having been supplied by the rebel leaders. See the text in note 4, below.

4 Historia Compostelana, loc. cit., XX, 133–35; “Eodem tempore Pelagius Godesteides et Rabinatus Nunides piratas pretio conductos sibi in auxilium assumperant, qui ab Angliae partibus venientes causa adeundi Hierosolyman Hesperiam attigerant, et
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With the bishop's decisive victory over the rebels, which followed the signal success of his naval forces, we are not here concerned; nor are we able to say whether the English captives, having regained their liberty, were able to continue on their way to Jerusalem. What concerns us here to note is that

hac de causa eos sibi assumpserant, ut, illorum auxilio muniti, ipsi adiacentes partes deprædando et depopulando inquietarent, et Anglici piratae, utpote gens nullius pietatis melle condita, et remota et mari finitima pesudarent et atrocitatis suae rabiem exer- cerent, quod haud aliter accidit. Equidem Anglici ex improviso cursu veliferō maris conōnia invidentes, hos trucidabant illos duedundes omnibus bonis suis privabant, alios, acsi essent Moabītæ, captos et catenatos ad redemptionem cogeants; quin etiam nimia pecuniae cupiditate obsècaeant, proh nefas, ecclesias violabant, tantique sacrilegii rei quaecumque necessaria ibi inventa et etiam homines inde abstrabeants. Sed beati Iacobī intercessio a nefanda gente provinciam suam pesundari et depopulari haud impune permisit, tantumque nefas non inultum remanere voluit.

"Interea dum Irienses nautae virique qui de Santa Maria de Lanchata vemenant ut ad supradictam obsidionem tenderent, forte praedicti praedones solito more ad praedam vemenant et, destructa quadam ecclesia, spolia ad classem comortabant. Quos ubi Irienses ceterique in quodam a se remota parte litoris viderunt, proculubio Anglicorum piratas fore arbitrati sunt. Post haec arma capiebant, scuta, gladios, tela aptabant, et ad bella alacrīter properabant, nec tamen a remigis officio cessabant. Anglici quoque eadem faciebant, sed, empedientae reatuo, aut ad armandum aut ad remigandum vix illis tempor sufficiebat. Quid plura? Bellum utrinque exoritur. Tela ad instar grandinis mittuntur, et maxime lapidibus quos ad hoc in carinis ferebant bellum geritur. Denique Irienses et homines de Santa Maria de Lanchata, auxiliante beato Iacobo, acris in hostes insurgent et celleris saltu hostium naves conscendunt. Igitur hos telis transverserant, hos lapidibus obruunt, illos iunctis manibus post terga vivere permittunt; et ingredien- tes Anglicorum pirataarum biremem et alias duas naves quas Pelegius Godestedeis et Rabinatus Nunides Anglicis in auxilio dederant, incoeptum inter peragunt, tantoque triumphi laetantes, captivos secum ductent et ad supradictam obsidionem tendunt; quae postquam ad aures domini suī, scilicet ecclesiae beati Iacobi venerabilis episcopi, uti gesta fuerant, pervenerunt, magnō gavisus gaudio, omnipotenti Deo summas grates traditis, qui provinciam apostoli sui Iacobi a malignis praedonis defendere et prote- gere dignatus est. Cum autem praedicti victores se litori applicusissent, episcopus vidēns Anglicos captos flentes et eulantem, paterna pietate compunctus, misericordia motus est. . . Tunc alloquatus est nautas suos, ita dicens: 'Fratres, scitis quin tam partem omnium quae in hac victoria, Deo iuvante, adepti estis ad me iure pertinere, quae, quamquam plura et pretiosa sint, nihil tamen a vosis accipere volo; sed tantummodo captivos in portionem meam mihi date.' Hoc autem dicebat volens illos a vinculis sol- vere et a captivitatis iugo eruere. Acceptis itaque in portionem captivis, ipse episcopus eos iuramento austrinxit ne amplius Christianorum inquietatores essent aut tale quid quod superius dictum est in Christianos facere praesumerent, sicque solvens eos a vinculis liberos abire permisit.' Compare López Ferreiro, op. cit., III, 379-86; Dozy, op. cit., II, 326-38; Alberto Sampaio, "As Póvoas marítimas do norte de Portugal," in Portugalia, II (1905-8), 397-98.
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the text which we have paraphrased carries the clear implication that depredations of English pirates on the coast of Galicia were no extraordinary occurrence in 1112—the bishop’s marines knew at the very first sight of the plunderers that they had to do with English pirates—and that, although these pirates are described as men without piety (*gens nullius pietatis melle condita*), they were none the less crusaders on their way to Jerusalem. They had turned aside to accept service under Christians against Christians in a civil strife, but otherwise their conduct was much like that of the crusaders of 1140(?), who, according to the *Chronica Gothorum*, proceeded on their way towards Jerusalem after the failure of their attack upon Lisbon,1 and that of the crusaders of 1147, who, after their great victory, are said to have passed the winter in Lisbon until the Calends of the following February and then to have continued their voyage and fulfilled their vows at the Sepulchre.2

For the next information which enables us to connect crusaders from England and from neighboring lands beyond the North Sea with Galicia and with the eastern Mediterranean, we are unfortunately mainly dependent on the somewhat uncertain authority of Albert of Aix. According to this writer, in July, 1102, a naval force of two hundred vessels arrived at Jaffa and rendered invaluable services both by land and sea to the hard-pressed Baldwin, king of Jerusalem, in his struggle with the Saracens. We have the names of the leaders of this expedition, which are as follows: Bernard Witarzh of the land of Galicia, Harding of England, Otto of *Roges*, and Hardewerk, one of the most powerful men of Westphalia.3 The arrival of

---

1 See above, p. 16.
2 Letter of Duodechin, priest of Lahnstein, who was a participant in the crusade. *MGH, Scriptores*, XVII, 28; see below, p. 181, note 1. What he says seems to refer to the German forces and need not necessarily apply to the entire expedition.
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this naval force at Jaffa in 1102 and the part which it played in assisting King Baldwin against his enemies are confirmed by oriental writers, but unfortunately we are entirely without information as to how it had been brought together or what its history had been before its arrival in the eastern Mediterranean.

It is also related by Albert of Aix that in May, 1102, when King Baldwin made his brilliant recovery, after the rout of Ramleh, by escaping through the mountains to Arsuf and then making his way by sea to Jaffa, he took ship with a certain Godric, "a pirate of the kingdom of England." And this Godric has been conjecturally identified with that remarkable figure, St. Godric, the hermit of Finchale, whose so-called hymns have made him a person of note in the history of English versification and whose early life as an active merchant engaged in overseas trade has in recent years been used to illustrate the genesis of mediaeval commerce and of the merchant class. Whether the hermit of Finchale is really to be identified with the English pirate who assisted King Baldwin in 1102 may well be questioned; but in any case his singular

1 Matthew of Edessa, Chronique, in HC, Documents arméniens, I, 68; Ibn al-Athir, Kamel-Altevarykh, in HC, Historiens orientaux, I, 216.
2 Ekkehard, abbot of Aura, Hierosolymita, (ed. Heinrich Hagenmeyer, Tübingen, 1877), p. 275, reports the arrival at Jaffa in September, 1101, of a fleet of thirty ships bearing twelve thousand pilgrims, but he gives no indication whence they came. Hagenmeyer conjectures that they came from Constantinople.
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career well serves to illustrate the early English maritime expansion and its crusading contacts with which we are here concerned. Not to mention his other journeys oversea, he twice took the cross and went to Jerusalem, and on his way home from the first of these pilgrimages he visited the shrine of St. James at Compostela.¹

Between 1102 and 1112 still other maritime crusading enterprises from both sides of the North Sea can be traced in the pages of Albert of Aix. He makes a brief mention of three Flemish ships and their commanders, William, Starcolf, and Bernard, off the Syrian coast in 1110.² And in 1107 he tells of another body of crusaders who arrived by sea at Jaffa to visit the Sepulchre. They entered into an arrangement with the king of Jerusalem to join him in laying siege to Sidon, but presently the plan was abandoned and they were dismissed. Of the leaders of this expedition we know nothing, nor are we able to connect it in any way with the Iberian Peninsula; but it is said to have been made up of some seven thousand men from England, besides others from Denmark, Flanders, and Antwerp.³ We have a clear impression that the English constituted the preponderant element in it.

The part played by the maritime populations of England and the Low Countries in the First Crusade can be illustrated from more abundant sources, but unfortunately they are not in full accord, and the problem of their correct interpretation and evaluation is a serious one. According to Ordericus Vitalis,

¹ Reginald of Durham, op. cit., pp. 33-34, 52-58.
² Liber Christianarum expeditionis, in HC, Historiens occidentaux, IV, 676: “naves... tres a Flandria et Antwerpia venerate, quibus praeerant Willelmus, Starcolfus, et Bernardus.”
³ Ibid., p. 631: “... plurima multitudo navalis exercitus catholicae gentis Anglorum, circiter septem milia, navibus quas buzas appellant, cum cetera manu de regno Danorum, Flandriae, et Antwerpiae, longo ambitu maris ad vecta.”

Attention may be drawn in passing to the crusade of that belated viking, Sigurd of Norway, who set out from his homeland in the autumn of 1107, passed the first winter in England, the second in Galicia; and then passed on to capture Cintra and plunder the Moors in Algarve. Later he proceeded to the Balearic Islands, to southeastern Italy, and finally to the Holy Land, which he reached in 1110. Riant, Scandinaves en Terre-Sainte, chap. iv; Dozy, Recherches sur l'histoire et la littérature de l'Espagne, II, 323-26.
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a fleet of "almost twenty thousand pilgrims . . . from England and other islands of the Ocean," under the command of Edgar Aetheling, landed at Laodicea in June, 1098, and occupied the city. This looks like a characteristically mediaeval exaggeration of numbers, and there are difficulties in the way of believing that Edgar Aetheling could have reached Syria as early as June, 1098. A somewhat better authority seems to place his arrival in the Holy Land in May, 1102. But the statement of Ordericus Vitalis, in spite of difficulties of detail, may well seem rather more worthy of respect than would be the case were we not familiar with the facts of the Lisbon crusade of 1147 and of the earlier expeditions which have just been passed in review. It can be proved from a strictly contemporary letter that there were English ships and mariners on the coast of Syria, cooperating with the land forces of the First Crusade in 1098. Another letter reveals the presence of English vessels on the coast of Palestine, apparently at Jaffa, in the spring of 1100. And we have an acceptable account by Raymond of Aguilers, himself a participant in the overland expedition, which places the arrival of an important English naval force off the Syrian coast in 1097 in advance of the land forces of the First Crusade. Acting in cooperation with the Greek emperor, these English mariners attacked and captured the port at the mouth of the Orontes River as well as Laodicea, and, together with the Genoese, they rendered vitally important services in the crusade by keeping open communications with the island of Cyprus and by protecting the Greek

1 Grandson of Edmund Ironside, and claimant to the English throne upon the death of Harold in the Battle of Hastings, 1066.
2 Historia ecclesiastica, IV, 70-71.
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shipping which was engaged in the supply of foodstuffs and
equipment. Of the size of this force of maritime crusaders
from England, as reported by Raymond, some idea may
be formed from his statement that in the winter of 1098–99,
when their ships had been reduced by wear and tear from
thirty to nine or ten, they abandoned them or burned them
and joined the land forces of the crusade in the advance upon
Jerusalem.¹

The problem of naval coöperation in the First Crusade is
still further complicated by several passages of Albert of Aix.
According to this writer—who is somewhat self-contradictory,
it must be acknowledged, and difficult to reconcile with other
authorities—when Baldwin, the future king of Jerusalem,
arrived in the overland march at Tarsus, near the coast of the
Gulf of Alexandretta, in the autumn of 1097, he established
contact with a fleet of Christian pirates who had been pursuing
their calling for the past eight years; and giving them infor-
mation concerning the crusade, he secured their coöperation.
These pirates hailed from Antwerp, Tiel, Frisia, and Flanders,²
and they were under the command of a certain Guinemer of
Boulogne. It seems impossible to reconcile a number of other
statements of Albert of Aix concerning Guinemer and his
pirates with other and better authorities for the First Crusade;
but it would surely be rash to reject his whole account of these
maritime adventurers from the Low Countries and Boulogne
in the eastern Mediterranean.³

¹ Historia Francorum qui cepérunt Jerusalem, in HC, Historiens occidentaux, III,
290–91.
² In an alternative list Albert reports them as coming from Flanders, Antwerp,
Frisia, and other parts of Gaul. See below, note 3. Note that the men of Tiel and
Antwerp are mentioned in the London trade regulations of the twelfth century which
have been discussed above, p. 14. See English Historical Review, XVII (1902), 498, 501.
³ Liber Christianae expeditionis, in HC, Historiens occidentaux, IV, 348–49, 385, 447.
I have discussed at some length the difficult problems of the maritime crusaders from
England and the Low Countries in the eastern Mediterranean during the First Crusade
in my Robert Curthose, Appendix E and passim. Much must doubtless remain obscure;
but the facts which have been assembled in the present volume incline me to be less
drastic in dealing with Ordericus Vitalis and Albert of Aix than I was when my earlier
work was written. The latest critic of Albert of Aix gives him a rather better reputation
than he has hitherto enjoyed. A. A. Beaumont, “Albert of Aachen and the County of
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Many of the foregoing facts, if facts they be, concerning the crusading enterprises of the maritime populations of England and the Low Countries have been gathered from sources of somewhat uncertain value, it may freely be admitted. Nevertheless, their cumulative effect seems impressive. The first half-century of the crusades was a period during which maritime intercourse between the English Channel, the Spanish Peninsula, and the eastern Mediterranean was more active than has commonly been realized. This naval participation in the crusading movement was far from negligible; and had the way not been prepared for the Lisbon enterprise of 1147 by long years of practical experience, it is difficult to believe that it could have achieved so signal a success.

THE MANUSCRIPT OF THE DE EXPUGNATIONE LYXBOSENSI

AND ITS PROVENANCE

The historical memoir in epistolary form which is commonly known by the title De expugnacione Lyxbonensi,1 and which has long been ascribed without good reason to a certain Osbernus,2 appears to have survived in but a single manuscript, namely, Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, No. 470, folios 125r–146r. The volume forms a part of the famous collection of Archbishop Matthew Parker. Besides the work on the Lisbon crusade, it contains a calendar, some daily offices, the well-known poem of Hildebert of Lavardin on the mass (De mysterio missae), the Hypognosticon of Lawrence, prior of Durham, and some other unimportant matter. It is written in various hands of the last half of the twelfth and of the thirteenth century; and it is believed by Dr. M. R. James, the latest cataloguer of the Corpus Christi College manuscripts, on the evidence of the calendar which stands at its beginning

---

1 See below, pp. 52–53, note 1.
2 See below, pp. 43–45.
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and of a pressmark ("N. LXIX") on folio 24r (at the beginning of the poem of Hildebert of Lavardin), to have once been in the possession of the cathedral priory of Norwich.1

But while the arguments of Dr. James seem perfectly valid as far as they go, they do not warrant the certain conclusion that that part of the manuscript which contains the account of the Lisbon crusade necessarily came from Norwich; for the volume is made up of heterogeneous elements and bears within itself evidences of having been put together at different times. Beginning with folio 13r (that is, immediately after the twelve folios of the calendar) and running through to the end of the volume, there are quire signatures in the lower right-hand corners of the recto pages of the first half of each successive gathering, as follows: A i, A ii, A iii, B i, B ii, B iii, etc. These are written in a hand which, on palaeographical grounds, may probably be assigned to the fifteenth century2 and they seem to offer convincing evidence that the Norwich calendar was added after the volume had once been complete without it. Moreover, while the account of the Lisbon crusade evidently formed a part of the volume at the time when the signatures were inserted, it is practically certain that it had had a long separate existence before this; for the folios on which it is written (constituting gatherings L, M, N, and O) form a quite distinct section of the volume. The recto of the first of these folios (125r) is difficult to read and appears worn, as though it had long been exposed to use without adequate protection. The vellum also in this section of the manuscript is of inferior quality and much coarser than that of any other part of the volume. The leaves must also originally have been considerably larger than those of the remainder of the volume; for in being cut down by the binder to match the others, the

1 M. R. James, A Descriptive Catalogue of the Manuscripts in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge (Cambridge, 1912), No. 470; idem, "The Sources of Archbishop Parker's Collection of MSS. at Corpus Christi College, Cambridge," Cambridge Antiquarian Society, Octavo Publications, XXXII (1899), 5, 12, 74.

2 According to E. M. Thompson (Introduction to Greek and Latin Palaeography, Oxford, 1912, p. 54) signatures of this later mediaeval type did not come into use before the fourteenth century.
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margins have been rendered very narrow, indeed in some cases being almost entirely cut away; and where important marginalia have escaped the binder's knife, they now appear upon tabs which have been left projecting beyond the edges of the ordinary leaves. It seems necessary to conclude, therefore, that while the manuscript of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi may once have belonged to the cathedral priory of Norwich, it is by no means certain that it did so.

Indeed, the early catalogues of the Parker manuscripts may even raise a passing doubt as to whether the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi was contained in the present volume No. 470 at the time of the archbishop's bequest. In the inventory, or register, of the collection which was made at that time and which exists in three identical copies now in the possession respectively of Corpus Christi College, Gonville and Caius College, and Trinity Hall, the present No. 470 appears as the thirteenth entry under G in the following form: Laurentius Dunelmensis, with the incipit given as Omnipotentis semipaterne.1 There is, accordingly, no evidence here that the account of the Lisbon crusade was contained in the volume in 1575. Moreover, the first printed catalogue of the manuscripts of Corpus Christi College, which was published by Thomas James in 1600,2 contains no indication that the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi then formed a part of the volume. Thomas James mentions the works of Hildebert of Lavardin and Lawrence of Durham, but at the point where we should expect to find a mention of the Lisbon crusade we read instead: Anonymi tractatus theologicus.3 But any doubts which may be raised by this silence of the early cataloguers must be set at rest by the evidence contained within the volume itself. Mention has already been made of the signatures which, if I have dated them correctly, are alone enough to prove that the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi was contained in the present

1 I have examined only the Gonville and Caius copy.
3 The account of the Lisbon crusade is mentioned in [William Stanley], Catalogue librorum MSS. in bibliotheca collegii Corporis Christi in Cantabrigia . . . (London, 1722), No. G 13, and in all subsequent catalogues.
volume as early as the fifteenth century. Moreover, on the inside of the flyleaf at the beginning of the volume there is a brief table of contents written in a fine Italic hand, which evidently dates from Archbishop Parker's time and which reads as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
Kalendarium antiquissimum \\
Hildebertus de missa in carmine \\
Ipognosticon ad gervasium in carmine \\
Historia osbernii de expeditione etc.\end{align*}\]

And at the end of the volume there are two flyleaves which are described by Dr. M. R. James as follows: "Parts of two leaves of a XIIIth cent. logical (?) MS., with some scribbles: one of these might be in Bucer's hand, another of cent. XIII is: Robertus surgito cras et ito ad officium." Of the merit of Dr. James' suggestion as to Bucer's hand, I am not competent to judge; but the "scribbles" in question are written in a quite recognizable free hand of the sixteenth century and read as follows: "Require hic libellum de missa in carminibus elegiacis. Item expeditionem francorum et variarum nationum ad obsidionem ulyssipponis in portingale contra mauros." In view of these clear, early labels, both at the beginning and the end of the volume, and in view of the still earlier signatures, it may safely be concluded that, whatever the earlier history of the manuscript of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, it formed a part of the present volume No. 470 when it passed with the Parker bequest to the library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge.

The folios (125r-146r) which contain the account of the Lisbon crusade are, as already indicated, of rather coarse and

1 Underneath this is the pressmark of Parker's classification, "G' 13."
3 Martin Bucer arrived in England in April, 1549. He soon became Regius Professor of Divinity at Cambridge, where he died in February, 1551. He lived on terms of intimacy with Parker, who was then vice-chancellor of the university and who preached his funeral sermon.
4 The hand can be matched with surprising closeness in an entry of 1547 in the Common Paper of the Scriviners' Company of London. See the facsimile in Hilary Jenkinson, The Later Court Hands in England (Cambridge, 1927), Plate XIII (i).
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uneven vellum. They are sometimes, though not generally, ruled. The pages measure about 15 x 10.7 centimetres, and the space occupied by the writing varies between about 11 x 8.5 and about 12.5 x 9.5 centimetres. The number of lines to the page varies between twenty-five and thirty-four, twenty-seven and twenty-eight being the most usual numbers. The first gathering (125r–130v) contains six folios and is unruled. In the lower right-hand corners of 125r, 126r, and 127r there are signatures as follows: L i, L ii, L iii. The second gathering (131r–136v) also contains six folios and (with the exception of the two inner leaves as noted below) is unruled. In the lower right-hand corners of 131r, 132r, and 133r there are signatures as follows: M i, M ii, M iii. Folios 133 and 134 (that is, the two inside leaves of this gathering) are made up of two pieces of parchment, which are glued together in such a way that the inner edge of 134 appears between 132v and 133r, adhering to the inner margin of the latter, and the inner edge of 133 adheres to the inner margin of 134r. These two pieces of parchment are not of equal weight and fineness, 134 being much heavier than 133. Both are ruled vertically with a stylus, the lines of writing running directly across the ruling. The third gathering (137r–144v) contains eight folios, of which the following are lightly ruled with a plummet: 139v, 140r, 141v, 142r, 143v, and 144r. In the lower right-hand corners of 137r, 138r, 139r, and 140r there are signatures as follows: N i, N ii, N iii, N iv. The fourth gathering (145r–149v) also once contained eight folios, of which the last three have been cut out. Of those which remain, the following have been lightly ruled with a plummet: 145r, 145v, 146r, 147r, 147v, 148r, 148v, and 149r. In the lower right-hand corners of 145r, 146r, and 147r (but not 148r) there are signatures as follows: O i, O ii, O iii. The De expugnatione Lyxbonensi ends, as has already been indicated, on folio 146r. Folio 146v is blank; folios 147r to the beginning of 149r are occupied by a sermon. On the rest of 149r there are notes from the Pastoral Care of Gregory the Great; 149v is blank.

1 Compare M. R. James, Descriptive Catalogue, II, 407.
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Though the manuscript of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi is clear and legible at almost every point and may with some indulgence be classed as calligraphic, it is written with a somewhat disconcerting irregularity. The difference in general appearance between one page and another is in some cases sufficient to raise a doubt whether it may not be the work of more than one hand, but long preoccupation with it has convinced me that this is really not the case. A number of letters, notably d, m, n, r, s, i, and u, are freely written in two or more alternative forms, for the most part without any apparent system. Special attention may be directed to i and u as having some value for dating. Most commonly the ordinary form of i is used, and where a confusing series of minims come together, the i's are likely to be distinguished by the addition of oblique hair-lines (i), as modern i's are dotted. But the difficulty is almost as frequently met by the use of i-longa (j), and this is likely to be the case where two i's come together, i-longa being used for the second member of the pair. The ordinary round-bottomed u is by far the more common, but a v-shaped form is frequently to be met with, particularly in the latter part of the manuscript. Abbreviations are both abundant and of striking variability. To cite an extreme example, while autem is ordinarily written autē, three other forms, namely, ā, aū, and autē, are to be met with on a single page. A wholly consistent practice is not followed with respect to the abbreviation of such words as qui, quem, quod, and quia. The abbreviations of item and iterum are so variable and irregular as often to cause serious doubt as to which word was intended to be written. In general a distinction is maintained with respect to the value of a horizontal stroke (\(\sim\)) and a waved vertical

1 There are two points, viz., fol. 128r, line 13, and 141r, line 24, at which there is the possibility of a change of hand on a single page. The second case is fully accounted for by the fact that the writer was obliged to write more compactly than usual in order to crowd the last five lines on to the page; cf. below, pp. 35-36. The other case is less clear, but I incline to the view that the changed appearance of the hand is due to nothing more than a different pen or some other alteration in the conditions under which the writer was working.

2 Folio 125v.
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stroke (') as marks of abbreviation, but the practice is far from consistent.

There is much punctuation by means of dots¹ and inverted semicolons (‘); and the system is used with sufficient regularity to be of great assistance in reading, though not with enough consistency to be an entirely satisfactory guide in the preparation of the modern text. Sentences are often begun with capital letters, but the practice is by no means constant. Where a word is divided at the end of a line, an oblique hairline is usually added with the force of a modern hyphen, but this practice also is not invariable.

On palaeographical grounds the manuscript should, I believe, be assigned to the second half of the twelfth century, and more probably to the fourth quarter than to the third; but this is a point on which there is need to speak with caution, and the possibility that the manuscript is actually contemporary with the events which it records should perhaps be allowed, as should also the possibility that it belongs to the early years of the thirteenth century.² The abundance and character of the abbreviations as well as the frequent use of i-longa and of the v-shaped u would certainly seem to indicate a late rather than an early date. Examples of both i-longa and the v-shaped u can, of course, be found before the middle of the twelfth century; but I have observed no manuscript in which they occur with such frequency before a considerably later date.

Among the most remarkable features of this manuscript are the erasures, corrections, and marginalia. There are numerous blank spaces, sometimes extending to as much as two and one-half centimetres, where a text has obviously been

¹ Apparently no attempt is made to give any particular value to the position of the dot with respect to the line.
² According to the editor of the Lisbon Academy edition (PMH, Scriptores, I, 391)—doubtless reflecting the opinion of N. E. S. A. Hamilton of the Department of Manuscripts of the British Museum, who made the transcript from which the Lisbon edition was printed—the hand is of the thirteenth century rather than of the twelfth. Professor E. K. Rand of Harvard University, who has had the kindness to make a brief examination of a photostat for me, permits me to say that in his judgment the manuscript cannot be earlier than about 1175.
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erased with a scraper. In some cases these erasures certainly seem to have been made as the manuscript was being written, and not afterwards by a corrector. Often the spaces appear to have been left blank for no other reason than that the parchment had been rendered rough and difficult to write upon as a result of the erasure.1 Elsewhere erasures have been made and altered texts have been written over them, sometimes with the result that these texts have become almost illegible through the spreading of the ink on the injured parchment. In one case the altered text over an erasure extends to nine centimetres, or the equivalent of a full line.2 Sometimes the corrections are of the simple kind which might well be the work of a corrector in an organized scriptorium,3 though I incline to the view that they were more probably made by the writer of the manuscript himself; still other corrections seem to be quite obviously his work. For example, on folio 125r, line 8, overlooking the verb, he began to write exercitus; but noting his error after he had written ex, he made an erasure and then proceeded correctly with secedit. The erased letters ex are still faintly visible in the manuscript.4 Still other corrections are incomplete and might well be the work of an author whose task has been left unfinished. Sometimes these involve no more than a change in the tense or mood of a verb;5 but in other cases a word or more seems wanting to complete the

1 For example, on fol. 132r, line 22, there is an erasure of 2.5 centimetres over which the attempt was made to write consuetudinem; but, the ink spreading badly, the attempt was abandoned after eo had been written, and the word was begun anew on good parchment beyond the injured place. See below, p. 100, note c.
2 Folio 134v, lines 8–9.
3 For example, a syllable such as pre, which should normally be abbreviated, was sometimes inadvertently written in extenso, then partly erased and a mark of abbreviation substituted for the erased letters. The result is an unsightly gap in the middle of a word.
4 See frontispiece, line 8. A similar case may be observed on the same page, line 28, where detenti was evidently erroneously written before serenitate, and then erased. Compare below, p. 54, note a, p. 58, note b.
5 Examples may be noted on fol. 125r, lines 19 and 20 (see frontispiece). Retineat and confiteantur seem to have been written first; then the a’s were erased with a view to changing from the present to the imperfect subjunctive. In the second case the correction was completed; in the first it was not. Compare below, p. 56, notes c and d.
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sense.\(^1\) There are also numerous marginalia. Many of these consist of simple additions of one or more words and may well be due to the omissions of a careless copyist. But others look more like the work of an author. For example, at the beginning of the speech of Hervey de Glanvill there is a mutilated marginal note which seems to say that the text as given is not in Glanvill's exact words but contains the sense of what he said.\(^2\) With this may perhaps be classed another mutilated marginal which is evidently based on Solinus and which is concerned with the properties of the citron.\(^3\)

William Stubbs was so impressed with the foregoing features of the manuscript that he boldly declared it to be "probably the original copy of the author,"\(^4\) and this view was confirmed without question by Reinhold Pauli after an independent examination of the manuscript.\(^5\) Nevertheless, I venture to believe that this hypothesis is untenable and that an adequate explanation of the characteristics referred to may be found in the supposition that the manuscript is simply the work of a careless or inexpert copyist. None of the erasures or corrections offers any serious obstacle to such a view. Where something is wanting to complete the sense, it may well be that the copyist was unable fully to read the text which he was following. And as for the more extended marginalia which appear as notes to the text rather than as integral parts of it, it seems not unlikely that, originally added as such by the author, they were simply reproduced by the copyist in the form in which he found them.

---

\(^1\) Attention has been drawn to all such cases in footnotes to the text.
\(^2\) Folio 132v, lines 17-21; cf. below, p. 104, note b.
\(^3\) Folio 131r, lines 12-20; cf. below, p. 92, note e. Another extended marginal note (fol. 129v, lines 10-14; cf. below, p. 84, note c) consists of the beginning of a text of Scripture the end of which had already been written in the manuscript. But here, it must be noted, we have to do with a sermon which, if genuine and not a rhetorical composition of the author, was being copied in any case; and it seems not unlikely that a whole line of the text had inadvertently been omitted and then crowded into the margin with heavy abbreviation.

\(^4\) In the introductory note to his edition of the text, published in 1864, *Itinerarium*, p. cxlii.

\(^5\) *MGH, Scriptores*, XXVII, 6: "autographo cantabrigiensi a. 1880 denuo a me ipso inspecto."
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Moreover, quite apart from palaeographical considerations which indicate a date somewhat later than the middle of the twelfth century, the mere external appearance of the manuscript seems irreconcilable with the view that it is the original of a crusading news letter sent home in mid-campaign. On the contrary, it looks like a small volume, a *libellus*, designed to be a permanent record. It is written in a small, compact book hand, and, while it has no great beauty, it is not without adornment. It begins with a large, illuminated red capital *O* (a good one and one-half centimetres in diameter), and four other majuscule letters which stand in the first line, though written in black, are illuminated with patches of red.¹ There is no other use of decorative color in the manuscript; but the separate sections of the text, and particularly the sermons, speeches, and letters, are for the most part introduced with special large capitals (sometimes extending to two lines in height) which were inserted after the ordinary text had been completed. That these special capitals were later additions is proved by the fact that in a number of cases they are wanting, the spaces which were left for them never having been filled.²

Moreover, certain features of the manuscript can hardly be explained except upon the theory that it is a copy. Indeed, it looks like a page-for-page copy of an earlier text, for the pages seem not to be allowed to end haphazard at the point which the scribe had reached at the end of his last line. On a number of pages there are additions, varying in length from a part of a word to as much as half a line, suspended by a bracket beneath the latter part of the last line.³ Evidently the object was to complete the copying of one page of the model before turning to the next page of the copy. A similar purpose

1 Compare frontispiece. The initial *O* is entirely in red. *SB*, *R*, and *Q* were doubtless originally all colored red within the bows, though the color is no longer visible in the upper halves of *SB*.

2 Compare below, pp. 70, 90, 96, 114, 120.

3 Such additions appear on fol. 128r, 131r, 132v, 134v, 135r, 135v, 137r, 138v, 139r, 140r, 142v, 143r. Where the addition consists of a single word, one would at first glance suppose it to be a catchword.
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appears sometimes to have been accomplished by mere compression, as may be noted at the bottom of folio 141r. The scribe had evidently been writing with somewhat extravagant disregard for space until he reached the end of the text of a sermon, whereupon he found it necessary so to compress the last five lines of his page as to make them appear almost as if they had been written by another hand.\(^1\) Other indications that the writer was copying are to be seen in some of the erasures and corrections which have already been noted, where it seems evident that his eye had got ahead of his pen and caused him to write words out of their proper order.\(^2\) More striking still is a correction which occurs on folio 145v, lines 24–26. Overlooking the words \textit{donis quosdam reficiens, alios flagellis erudiens}, he wrote the whole of the next sentence. Then, realizing his error, he obviated the difficulty by adding the omitted words at the point which he had then reached and indicating their correct position in the text by inserting a superscribed \textit{a} before \textit{donis} and a superscribed \textit{b} at the beginning of the previous sentence.\(^3\)

In view of all the foregoing considerations, it seems necessary to conclude that the existing manuscript of the \textit{De expugnatione Lyxbonensi} is almost certainly not the original of a news letter written from Lisbon at the time of the siege, but a copy made at some later date with a view to preserving a permanent record. But if this be the case, where and under what circumstances was the text produced of which it is a copy? And is the text as it exists today substantially in the form in which it was originally written, or has it been subjected to a later revision?\(^4\)

\(^1\) Compare above, p. 31, note 1. There are other places where one, two, or even three lines at the end of a page seem to be somewhat shortened, as if there were space to spare; but these features are far less striking than the cases of addition or compression.

\(^2\) See above, p. 33 and note 4.

\(^3\) Compare below, p. 182, note b.

\(^4\) At one time I so far yielded to the view of Stubbs and Pauli as to think that the existing manuscript might well be the autograph of a revision made by the author himself at some time after his return to England. This view no longer seems to me possible. Compare \textit{Speculum}, VII (1932), 50, note 3. For another possibility see below, p. 40, note 1.
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It is not altogether easy to believe that the account of the Lisbon crusade in the form in which it now exists can be that which was actually written from the very scene of action, under the stress and strain of almost daily conflict. No other letter of a crusader which has survived to modern times is to be compared with it for length and elaboration. The epistolary form with which it begins—address, superscription, salutation—is not consistently maintained to the end. After the opening sentences the work has more of the character of an historical memoir than of a letter; and it ends, not with a formal epistolary Vale, but with an elaborate hortatory passage strongly resembling the conclusion of a sermon. Moreover, it contains a number of speeches and sermons. Some of these may have been copied from manuscripts supplied the author by those who delivered them. Such may well have been the case with the sermon of Peter, bishop of Oporto, which was preached before the crusaders assembled in his cathedral churchyard and was translated by interpreters into the vernacular languages so that all could understand.¹ It differs markedly in style and literary background from the other sermon which was delivered by a certain priest on the occasion of the dedication of a siege-tower at Lisbon.² But other speeches, such as the brief address of King Affonso Henriques to the crusaders upon their arrival at Lisbon, the speeches of the archbishop of Braga and the bishop of Oporto to the Moors, and the reply of the Moorish elder³ seem much more likely, in their present form, to have been the compositions of the author himself. Indeed, in the case of the impassioned appeal of Hervey de Glanvill,⁴ when he was trying to prevent the defection of a part of the Anglo-Norman forces, a mutilated marginal note, which has already been referred to,⁵ seems to warn the reader that he has to do, not with a genuine speech, but with the author's invention. Such dis-

¹ See below, pp. 70–85.
² See below, pp. 146–59. It has been conjectured by Reinhold Pauli that the priest in question was the author of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi himself. Below, p. 146, note 3.
³ See below, pp. 104–11.
⁴ See above, p. 34 and note 2.
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courses, it may well be argued, would require time and leisure for their composition, such as it would have been difficult to find at Lisbon during the siege, and they seem a little out of place in an ordinary news letter. A similar observation may be made with respect to certain rhetorical passages in the narrative itself, such as the description of the battle of the clouds above the crags of Cintra, which was observed as a good omen as the crusading fleet entered the mouth of the Tagus, or the concluding paragraph of the work, with its numerous quotations from Scripture. Finally, in the geographical passages the author quotes repeatedly from Solinus, and it may well be questioned whether he had a copy of the work of this ancient author in his military baggage.

Such facts as these must surely suggest the possibility of leisurely composition in the first instance or else of a later revision, but on the other hand there are strong indications that the work in its present form must be very nearly contemporary with the events which it records. Not only has the narrative all the vividness and freshness of an account emanating from the very scene of action, but it maintains consistently the point of view of a participant in the crusade addressing himself to a correspondent back at home—a point of view which might easily be lost in the event of a later revision. There are certain expressions which are particularly noteworthy in this connection. In speaking of the fish in the Tagus River, the author says that they retain their richness and natural flavor at all seasons of the year and do not change or deteriorate “as is the case with you” (ut apud vos est).

And in recording a portent which transpired among the Flemings at Lisbon when, after the completion of the mass, the

1 See below, pp. 88–91.

2 See below, pp. 182–85.

3 The passages have all been cited in footnotes to the text.

4 See below, pp. 90, 91. It should be noted that both Stubbs (Itinerarium, p. cliv) and Hamilton (PMH, Scriptores, p. 395) read nos instead of vos in this phrase. It is, of course, often difficult to distinguish between n and u in mediaeval manuscripts, but that difficulty is not often encountered in the present manuscript, and in this case the reading seems perfectly clear.
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blessed bread (panis benedictus) was observed to be bloody, he says, “and it has now been seen for many days after the capture of the city” (et iam post urbis captionem multis diebus visus est). Finally, perhaps most significant of all is the fact that the latest date mentioned in the narrative is 1 November, 1147, and that it appears to contain no trace of a knowledge of anything which happened after that date. Such consistency seems almost to preclude the possibility of any extensive revision after the author had left Lisbon; but on the other hand, all the characteristics of our narrative seem to find a natural explanation if we suppose that the author spent the winter of 1147-48 in Lisbon and occupied himself with its leisurely composition during that interval, before continuing on his voyage to Jerusalem. And there is good reason for supposing that he did spend the winter in Lisbon. We know that he was there until after All Saints Day; and in the passage above mentioned concerning the portent of the consecrated bread which had a bloody appearance, his words carry the clear implication that he was still in Lisbon many days after the capture of the city which took place on 24 October.

Under the conditions of twelfth-century navigation it seems highly unlikely that it would have been practical for him to depart, after so late a date as is here indicated, before the following spring. Moreover, the priest Duodechin of Lahnstein, in speaking of the German contingent, says specifically that they passed the winter at Lisbon until 1 February, and then proceeded on their way to the Sepulchre. It seems not unlikely, therefore, that the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi was

---

1 See below, pp. 134, 135.
2 The purification of the cathedral and the restoration of the episcopal see of Lisbon on All Saints Day. See below, pp. 180, 181.
3 Compare note 2 above.
4 Compare note 1 above.
5 See below, p. 181, note 1. The author of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi himself says that after the siege had been going on for six weeks (i.e., in about the second week of August), the Anglo-Norman forces drew up their ships on dry land, lowered the masts, and put the cordage under the hatches as a sign that they were spending the winter. This seems to be represented as a ruse de guerre; but it may well have been more than that. See below, pp. 136, 137.
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composed in Lisbon during the winter of 1147–48 in substantially its present form. The author doubtless had free access to the documents which he quotes, and in some way, we know not how, he had access to the Collectanea rerum memorabilium of Solinus. And he had time to perform his task with care and deliberation.\(^1\)

The surviving manuscript is doubtless not free from some copyist’s errors; but there seems no good reason to suppose that it does not reproduce with reasonable fidelity the original text of the author.

THE AUTHOR \(^2\)

Concerning the author of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi unfortunately nothing is known beyond what can be inferred from the work itself. That he was an active participant in the crusade is perfectly apparent. That he was of Norman rather than of English descent has been argued by Ulrich Cosack\(^3\) from the fact that he tends in his narrative to give precedence to the Normans over the English, and by Reinhold Pauli from the fact that he occasionally makes use of a French word, as, for example, garciones.\(^4\) A more careful examination of his work seems to justify the conjecture that he was a priest of the virile fighting type that was likely to be attracted by crusading enterprises, that he traveled with the Anglo-Norman contingent from East Anglia, being particularly interested in the deeds of the men of Suffolk, and that he was closely associated with Hervey de Glanvill, principal leader of the Anglo-Norman forces.\(^5\)

\(^1\) On such a hypothesis it is conceivable that the existing manuscript is the author’s autograph, as Stubbs has said, but for the reasons which have been set forth above this seems to me very unlikely.

\(^2\) Some parts of the following paragraphs have, with the permission of the editors, been reproduced, in greatly revised form, from what I have already written in Speculum, VII (1932), 50–57.


\(^4\) MGH, Scriptores, XXVII, 5, note 2. But he also uses the Anglo-Saxon worma. See below, pp. 66–67, note 2.

\(^5\) Perhaps he served Glanvill as chaplain, though specific evidence on this point is lacking.
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The language which he uses throughout his work, and particularly in the passages where he pauses for reflection; his interest in religious or ecclesiastical events, in churches, relics, miracles, and sermons; his frequent quotation from the Scriptures all reveal unmistakably the attitude of a priest, though not of a fanatical or narrow-minded one. The conjecture of Pauli that he was the "certain priest" who, holding a piece of the true cross in his hands, preached the sermon on the occasion of the dedication of an Anglo-Norman siege-tower at Lisbon appears to be entirely consistent with known facts and seems to me very probable; though it is difficult to adduce any positive evidence in its support.

As to his fighting qualities, from the animation of his narrative and the abundance of details which he records, it is difficult to escape the conviction that he took part personally in the unpremeditated attack of the rank and file of the Anglo-Normans which resulted in the capture of the western suburb of Lisbon, and in the heroic struggle by which the Anglo-Norman siege-tower was successfully defended while it was being moved up against the wall of the city for the final attack. His interest in the brave deeds of the men of Suffolk is revealed in his account of the seven youths of Ipswich who defended the siege-tower under the shelter of a "Welsh cat."

1 See below, pp. 60-65, 94-97, 132-35, 146, 147, 166, 167, 174, 175, 182-85.
2 MGH, Scriptores, XXVII, 5, note 3.
3 Below, p. 146: "sacerdos quidam, sacrosanctam ligni dominici tenens in manibus partículam, sermonem huiusmodi habuit." I have pointed out in Speculum, VII (1932), 52, that the appearance of the sermon in the manuscript (the care with which it is written, the special capitals with which it is adorned, etc.) may suggest the conscious pride of the author of an address delivered on an important public occasion, but if, as I now believe (see above, pp. 34-40), the manuscript is not an autograph, this argument is without validity—except on the weak assumption that, for an unknown reason, the抄录ist was slavishly following the original.
4 Below, pp. 124-29, 158-65. If it is true, as has been conjectured, that he was the preacher of the sermon at the dedication of the tower, then the following passage, as being in his own words, is worthy of especial note: "Ego vero ipse, fratres, in tribulationibus et laboribus vestris particeps premiorumque vestrorum socius sicut vobis spondeo mihi fieri opto. Deo opitulante in hac machina, huius ligni sacrosancti custos et comes inseparabilis, vita comite vobiscum manebo." Below, p. 156.
5 Below, pp. 160, 161.
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His interest in Hervey de Glanvill and his close association with him receive repeated illustration. In the catalogue of the forces with which he begins his narrative he names him first, as commander of the ships of Norfolk and Suffolk, among the four constables (constabularii) who were the leaders of the Anglo-Norman contingents.¹ On a critical day in the negotiations between the crusaders and King Affonso Henriques of Portugal, when a powerful minority of “about eight shiploads of Normans and men from Southampton and Bristol,” under the leadership of one William Viel, declared their opposition to stopping at Lisbon and their determination to push onward and practice piracy on “the merchant vessels of Africa and Spain,” their defection was only prevented, according to the author, by an impassioned appeal from Hervey de Glanvill, and he reproduces the speech with evident pride and admiration.² Again, his language leaves no doubt that after the first brush with the enemy upon the arrival of the fleet in the Tagus before Lisbon, he was one of the little band of thirty-nine, who, with Hervey de Glanvill and Saher of Archelle, lay out on guard all night in a particularly exposed and dangerous position when there had not yet been time to establish a defensible camp.³ And this same close association seems once more to be revealed in his account of the unpremeditated attack of the Anglo-Normans upon the western suburb of Lisbon, which has already been referred to; for in recording the decision of Saher of Archelle to continue the attack, since a withdrawal was impractical, he says that he took what men he could get “from our tent and from his own” (ex nostro tentorio vel ex suo proprio). Hervey de Glanvill happened not to be present on this occasion, but there can be little doubt that by “our tent” the author means the Glanvill tent.⁴ Perhaps even more instructive is the situation revealed when the Moors in Lisbon

¹ Below, pp. 54-55. ² Below, pp. 104-11. ³ Below, pp. 96, 97. ⁴ Below, pp. 126-27. The full significance of the passage here cited only becomes clear when it is compared with the passage cited in note 3 above. It is manifest that the author was a tent companion of Hervey de Glanvill at Lisbon. Pauli, in MGH, Scriptores, XXVII, 5, note 1, was the first to draw attention to these two significant passages.
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had been brought to the point of surrendering the city and a brief truce had been arranged. Five hostages were received from the Moors by Hervey de Glanvill on behalf of the Anglo-Norman forces and by Fernando Captivo on behalf of King Affonso, and by them they were in due course handed over to the king. This action caused grave dissatisfaction to spread among the Anglo-Normans, where the king was distrusted and where it was felt that the hostages ought not to have been delivered into his hands; and at the instigation of a renegade priest of Bristol a mutiny was started among the lower ranks which was directed against Hervey de Glanvill. Our author defends Glanvill with eloquence and animation; and from his language it transpires that he himself was present with Glanvill in the king’s camp when emissaries were dispatched to calm the mutineers.¹

Such, with one exception, are the facts concerning the author which emerge from a careful examination of his work. That exception must now be considered. The opening words of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi as they stand in the manuscript are as follows: OSB. de bald. R sal.² It is obvious that we have here the initial formula of a letter which contains the names of the author and his correspondent followed by the word salutem. But how shall the abbreviations of the names be extended, and which name is that of the writer and which that of the addressee? Shall OSB. be extended as Osbertus or Osbernus to designate the writer, or shall it be extended as Osberto or Osberno to designate the addressee? And shall R be extended in the nominative case as Radulfus—or some other name beginning with R—to designate the author, or shall it be extended in the dative case to designate the addressee? Unfortunately it is impossible to decide.

Since the sixteenth century the author has been known as

¹ Below, pp. 168, 170: “De quibus amplius quadrigentis ex castris proruentes circum quaque armati perscrutantur, licet eum absentem noverint, voce magna clamantes, ‘Tollatur impius, punitur proditor.’ Hoc itaque comperto, cum castris interessemus regis, a quibusdam senioribus nostrorum obviam itum est compescendum eorum vehementie initia.”

² See frontispiece, line 1, and cf. p. 52, below.
Osbern, for, as has already been pointed out, his name so appears in a brief table of contents, evidently dating from Archbishop Parker's time, which is written inside the flyleaf at the beginning of the manuscript volume in which his work is contained; and this name has been repeated in three successive catalogues of the manuscripts of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, as well as in Cooper's account of the Public Records, and so has become traditional. But since there is nothing to show that the traditional rendering is older than the sixteenth century, it is evident that it is worthy of little respect.

Reasoning from his obvious close connection with Hervey de Glanvill, the present editor once believed that he had found ground for identifying the author with Osbert, clerk of Bawdsey (Osbertus clericus de Baldreseia) who, along with three members of the Glanvill family (Osbert, Gerard, and Hervey), attested a charter of Ranulf de Glanvill, the famous chief justiciar of England, in 1171. But critics have shown the weakness of this hypothesis, and it now seems on the whole more likely that, if OSB. de baldre is to be identified with Osbert, clerk of Bawdsey (which is not certain), he was the addressee rather than the writer of the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi. It was a rule of mediaeval epistolography that a writer should place his correspondent's name before his own, unless he were addressing a subordinate. In the present case,

1 See above, p. 29.
2 [Willam Stanley], Catalogus librorum MSS. in bibliotheca collegii Corporis Christi in Cantabrigia ... (London, 1722), No. G 13; James Nasmith, Catalogus librorum MSS. quos collegio Corporis Christi ... in Acad. Cantabrig. legavit ... M. Parker (Cambridge, 1777), No. 470; M. R. James, Descriptive Catalogue of the Manuscripts in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, No. 470.
3 C. P. Cooper, An Account of the Most Important Public Records of Great Britain ... (London, 1832), II, 166.
4 A village near the southeast coast of Suffolk at the mouth of the River Deben.
5 Speculum, VII (1932), 54–57. The witness list of the Glanvill charter is printed ibid., p. 56, note 2.
7 Ibid.
8 See, e.g., Alberic of Monte Cassino, in L. Rockinger, Briefsteller und Formelbücher (Quellen und Erörterungen zur bayerischen und deutschen Geschichte, IX, Munich, 1864), pp. 11, 12.
since the writer was obviously not a person of exalted rank in either church or state, there is no apparent reason for supposing that he was writing to a subordinate. On the contrary, it seems more likely that he would have been addressing some patron who stood above him, or perhaps more likely still (since there is no ground for supposing his correspondent to have been a great person) that he would have been addressing some friend upon terms of equality. Moreover, attention has been drawn to the remarkable contrast between the mere initial R, which stands for one name, and the much less drastically abbreviated OSB. de baldr., which stands for the other; and it has been argued that a mediaeval letter-writer would be unlikely to designate his correspondent with a single letter while writing his own name so nearly in full.\(^1\)

It is to be hoped that someone more fortunate than the present editor will light upon some clue or clues which will lead to a solution of these difficult problems. But for the present there appears to be no choice but to leave the author in a kind of perplexing half-world, neither known nor yet wholly anonymous. He is none the less a singularly appealing figure. His Latin style is undoubtedly against him, and his range of reading, so far as can be seen from his work, may hardly have extended beyond the Bible and Solinus; and of the latter he made a not very intelligent use. But his deficiency in book lore finds some compensation in his zest of life and in an interest in experience which extended to details. Surely no more eager or enthusiastic traveler ever journeyed abroad. He was an absorbed observer of the lands which he visited and of the peoples with whom he came in contact, of topography and climate, of soil and its products, of strange habits and customs. His sturdy moral principles and his fair but insular outlook on the continental world were of a quality which may still to this day be properly called English. He was critical of the Germans and Flemings, whose conduct hardly squared with his standards, but he was willing to give them their due; and he did not spare English or Normans when he thought

\(^{1}\) Speculum, VII (1932), 395.
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them in the wrong. He was thoroughly loyal to his own cause and his own religion, but he was not fanatical or cruel. He was interested in the religion as well as in the customs of the enemy; and he was moved with sympathy for their sufferings in defeat, though he acknowledged that the ways of God must remain inscrutable. He came far closer than most writers of his epoch to seeing a crusade as it really was.

THE TEXT

In preparing the *De expugnatione Lyxbonensi* for publication the editor has been guided by the belief that mediaeval texts lose much of their value through standardization. But since he hopes that the present text may prove to be of sufficient interest to attract some readers who are not specialists, he has thought it wise to follow a rule of moderation and not place unnecessary difficulties in their way. Specifically this means that the following practices have been adopted:

In the matter of capitalization and punctuation the editor has used discretion, endeavoring to approach an acceptable modern standard without departing any further than seemed necessary from the practice of the manuscript. Since the author's frequent use of fragmentary sentences, divided by full stops, usually causes no serious difficulty, they have generally been allowed to stand unconnected in the printed text. With respect to paragraphing, a few broad divisions are indicated in the manuscript, but they are quite inadequate to the demands of modern taste and convenience. The present division into paragraphs is, therefore, almost entirely the work of the editor. In the spelling of proper names the manuscript has been followed exactly. Apart from proper names the spellings of the manuscript have also been respected, except where the departure from standardized forms seemed so extreme as to give offense or cause difficulties. In such cases the spelling has been regularized in the printed text, and the manuscript reading has been given in a footnote. Thus, for example, *negligens, supliciter, imfra, and columnnia* have been allowed to stand, while *epdomãda (hebdomada), cachymno (cachimno),*
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reumata (rheumata), and tysis (phthisis) have been standardized. Inevitably this has involved the exercise of much discretion; many will doubtless often disagree with the editor’s decisions and think them arbitrary (which is acknowledged), but since the true reading of the manuscript will always be at hand, no serious difficulty can arise.¹

Some peculiar difficulties have arisen in connection with the extension of abbreviations. As a rule there are no difficulties, and the editor has taken full responsibility for the extensions; but in a few cases, where there is a reasonable doubt, he has used italics to extend the abbreviations in the form which seemed to him most probably correct. In many cases abbreviations have been extended in spellings which are at variance with classical standards. Here the constant endeavor has been to conform to the spelling of the writer of the manuscript. Thus the preposition apud, which is regularly abbreviated by suspension, has invariably been extended as aput, since this is proved to be the writer’s spelling by a number of examples in which he has written the word in full.

The diphthong ae requires special consideration. Apart from three exceptions² which may be said to prove the rule, this diphthong is not used in the manuscript. As a rule its place is taken by a simple e; but the use of e-cedilla (e) is not uncommon. Care has been taken to print e-cedilla in the text wherever it occurs in the manuscript; but in the very numerous cases where the manuscript gives only a mark of abbreviation, this has been extended as a simple e, in accord with the writer’s most usual practice.³

¹ Occasional obvious slips of grammar, or in the use of a word, have also been corrected and the manuscript reading has been relegated to a footnote, but this practice has been followed sparingly.

² Coniuratae (fol. 144v, line 22); lactentium, for lactentium (fol. 127r, line 12); universitatem, for universitasem (fol. 132v, line 24).

³ The practice I have adopted in printing ũ to represent consonantal u will give offense to some, since it is not in accord with mediaeval usage; but it is a convenience to the modern eye, and it is tolerated by high authority. See H. Maxwell Lyte, "‘U’ and ‘V,’ a Note on Palaeography," in Institute of Historical Research, Bulletin, Vol. II, No. 6 (1925), pp. 63-65.
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PREVIOUS EDITIONS

The De expugnatione Lyxbonensi was first published in 1861 by the Lisbon Academy of Sciences in *PMH, Scriptores*, I, 391–405. This edition was printed from a transcript made by N. E. S. A. Hamilton of the Department of Manuscripts of the British Museum, a number of whose observations on the text were preserved in footnotes marked with the letter *H*. Editorial supervision was undoubtedly by Alexandre Herculano, though his name does not appear in connection with the edition. His comments are often acute and helpful, but no attempt is made to solve most of the editorial problems.

The work was again published in 1864, apparently without knowledge of previous publication, under the editorship of William Stubbs in the introduction (pp. cxlii–clxxxii) to his *Itinerarium peregrinorum et gesta regis Ricardi*, in *Chronicles and Memorials of the Reign of Richard I* (London, 1864–65). The text of Stubbs is usually, though by no means always, preferable to that of Hamilton, but it is still far from satisfactory. The treatment of editorial problems is also less satisfactory than in the earlier edition, but is still very inadequate. It seems fair to the memory of Stubbs to say that he evidently regarded the printing of this text as but incidental to more important undertakings, and that he cannot have given it his best attention.

Extracts from the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, edited by Reinhold Pauli, were published in 1885, in *MGH, Scriptores*, XXVII, 5–10. Pauli’s text is based on that of Stubbs, but with corrections from the manuscript, of which he had made an independent examination. His editorial comments are slight, but, so far as they go, helpful.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

After the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, which stands in a class by itself, the fullest and most satisfactory contemporary

---

account of the Lisbon crusade of 1147 is contained in three other letters of participants, as follows: (1) Ein Brief des kölnischen Priesters Winand über den Kreuzzug gegen Lissabon im Jahre 1147, edited by Ernst Dümmel [Vienna, 1851: privately printed]; (2) a letter of Duodechin, priest of Lahnstein, to Cuno, abbot of Disibodenberg (near Mainz), in Annales sancti Disibodi, edited by Georg Waitz (MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27–28); (3) a letter of Arnulf, presumably a Flemish priest, to Milo, bishop of Thérouanne, in HF, XIV, 325–27 (also in E. Martène and U. Durand, Veterum scriptorum . . . amplissima collectio, Paris, 1724–33, II, 800–802, and in PMH, Scriptores, I, 406–407). But apart from their opening formulae, these three letters are so nearly identical that it is evident that in reality they constitute but a single source.¹ For convenience I have referred to it throughout the present work as the “Teutonic Source,” meaning thereby to emphasize the fact that it reflects the viewpoint of the German and Flemish elements, as the Anglo-Norman point of view is reflected in the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi. Though the two authorities are in remarkably close agreement on most of the points which both treat, I have found no reason to suppose that they are not entirely independent of one another.²

There are only two sources of any importance emanating

¹The conjecture of Friedrich Wilken (Die Geschichte der Kreuzzüge, Leipzig, 1807–32, III, Pt. 1, p. 264, note 1), made more than a century ago, that a single account was composed by one of the crusaders, and then appropriated by several of his fellows who sent it home as their own with but slight alterations and additions, seems plausible. It is approved by Cosack, Eroberung von Lissabon, pp. 3–6; cf. W. Wattenbach, Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen (6th ed., Berlin, 1893–94), II, 433, note 2.
²According to Wattenbach, loc. cit., it has been argued by Widmann (“Dudechin von Lahnstein,” in Rhenus: Zeitschrift für Geschichte der Mitteleuropas, I, 1883, Nos. 9, 10) that the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi was a source of Duodechin’s work. It has proved impossible to consult a file of Rhenus in this country.
from Portugal, viz., the *Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii Ulixbone* (PMH, *Scriptores*, I, 91–93) and the *Chronica Gothorum* (ibid., 8–17). The former, which is by far the more important, is a foundation history, apparently dating from 1188, of the monastery of St. Vincent de Fora at Lisbon, which was founded by King Affonso Henriques in 1148 to commemorate the great victory over the Moors.


Outside of Portugal the Lisbon crusade has attracted far less attention in modern times than its interest and importance would seem to warrant. I have noted only one English historian of the epoch who has devoted to it as much as two pages.¹ The following works in German² are worthy of mention: Ulrich Cosack, *Die Eroberung von Lissabon im Jahre 1147* (Halle, 1875: dissertation); Reinhold Röhrich, *Beiträge zur Geschichte der Kreuzzüge* (Berlin, 1874–78), II, 79–92; Wilhelm Bernhardi, *Konrad III* (Leipzig, 1883), pp. 579–90; Friedrich Kurth, *Der Anteil niederdeutscher Kreuzfahrer an den Kämpfen der Portugiesen gegen die Mauren*, in Institut für österreichische Geschichtsforschung, *Mitteilungen*, Ergänzungsband VIII (1909), 133–59 (also independently as a doctoral dissertation, Innsbruck, 1909). Of the four, that of Kurth is the fullest account of the whole movement; but that of Cosack is the most detailed and critically helpful for the part with which it deals. Unfortunately it is incomplete, stopping short about the middle of July when the siege of Lisbon had barely got under way. The brief sketch of Röhrich is the most readable; and while it is not free from errors, it is for the most part based closely on the sources.


² Wilken’s account (*Geschichte der Kreuzzüge*, III, Pt. 1, pp. 264–69) was written without knowledge of the *De expugnatione Lyxbonensi* and is therefore of but slight value.
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The conquest of Lisbon has naturally attracted much attention from Portuguese historians, and to this day perhaps the most satisfactory modern account, though it was written before any of the German works above mentioned, is that by Alexandre Herculano in his *Historia de Portugal* (1846–53, 8th definitive ed. by D. Lopes and P. de Azevedo, Paris and Lisbon, n.d.), III, 7–52. The most extensive work so far devoted to the Lisbon crusade is that of Julio de Castilho, a disciple of Herculano, who has given it a whole volume in his elaborate *Lisboa antiga*, Pt. 2, Vol. II (Coimbra, 1884); but this work is prolix and sadly lacking in the critical rigor and in the insight of the author's master.

Of outstanding importance for the mediaeval topography and fortifications of Lisbon are Augusto Vieira da Silva's *O Castello de S. Jorge: Estudo historico-descriptivo* (Lisbon, 1898) and the same author's *A Cerca moura de Lisboa: Estudo historico-descriptivo* (Lisbon, 1899). These are the work of a military engineer, and are based on archaeological research and accompanied by careful maps and plans. The student of the siege of 1147 will also profit from the use of both the descriptive matter and the maps in the first volume of the elaborate *Guia de Portugal*, which is being published under the auspices of the Biblioteca Nacional of Lisbon (Lisbon, 1924–).

1 Both are off-prints from the *Revista de engenharia militar*. 
De expugnatione Lyxbonensi¹

[1257]

OSBERTO de Baldreseia R.,² salutem.

Qualiter circa nos habeatur magni fore voti aput vos scitu pro certo credimus, idemque de vobis aput nos agi nulla dubitatione teneamini. Itineris ergo nostri vel prospera vel adversa vel que interim facta vel dicta vel visa vel audita, relatu digna fuerint quecumque scripto manifestabimus.

Igitur aput portum de Dertemuñe³ diversarum nationum et morum et linguarum gentes navibus circiter C. LXIII.⁴ convenere. Horum omnium trifarium partitur exercitus. Sub comite Arnoldo de Aerescot, nepote Godefridi ducis,⁵ a Ro-

¹ The work is without title in the manuscript. To avoid bibliographical confusion I have retained the title which was ascribed to it in the edition of William Stubbs (see above, p. 48) and which approximates closely to the titles adopted by other editors and cited in the well-known bibliographies of August Potthast and Auguste Moliner.

² On the author and his correspondent, see above, pp. 40-46.

³ Dartmouth, in Devonshire, 27 miles east of Plymouth, long remained a favorite port of departure for crusades and pilgrimages to Spain, Portugal, and the East. It so served for a squadron which came from beyond the North Sea in 1189, De itinere navali, de eventibus deque rebus a peregrinis Hierosolymam petientibus MCLXXXIX for-titer gestis narratio, ed. Costanzo Gazzera, in R. Accademia delle scienze di Torino, Memorie, 2d ser., II (1840), Scienze morali, storiche e filologiche, p. 192; also for the expedition of Counts George of Wied and William of Holland in 1217, Quinque belli sacri scriptores minores (ed. Reinhold Röhricht, Geneva, 1879), pp. 29, 59. It was still in use for the maritime pilgrimage to Santiago de Compostela in the middle of the fifteenth century, C. L. Kingsford, Prejudice and Promise in XVth Century England (Oxford, 1925), p. 90.

⁴ The “Teutonic Source” (see above, pp. 48-49) gives the number of ships at Dartmouth as “almost two hundred,” Ein Brief des kölischen Priesters Winand über den Kreuzzug gegen Lissabon im Jahre 1147 (ed. Ernst Dümmler [Vienna, 1851]), p. 3; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27. According to the Portuguese Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii, in PMH, Scriptores, I, 91, there were one hundred and ninety ships in the besieging fleet at Lisbon. According to the Sigeberti continuatio Praemonstratensis, in MGH, Scriptores, VI, 453, the total Christian forces at the siege of Lisbon numbered 13,000. Compare Ulrich Cosack, Die Eroberung von Lissabon im Jahre 1147 (Halle, 1875: dissertation), p. 23, note 1; Friedrich Kurth, Der Anteil niederdeutscher Kreuzfahrer an den Kämpfen der Portugiesen gegen die Mauren, in Institut für österreichische Geschichtsforschung, Mitteilungen, Ergänzungsband VIII (1909), 135.
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TO OSBERT of Bawdsey, R.,² greeting.

We confidently believe that you will have a great longing to know how it goes with us, and you may rest assured that a like yearning is felt by us concerning you. Accordingly, we will set forth in writing all the events of our voyage which have been worth telling, whether fortunate or adverse, and all that was done or said or seen or heard in its course.

To begin, then, men of divers nations, customs, and speech assembled in the port of Dartmouth³ in about one hundred and sixty-four⁴ vessels. The whole expedition was divided into three parts. Under Count Arnold of Aerschot, nephew of Duke Godfrey,⁵ were the forces from the territories of the Roman

The German squadron set sail from Cologne on 27 April and arrived at Dartmouth on 19 May. Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 3; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27.

Arnold III, count of Aerschot (on the River Demer, in the arrondissement of Louvain, province of Brabant, Belgium), nephew of Duke Godfrey I of Lower Lotharingia. Fragmentary information concerning him and his family may be gleaned from documentary sources. In 1125 he joined with his father, Count Arnold of Aerschot, and his brother Godfrey in a donation of lands at Buggenhout and elsewhere to the abbey of Afflighem, when John, another of his brothers, became a monk there: Cartulaire de l’abbaye d’Afflighem (ed. Edg. de Marneffe, Louvain, 1894), pp. 68–69; also in Albertus Miraeus, Opera diplomatica (2d ed. by J. F. Foppens, Louvain, 1723–48), I, 375; II, 817; cf. Cartulaire d’Afflighem, p. 109. It was probably in 1146 that he and his brother Godfrey and a third brother Rainier, who was archdeacon of Liége from 1126 to 1169 (cf. Analectes pour servir à l’histoire ecclésiastique de la Belgique, XXXI, 1905, p. 155; XXXVII, 1911, p. 49), joined in a donation to the abbey of Middelburg: Bijdragen voor de Geschiedenis van het Bisdom van Haarlem, XXV (1900), 415–16. (On the date of this charter see ibid., XX, 1895, p. 172, XXV, 1900, pp. 413–15; F.-J. Raymaekers, “Recherches historiques sur l’ancienne abbaye de Parc,” in Revue Catholique, 6th ser., I, 1858, p. 416. For another edition of the charter, by Edg. de Marneffe, from a late copy, see Bijdragen tot de Geschiedenis bijzonderlijk van het aloude Hertogdom Brabant, ed. P. J. Goetschalckx, Hoogstraten, 2d year, 1903, p. 427.) Probably at about the same time the three brothers joined in still other benefactions to the abbey of Middelburg: ibid., p. 428; Bijdragen voor de Geschiedenis . . . van Haarlem, XX (1895), 172–73. These and other benefactions of the three brothers were confirmed by Henry, bishop of Liége, in 1152 and 1157: ibid., XXV (1900),
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mani imperii partibus* secedit exercitus. Sub Christiano de
gistella Flandrenses et Bononenses. Ceterorum omnium sub
constabularis quatuor. Sub Herveo de Glanvilla* Norfolcenses

*An erasure of 3 millimetres follows partibus. Apparently the first two letters of
exercitus were written by mistake, and then erased.

Sometime between the latter part of 1142 and the early part of 1146 Count Arnold
attested the charter of Duke Godfrey III of Lower Lotharingia in which the latter
proclaimed that he had received the advocateship of the abbey of Tongerloo from the
emperor Conrad III: Mireau, op. cit., I, 536; cf. Alphonse Wauters, Table chronologique
des chartes et diplèmes imprimés concernant l'histoire de la Belgique (Brussels, 1866–
1912), II, 258. That Arnold was the nephew of Duke Godfrey I (d. 1140) of Lower
Lotharingia, as the author says, is proved by a charter of 1134 in which the duke
recognizes Arnold's brother Rainier as his nephew: Revue Catholique, 6th ser., I (1858),
409, note 2. Arnold is said to have had another uncle named Godfrey, his father's
brother, who made a career for himself in Hainault: Mireau, op. cit., II, 814, 820;
Alphonse Wauters, in Biographie nationale de Belgique, VII, 848; Charles Leyssens,
Arnold's grandfather, Arnold, the first count of Aerschot, went on the First Crusade
with Godfrey of Bouillon, but I can find no acceptable authority for this. Count
Arnold was evidently the most important noble who took part in the Lisbon crusade.
The priests Winand and Duodechin, in recording the arrival of the forces from Cologne
at Dartmouth, speak almost as if he were the commander of the entire fleet: Brief
des Priesters Winand, p. 3; MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27.

1 Christian of Ghistelles (arrondissement of Ostend, province of West Flanders) is
probably he of the same name who can be traced in chronicles and documents from
1128 to 1168. In the former year he and an unnamed son were fighting on the side of
William Clito, son of Robert Curthose, against Thierry of Alsace in the war then being
waged over the countship of Flanders: Galbert of Bruges, Histoire du meurtre de
His wife, who was a sister of Borsiard (or Burchard), nephew of Bertulf, prêtre de
Bruges, had the good fortune to escape disinheritance when punishment was
visited on those who were implicated in the conspiracy which resulted in the murder
of Count Charles the Good in the previous year: Chronicon Hanonense quod dictum
Baldunii Avennensis, in MGH, Scriptores, XXV, 443. In 1139, 1133, and 1146 he wit-
nessed charters of Thierry of Alsace, count of Flanders: Chronicon monasterii
Aldenburgensis maius (ed. Ferdinand van de Putte, Ghent, 1843), p. 88; André Duchesne,
Histoire généalogique des maisons de Guines, d'Ardes, de Gand, et de Coucy (Paris, 1631),
Preuves, p. 71; Chronicon et cartularium abbatiae Sancti Nicolai Furnensis (ed. Ferdi-
nand van de Putte and Charles Carton, Bruges, 1849), p. 84. In 1151 he witnessed a
charter of Walter de Heines, advocatus of the church of Saint Pierre of Oudenbourg
(Chron. mon. Aldenburgensis maius, p. 93) and in 1168 a charter of Philip of Alsace,
count of Flanders (Chartes et documents de l'abbaye de Saint-Pierre au Mont Blandin à
Stirum], Le Chambellan de Flandre et les sires de Ghistelles (Ghent, 1868), pp. 83–84;
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Empire; under Christian of Ghistelles,1 the Flemings and the men of Boulogne. All the others were under four constables: the ships of Norfolk and Suffolk under Hervey de Glanvill,2

1The family name is probably derived from the Norman village of Glanville, arrondissement and canton of Pont-l'Évêque, department of Calvados. It seems impossible to determine the exact relationship of Hervey de Glanvill with Robert de Glanvill who figures prominently as an undertenant in Suffolk at the time of the Domesday Survey. He may have been the father or the grandfather of Ranulf de Glanvill, the famous chief justice of England who died at Acre on the Third Crusade. Ranulf's father was without any doubt named Hervey de Glanvill (The Crawford Collection of Early Charters and Documents, ed. A. S. Napier and W. H. Stevenson, Oxford, 1895, No. XVI; Curia Regis Rolls, London, 1922– , Richard I–2 John, p. 433), and it would seem natural to identify the latter with the Lisbon crusader, were it not for the complicating evidence of a late, and perhaps not wholly reliable, record of a meeting of the county court of Norfolk and Suffolk, held at Norwich between 3 Jan., 1148, and 3 Nov., 1153 (English Historical Review, XXXIX, 1924, pp. 569–71; also in Pinchbeck Register, ed. Francis Hervey, London, 1925, II, 297–99; cf. Speculum, VII, 1932, pp. 54–56). The principal decision of the court is said to have been determined by the testimony of one Hervey de Glanvill, then a man of advanced years, whose memory ran back beyond the reign of King Henry I and who had been attending courts of shire and hundred for fully fifty years; when the decision of the court was agreed upon, it is said to have been made with the assent, among others, of “Hervey son of Hervey and Robert de Glanvill.” Though it may not be absolutely necessary to conclude that there were two Herveys de Glanvill, father and son, this is an inference which seems too probable to be ignored. Ranulf de Glanvill may have been the son of either of them, and either may have been the leader of the Lisbon crusade. (A Hervey, son of Hervey, appears, in the accounts for Suffolk, in The Pipe Roll of 31 Henry I, ed. Joseph Hunter, reprint, London, 1929, p. 98.) According to W. U. S. Glanville-Richards, Records of the House of Glanville (London, 1882), pp. 23–26, et passim, the elder Hervey was the crusader, and Ranulf and Hervey, son of Hervey, were two of his nine sons; but little reliance can be placed on this work. There seems to be no possible ground for the suggestion of Jessopp (Thomas of Monmouth, The Life and Miracles of St. William of Norwich, ed. A. Jessopp and M. R. James, Cambridge, 1896, p. xxxii, note 3) that the elder Hervey was the grandfather of the Lisbon crusader. A Hervey de Glanvill can be traced in the following documents besides the ones already mentioned: a charter of Stephen, count of Mortain, afterwards king of England (Calendar of the Charter Rolls Preserved in the Public Record Office, London, 1903– , I, 46–47), of uncertain date but perhaps between 1113 and 1125 (see William Farrer, Honors and Knights Fees, London, etc., 1924–25, III, 438; idem, Early Yorkshire Charters, Edinburgh, 1914–16, III, 457; The Book of Fees, Commonly Called Testa de Nevill, London, 1920–21, Pt. I, pp. 137–38); the carta or return of Nigel, bishop of Ely, to the inquest of knights' fees of 1166, where he appears as the holder of a knight's fee in Suffolk (Red Book of the Exchequer, ed. Hubert Hall, London, 1896, Pt. I, p. 365); Ranulf de Glanvill's foundation charter of Butley Priory, 1171 (William Dugdale, Monasticon Anglicanum, new ed., London, 1817–30, VI, 380; cf. Speculum, VII, 1932, p. 66). There is record evidence of other children of a Hervey de Glanvill, besides Ranulf above mentioned, viz., a son John (Descriptive Catalogue of Ancient Deeds in...
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et Sudfolcenses. Sub Symone Dorobernensi\textsuperscript{1} omnes Cantie\textsuperscript{\textdagger} naves. Sub Andrea\textsuperscript{2} Londoniensæ. Sub Saherio de Arcellis\textsuperscript{3} relique omnium naves.

Inter hos tot linguarum populos firmissima concordie atque amicitie pignora;\textsuperscript{a} insuper leges severissimas sanxerunt, ut mortuum pro mortuo, dentem pro dente. Pretiosarum vestium omnimodum apparatum interdixerunt. Ne item mulieres\textsuperscript{4} in publico prodirent. Pacem servandam omnibus nisi ex indocto iniurias. Ut singulis hebdomadibus\textsuperscript{b} capitula serventur, seorsum a laicis, seorsum a clericis, nisi forte magna quedam utrorumque coniunctionem exigerent. Ut singule naves singulos presbyteros haberent, et eadem que in parrochiis observari iubentur. Ut nullus alterius nautam vel servientem in convictu suo retineat\textsuperscript{c}. Ut singuli singulis hebdomadibus\textsuperscript{b} confiterentur\textsuperscript{d} et die dominico communicarent. Et sic per cetera capitula usui nostro necessaria, singule singulis observationum sanctiones. Constituti sunt preterea de unoquoque milleno duo electi, qui iudices et coniurati dicerentur, per quos ex indocto constabulariorum causarum terminatio pecuniarumque distributio fieret.\textsuperscript{5}

\textsuperscript{a} pignora written in margin.  \textsuperscript{b} hebdomadibus.  \textsuperscript{c} An uncompleted correction of retineat.  \textsuperscript{d} A correction of confiterentur.

the Public Record Office, London, 1890–1906, III, 274), and two daughters Alice and Guia (Curia Regis Rolls, Richard I–2 John, pp. 155, 433). I know of no evidence that a Hervey de Glanvill held the office of chamberlain under King Stephen, as asserted by Glanville-Richards, op. cit., p. 22.

1 Probably, though not certainly, of Dover rather than Canterbury. By the twelfth century the word in this ambiguous form seems to have disappeared from documentary and official usage, but the narrative writers still made occasional use of it. Simon of Dover seems to be otherwise unknown.

2 He may well have been Andrew Buccuincte (Bucca Uncta), a very prominent citizen of London during the reigns of Henry I and Stephen. For all that is known about him see J. H. Round, The Commune of London and Other Studies (Westminster, 1899), pp. 97–113, and the references there cited. Round has traced him in documents from ca. 1125 onward, and has shown that in 1137 he was “justiciar” of London and that in 1139 the king addressed him first as the leading man of London. His identification with the Lisbon crusader of 1147 was first proposed by William Stubbs (Constitutional History of England, I, 5th ed., Oxford, 1891, p. 675). Round found it “very tempting”; but he evidently felt constrained to leave the question open, since “Andreas de Londonia is found as a witness to a Ramsey charter under Henry I, while Andrew Bucuincte used to attest under his own name.”
THE CONQUEST OF LISBON

those of Kent under Simon of Dover,¹ those of London under Andrew,² and all the rest under Saher of Archelle.³

Among these people of so many different tongues the firmest guarantees of peace and friendship were taken; and, furthermore, they sanctioned very strict laws, as, for example, a life for a life and a tooth for a tooth. They forbade all display of costly garments. Also they ordained that women should not go out in public;⁴ that the peace must be kept by all, unless they should suffer injuries recognized by the proclamation; that weekly chapters be held by the laity and the clergy separately, unless perchance some great emergency should require their meeting together; that each ship have its own priest and keep the same observances as are prescribed for parishes; that no one retain the seaman or the servant of another in his employ; that everyone make weekly confession and communicate on Sunday; and so on through the rest of the obligatory articles with separate sanctions for each. Furthermore, they constituted for every thousand of the forces two elected members who were to be called judges or coniurati, through whom the cases of the constables were to be settled in accordance with the proclamation and by whom the distribution of moneys was to be carried out.⁵

¹ The family name is presumably derived from the village of Archelle (a short distance inland from Dieppe), commune of Arques, canton of Offranville, department of Seine-Inferieure. Compare Auguste Longnon, Pouillés de la province de Rouen (Paris, 1903), pp. 390, 81, 35, 22; HF, XXIII, 258. Saher of Archelle appears to have been a feudal lord of somewhat higher rank than Hervey de Glanvill, for the author repeatedly refers to him (below, pp. 126, 127, 128, 129) as dominus Saherius, i.e., the lord Saher (one hesitates to say Dom Saher) at so early a date; but cf. J. H. Round, Calendar of Documents Preserved in France, London, 1899, No. 1212). He witnessed a grant by Gilbert of Ghent to Rufford Abbey [1147–53], Documents Illustrative of the Social and Economic History of the Danelaw (ed. F. M. Stenton, London, 1920), No. 348. He was a benefactor of Lincoln Cathedral, where his obit (31 May) appears in a list compiled “probably ca. 1185”: Statutes of Lincoln Cathedral (ed. Henry Bradshaw and Chr. Wordsworth, Cambridge, 1892–97), II, p. cxxxxviii. Evidence of two benefactions conferred by him on the Templars, one in Kent and the other at Lusby in Lincolnshire, has been preserved in the Templars’ Inquisitio or Feodary of 1185: Records of the Templars in England in the Twelfth Century, ed. B. A. Lees (London, 1935), pp. 24, 80, 99. ⁴ This is the only mention of women in connection with the expedition. ⁵ That the responsible members of the expedition were bound together by oath in a formal association is abundantly proved by the tenor of the arguments advanced in
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Hiis inibi sic statutis, sexta feria ante ascensionem Domini velificare incepimus. Subsequenti dominica costam Britannie, profunditatis dimensione saltem LXXV. cubitorum et maris nigredine, comperimus. Per biduum vero subsequens aurum placidissima serenitate detenti, nichil aut parum profecimus. [125v] Quarta feria vento incumbente prospero Balearicam maiorem, scilicet montium Pyreneorum capita, undarum magnitudine et fervore maris, comperimus. Vespere autem te[m]pestate aborta, omnes circumquaque dispersi sumus. Noctis enim supra modum tenebrositas atque insueta maris rheumata nauts etiam audacissimos desperare coge-

* er in this word was first written in full, then erased and a mark of abbreviation substituted—a curious, but not uncommon, practice in this manuscript.

b An erasure of one centimetre follows placidissima. Apparently detenti, which belongs after the next word, had been written by mistake.

c enim written in margin.

d reumata.

the course of disputes which arose concerning policy at Lisbon. See below, pp. 104, 105, 176, 177. It is highly probable that the author had before him as he wrote the text of the proclamation (indicium) which contained the ordinances for the maintenance of order, the distribution of spoils, etc., which were adopted before the fleet sailed from Dartmouth. Some such regulations would seem to have been a necessity for the success of any such enterprise. Those issued by Richard I for the enforcement of discipline in his fleet on its way to the Holy Land in 1190 are, of course, well known. Gesta regis Henrici Secundi (ed. William Stubbs, London, 1867), II, 110–11. A brief record also exists of the leges in exercitu servandae which were promulgated at Dartmouth and reinforced at Pointe-de-Saint-Mathieu (on the coast of Brittany) for the expedition of Counts George of Wied and William of Holland in 1217. Quinius bellissi sacri scripores minores (ed. Reinhold Röhrich, Geneva, 1879), pp. 29, 59–60; cf. Gosuinus, "De expugnatione Salaciae carmen," in Chronica regia Coloniensis (ed. Georg Waitz, Hanover, 1880), p. 349, lines 29–32. But no other record appears to be extant which is so full or so early as that of the regulations of 1147, and these take on an additional interest from the fact that the Lisbon crusade seems to have been organized upon a more broadly democratic basis than any analogous enterprise of which we possess adequate knowledge. I owe to Professor Henri Pierre the suggestion (made in private correspondence) that the ordinances of 1147 were in part inspired by laws for the enforcement of peace (leges pacis) which are to be met with in certain municipal charters of Flanders and neighboring parts of France and Germany. The charter granted to Saint-Omer in 1127 by William Clito contains the phrase (cap. 20) oculum pro oculo, dentem pro dente: A. Giry, Histoire de la ville de Saint-Omer (Paris, 1877), pp. 371–75; the charter of Louis VI to Laon in 1128, the phrase (cap. 5) caput pro capite, membrum pro membro: L. A. Warnkoenig and L. Stein, Französische Staats- und Rechtsgeschichte (Basel, 1846–48), I, Urkundenbuch, pp. 30–34. The pacis securitas qua Furnenses fruuntur, which was granted to Poperinghe, and probably also to Arques, in 1147, provided
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These ordinances having thus been established, we began to make sail on the Friday\(^1\) before Ascension.\(^2\) On the following Sunday,\(^3\) when in dark water of a depth of at least seventy-five cubits, we sighted the coast of Brittany. But during the two following days we were almost becalmed and made little or no progress. On Wednesday,\(^4\) with the wind blowing favorably, the waves being great and the sea rough, we sighted *Balearica Maior*,\(^5\) that is, the peaks of the Pyrenees Mountains. But as night came on a tempest arose, and we were scattered in every direction.\(^6\) Indeed, the excessive darkness of the night and the unaccustomed tossing of the waves compelled even the

---

1. 23 May, 1147.  
3. 25 May.  
4. 28 May.  
5. I can offer no explanation of the use of the word *Balearica* in this connection. Presumably the reference is to some part of the Picos de Europa. As conspicuous landmarks which, in fine weather, can be seen from more than sixty miles out to sea, they still figure prominently in pilot guides. See U.S. Hydrographic Office, *Bay of Biscay Pilot* (3d ed., Washington, 1926), pp. 434, 472. Los Urrioles, which stand directly south of Llanes and are only a few miles inland and of which Torre de Cerrédo (ca. 8,786 feet) and Naranjo de Balnes (ca. 8,340 feet) are the most conspicuous peaks, occupy the central, dominant position. See U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, No. 4379; cf. *Enciclopedia universal ilustrada Europeo-Americana* (Barcelona: J. Espasa, etc. [1912-30]), XXII, 1432-34.  
bat. Audite sunt interim Syrenes, horribilis sonitus, prius cum luctu, postea cum risu et cachinno,* quasi insultan tum castro-rum clamoribus. Per totam igitur dominicæ ascensionis noctem laborantibus, consors atque custos divina misericordia affuit, ut castigando castigaret et morti non traderet. Quanti illic penitentes, quoti peccata et neglignantias cum luctu confitentes et gemitu, peregrinationis suæ conversionem utcumque inceptam, inundatione lacrimarum diluentes, in ara cordis contriti Deo sacrificabant. Idque adeo actum ut dispensatio divina nullum pretererit, imo etiam celestis beneficii singulare privilegium se accepisse unusquisque gratularetur, ut longum sit enumerare per singula quantis visionum imaginibus divina miracula patuerint. Postera igitur die,² paululum sedestempestate, in Hyspania aput portum Sancti Salvatoris, qui dicitur Mala Rupis,³ feliciter applicuimus. Ibidem enim ecclesia a Mauris ante parum temporis fuerat destructa, monachorum cenobio celeberrima.¹ Distat autem a civitate Oveti

* cacymnno.

¹ 29 May. “In vigilia ascensionis et in ipsa die solemnitatist.” Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 3; cf. Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 325.
² 30 May.
³ Presumably the port of Gozón, which is probably to be identified with the modern harbor of Luano some twelve miles northwest of Gijón and about seven or eight miles from the tip of Cape Peñas, province of Oviedo; although both Cosack (Die Eroberung von Lissabon, p. 24) and Kurth (Niederdeutscher Kreuzfahrer, in Institut für österreichische Geschichtsforschung, Mitteilungen, Ergänzungsband, VII, 1909, p. 138) believed, though with some hesitation, that Gijón was the port designated. The Teutonic Source (Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 3; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 335) gives Gozzim or Gozaem (which is certainly to be identified with Gozón) as the landing place, but Kurth (loc. cit.) was of the opinion that this designation was not applicable in the case of the ships whose movements are recorded in the De expugnatiæ Lyxbonensi. However, the identification with Gozón is, as will appear below, in some degree supported by the words of the text, portus Sancti Salvatoris and Mala Rupis. The name Gozón, which now survives only as that of a municipal district (municipio) with its capital or administrative centre at Luanco, is derived from the mediaeval castle of Gozón, or Gauzon, which was built in the ninth century by Alfonso III of Asturias as a defense against the Norsemen. The exact location of the castle has apparently not been determined, but there is reason to believe that it stood on Punta del Castillo above Luanco on the north side of Luanco Bay. “Castellum etiam concedimus Gauzonem cum ecclesia S. Salvatoris, quae est intra, cum omni sua mandatione, et cum ecclesias quæ sunt extra illud castellum, videlicet ecclesiam Sanctæ Mariae sitam sub ipso castro, monasterium S. Michaelis de Quilonio.
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bravest of our seamen to despair. At intervals the Sirens were heard, a horrible sound, first of wailing, and then of laughter and jeering, like the clamor of insolent men in a camp. Accordingly, through all the night of Ascension\(^1\) divine mercy was present as companion and protector of our men in travail, to the end that they might be corrected by chastisement but not delivered unto death. How many there were who, becoming penitent and confessing their sins and short-comings with sorrow and groaning and atoning with a flood of tears for the perversion of their pilgrimage, however it had been begun, offered sacrifices to God upon the altar of a contrite heart. Thus it happened that divine grace passed no one by, and, indeed, that everyone congratulated himself upon receiving the singular privilege of a heavenly favor, to such an extent that it would be tedious to relate in detail the divine miracles which were revealed in visions. And so next day,\(^2\) the storm having somewhat abated, we happily made land in Spain at the port of San Salvador, which is called Mala Rupis.\(^3\) The church there had recently been destroyed by the Moors—a very famous monastery.\(^4\) It

per suas terminos et locos antiquos . . . " says a charter of donation (dated 875) by the king to the cathedral of Oviedo: Enrique Flórez, España sagrada (Madrid, 1747–1879), XXXVII, 330; cf. ibid., p. 215. The church of the Savior within the castle seems to explain the designation portus Sancti Salvatoris, and Santa María is still the name of the parish of Luanco, which is quite properly described as sub ipso Castro. Beside the foregoing mediaeval text should be placed the words of the modern pilot: "Westward of Cabrito point is Luanco Point, rocky, with rocks named Pena Cercada, Lleixe, Pegollo, and Espiga, extending about 600 yards northward of it. The largest of these rocks is Pena Cercada, which is isolated at high water, and on it is a hermitage. Between this point and Punta del Castillo, about 3/4 mile to the northward, is Luanco Bay. On Punta del Castillo are the ruins of a castle and off it are some rocks, the outer of which is El Peón, with a reef around it. . . At the head of the bay is the town and port of Luanco": Bay of Biscay Pilot, p. 484; cf. U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, Nos. 4379, 4380. It seems impossible to identify any of the above named rocks with Mala Rupis, but such a name is readily understandable in view of the situation described in the pilot text. Finally, it should be noted that during the fifteenth century the port of Gozón was regularly known to navigators as "Peñas de Gozon," i.e., the Rocks of Gozón. See Konrad Kretschmer, Die italienischen Portolane des Mittelalters (Berlin, 1909), p. 574, and the references there cited. See also Enciclopedia universal, s.v. Gauzon, Gozón, and Luanco. I have been unable to consult in this country Manuel González Llanos, Monografía de Gozón.

\(^4\) This event seems not to be elsewhere reported. Could the monastery referred to be San Miguel de Quilono of the charter quoted above, note 3?
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miliaria X.,¹ in qua est ecclesia Salvatoris et totius Hyspaniæ preciosissimæ reliquiarum.² Adiacet autem provintia montuosa, ferarum venatibus et frugum generibus multimodis celeberima, admodum delectabilis nisi propriis inhabitatoribus fudentur.

Inde navigantes ad ripam Ovies³ pervenimus, que adiacet Lucane provintiæ. Distat autem miliaria XX.⁴ a civitate Lucana. Hinc iterum navigantes devenimus Ortigiam.⁵ Exin ad turrem Faris,⁶ que olim a Iulio Cesare constructa, admirandi operis, ut ibidem reditus⁷ et cause interminabiles totius Britanniae et Hybernie et Hispaniae quasi in meditulio commurent. Est enim [126r] adeo sita inter meridionalem et occidentalem plagam ut prima sit littoris appulsio recto tra-

*redditus.

¹ Actually more than 25 miles, if the identification of portus Sancti Salvatoris with Luanco is correct. The author’s figures of distances are invariably understated, and it would seem that he was using some longer unit than the ordinary mile. The author of the De itinere navali (R. Accademia delle Scienze di Torino, Memorie, 2d ser., II, 1840, p. 193), says more correctly, six leagues.

² The fame of these relics, which are listed in detail in the latest guidebook (Marcel Monmarché, Espagne, Paris, 1927, Les Guides bleus, p. 276), still persists, though they must recently have narrowly escaped destruction as a result of political disorders. In October, 1934, the Cámara Santa of the cathedral, in which the reliquary was kept, was besieged by rebels and its incomparable treasures largely reduced to ruins. The Arca Santa, or reliquary, is said to have fallen to pieces, badly crushed and scratched. See London Times, 24 November, 1934, p. 11, col. 1. On the early history of the relics, see Flórez, España sagrada, XXXVII, 279–94.

³ Rivadeo is situated in the corner of the province of Lugo at the mouth of the River Eo, which in its lower reaches divides the province of Lugo from that of Oviedo and was the boundary of the mediaeval principalities of Asturias and Galicia. The Teutonic Source mentions Vivero, province of Lugo, at the mouth of the River Landrove, as the port of call between Gozón and the mouth of the Tambre: Brief des Pries- ters Winand, p. 3; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 325. Evidently all parts of the fleet (perhaps as a result of the storm) did not make the same ports and at the same time.

⁴ Actually more than fifty miles.

⁵ Either Cape Ortegal, as Stubbs believed (Itinariarum, p. cxxv), or perhaps more probably Ortigueira, both near the northeastern corner of the province of Corunna. Ortigueira is on the Ria de Santa Marta de Ortigueira and affords a harbor which, though now unsatisfactory for any but small boats, would have been adequate in the twelfth century; Cape Ortegal extends into the sea to the west and north of the Ria de Santa Marta, and affords no shelter. The author seems to be giving harbors where shelter could be obtained. See U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, No. 4391; Enciclopedia universal, XL, 721.
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is ten miles\(^1\) distant from the city of Oviedo, in which is located the church of the Savior and the most precious relics of all Spain.\(^2\) Adjacent lies a mountainous province, very celebrated for its hunting and for the varied products of its soil, and altogether delightful, except that it is defiled by its own inhabitants.

Sailing thence we came to Rivadeo,\(^3\) which adjoins the province of Lugo and is twenty miles\(^4\) distant from the city of Lugo. Thence again sailing we came to Ortigueira (?);\(^5\) and thence to the Lighthouse Tower\(^6\) [Corunna], a wonderful work which was formerly built by Julius Caesar in order that it might serve as a centre through which the revenues and the interminable law cases of all Britain and Ireland and Spain might pass to and fro. For it is so situated between the southern

---

\(^1\) The famous ancient tower, now popularly known as Hercules' Tower, which stands at the extremity of Corunna Peninsula, in the shelter of which the port of Corunna is situated. Compare the charter of Alfonso IX in favor of Santiago, dated 1208: "pro utillitate regni mei novam construo populationem in loco qui dicitur Crunia apud turrim de Faro": Antonio López Ferreiro, Historia de la santa A. M. Iglesia de Santiago de Compostela (Santiago, 1898-1909), V, Appendix, No. viii; charter of Ferdinand II, dated 1161, ibid., IV, Appendix, No. xxxi; Florez, España sagrada, XIX, 13-20; Enrique de Vedia y Goosens, Historia y descripción de la ciudad de la Coruña (Corunna, 1845), pp. 141-47 and passim; Andrés Martínez Salazar, Antiguallas de Galicia: los nombres de la Coruña (Corunna, 1899), passim; Kurth, op. cit., p. 14. Dio Cassius xxxvii. 53, appears to be the only ancient writer who in any way connects the name of Julius Caesar with the site, and his statement evidently has no bearing on later tradition. The tradition as recorded in the De expugnatione Lyxbonensi is substantially repeated in the De itinere Fritonum of 1317: "Phare pervenimus, quod est oppidum Galicie dives admodum, portum habens flexuosum, turre sublimi presignata a Iulio Cesare constructa": Quinti belli sacri scriptores minores, p. 60 (the editor has mistakenly identified Phare with Cabo de Vares). The tower is mentioned as a conspicuous landmark by Paulus Orosius i. 2. 71: "Secundus [Hispaniae] angulus circium intendit; ubi Brigantia Gallaeciae civitas sita altissimam pharum et inter paqua memorandis operis ad speculam Britanniae erigit." It is conspicuously represented and named (Faro) on the mappamundi in the Beatus manuscript (dated 1086: Timoteo Rojo, "El 'Beato' de la Catedral de Osma," in Art Studies, VIII, 1931, Pt. 2, 106, 123) now preserved in the cathedral of Osma: Konrad Miller, Mappamundi (Stuttgart, 1895-98), Heft 1, pp. 34-35, Heft 2, Tafel 3 (where the date is given erroneously as 1203). It is also indicated and named (al faru) on the Arabian world map of 1192 ("the Small Idriši-Map"): Konrad Miller, Mappae Arabicae (Stuttgart, 1926-31), I, Heft 3, p. 71, and detached map, II, 104-106. Stubbs has incorrectly identified it with Ferrol, Itinerarium, p. cxxv. For a large scale map of the region, see U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, No. 4391.
mite a Britannia venientium. Ibi vero pons lapideus ex multis arcubus ostenditur, in mari protensus, ex quibus viginti quatuor arcus qui ante biennium non apparuuerant iam apparent.\(^1\) Inde relatum est a quodam gentis illius antiquissimo vaticinatum ut dum pontis illius arcus emergerent, destructionem gentium finemque idolatriae\(^2\) in Hyspania imminere. Exhinc ad portum Tambre devenimus vigilia Pentecostes.\(^2\) Distat autem ab ecclesia beati Iacobi miliaria VII.\(^3\) Est autem civitas Hyrię proxima, que nunc Petra Iacobi vocatur, et est sedes episcopalis.\(^4\) Portus autem, multis generibus piscium secundus, habet in sinu maris insulam.\(^5\) Vidimus inibi, mirabile dictu, piscem tenentis manum stupefacentem; est vero ad modum raię, habens in summitate spīę duas pinnas acutissimas.\(^6\) Provintia adiacens feris abundat, segete sterilis, vite arida, pomo ab[und[ans].\(^b\)

Inde pervenimus ad insulam que vulgo Flamba\(^7\) vocatur, in

---
\(^{a}\) Idolatriæ.

---
\(^{b}\) Pom . . . und . . . written in margin, the remainder of the words having been clipped away in rebinding. I have adopted the conjectural restoration of Stubbs, Itinerarium, p. cxlvi, note 2. Hamilton proposed to read poma undique, which seems less satisfactory, PMH, Scriptores, I, 393, note 1.

---
\(^1\) I can offer no explanation of this curious passage.

---
\(^2\) 7 June.

---
\(^3\) Actually more than twenty miles, or so it would seem, though portus Tambre gives but an indefinite location. From Noya to Santiago de Compostella by road is about twenty-two miles. The Teutonic Source gives the distance as eight miles. It also gives the date of arrival in the Tambre as Friday, 6 June, and records an excursion to the shrine of Santiago, which was reached on the eve of Pentecost: Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 3; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 325.

---
\(^4\) The ancient Iria Flavia, modern Padron (province of Corunna), on the right bank of the River Ulla at the point where it is joined by the Sar, about twelve miles from Santiago and somewhat farther from Noya. It was no longer an episcopal see in 1147, having been supplanted by Santiago. The name Petra Iacobi by which the author calls it would seem to lend noteworthy support to the view of those who derive the mediaeval and modern name of Padron from the stone to which, according to the legend, the disciples of St. James moored their boat when they landed in Spain with the body of the apostle, and which is supposed still to exist in the inscribed stele which is now preserved beneath the principal altar in the church of Santa Maria de Iria at Padron. Compare Ambrosio de Morales, Viaje . . . por orden del rey D. Felipe II a los reynos de Leon y Galicia y principado de Asturias (ed. Enrique Flórez, Madrid, 1765), pp. 134–38; Flórez, España sagrada, XIX, 1–5; Fidel Fita and Aureliano Fernández-Guerra, Recuerdos de un viaje á Santiago de Galicia (Madrid, 1880), pp. 26–31; P. B. Gams, Die Kirchengeschichte von Spanien (Regensburg, 1862–79), II, Pt. 2, pp. 374–75.
and the western regions that it offers the first landing place for travelers coming directly over from Britain. A stone bridge of many arches is shown there, extending into the sea, of which twenty-four arches are now visible which were not in sight two years ago. Hence they relate the prophecy of a certain aged man of that people that when the arches of the bridge should emerge, the destruction of the heathen and the end of idolatry in Spain would be at hand. Thence we came to the mouth of the Tambre on the eve of Pentecost. It is seven miles from the church of St. James. And the city of Iria is near at hand, which is now called Petra Iacobi [Padron], and is an episcopal see. The harbor, which abounds in many kinds of fish, contains an island. And we saw there, strange to relate, a fish which benumbs the hand of him who holds it; it resembles the ray and has two sharp dorsal fins. The adjacent province abounds in wild animals but is unproductive of corn and sparing of vines, though abounding in fruit trees.

Thence we came to the island which is vulgarly called Tamba (?), in which there are great numbers of rabbits and

---

1 Evidently Quiebra Island, located not far below the point where Muros Bay narrows rapidly to the Tambre and Noya channels. "Quiebra Island, a little more than 1 cable S. of Huia point," says the pilot guide, "is high, with rocks extending from its N.W. and S.E. ends, the passage between it and the point being only fit for boats." U.S. Hydrographic Office, The Northwest and West Coast of Spain and the Coast of Portugal (Washington, 1874), p. 82. See U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, No. 4457; British Admiralty Charts, No. 1756. Julio de Castilho, Lisboa antiga, Pt. 2, II, 33, mistakenly makes an identification with "a ilhota que demora na boca da enseada, em cujo fundo jaz Padron, e que se chama hoje Grobo." Apparently he refers to Grobe Peninsula at the mouth of Arosa Bay.

2 Probably Torpedo torpudo (Linn.), also called T. ocellata, which is by far the commonest of the electric rays found on the coast of Spain and which differs from the others in having two dorsal fins, pointed rather than rounded. The foregoing information was kindly communicated to me by Mr. J. R. Norman, Assistant Keeper of the Department of Zoology of the British Museum.

3 The manuscript reading seems perfectly clear. Herculano, in PMH, Scriptores, I, 393, note 2, cited by Castilho, Lisboa antiga, Pt. 2, II, 34, has proposed on the basis of a passage in the Historia Compostelana, in Flórez, España sagrada, XX, 197, to read Flamia, by which name, he says, one of the Bayona Islands (more commonly called Cies Islands) at the entrance to Vigo Bay was known in the twelfth century; but the identification of Flamia in the text cited with one of the Bayonas seems very questionable. Perhaps a more likely identification of Flamba would be with Tamba (or Tambo)
qua est cuniculorum copia et serpentium; habet etiam folium unde worma\textsuperscript{2} tingitur. Insula hec una ex Balearibus est.\textsuperscript{3} Provintia a sinistra in continenti vocatur Campis.\textsuperscript{4} Habet autem litus maris ab insula usque ad Portugalam fluvium Mineum,\textsuperscript{*} super quem civitas Tude. Post hunc fluvius Cadua,\textsuperscript{b} supra quem civitas Braccara.\textsuperscript{6} Post hunc fluvius Ava, supra quem ecclesia beati Tyrsi\textsuperscript{6} martyris. Post hunc fluvius Leticia.\textsuperscript{7} Post hunc fluvius Doyra,\textsuperscript{e} supra quem Portugala, ad quam ab insula venimus circiter horam diei nonam.\textsuperscript{8} Dicta autem olim a portu Gallorum,\textsuperscript{9} habens iam annos reparationis suæ circiter LXXX., desolata\textsuperscript{10} ab introitu Maurorum et

\textsuperscript{a} The manuscript reading is certain, although the word is written over an erasure and the spreading of the ink has rendered it difficult. Hamilton mistakenly read Onnem and Stubbs Ovier. Herculano, without having seen the manuscript, observed that Mineum must be the correct reading. See PMH, Scriptores, I, 393, and note 3; Itinerarium, p. cxvi.

\textsuperscript{b} Reading doubtful. It seems impossible to decide certainly between Cadua and Cadia. Hamilton read the former, Stubbs the latter. See PMH, Scriptores, I, 393; Itinerarium, p. cxvi. Clearly the Cávado, the ancient Celadus (?), is meant.

\textsuperscript{c} An erasure of 2.5 centimetres follows Doyra.


\textsuperscript{1} Compare Solinus 23. 10-12, and note 3, below.

\textsuperscript{2} The translation of worma as "scarlet cloth" is perhaps doubtful; but cf. the following passages: "Ostrum, wurna, read godweb," from a glossary of the eleventh century, in Thos. Wright, Anglo-Saxon and Old English Vocabularies (2d ed., London, 1884), I, 465; "Wolcreadum wurman oppe wealbasu, bintincco coco sive vermiculo," from an eleventh-century gloss on St. Aldhelm's "De laude virginitatis," in Anglia, XIII (1891), 29; "Vermiculum, rubrum, sive coccineum. Est enim vermiculus ex silvestribus frondibus, in quo lana tingitur, quae vermiculum appellatur," from the eleventh-century grammarian Papias, in Du Cange, Glossarium mediae et infimae Latinitatis, s.v. Vermiculus; cf. also other passages quoted by Du Cange. Kermes (coccum) is mentioned repeatedly by Pliny, twice as a product of Lusitania, once as a means by which the poor of Spain were enabled to discharge half of their tribute. He calls the plant in question ilex aquifolia para, but it must really have been quercus coccifera, which resembles ilex and abounds in Spain and Portugal. Compare Pliny HN ix. 141; xvi. 32; xxii. 3; T. J. Dillon, Travels through Spain and Portugal (2d ed., London, 1783), pp. 18-31; Edward Bancroft, Experimental Researches concerning the Philosophy of Permanent Colours (Philadelphia, 1814), I, 293-96. It is
snakes.\textsuperscript{1} It also has a plant from the leaves of which scarlet cloth\textsuperscript{2} is dyed. This island is one of the Balearics.\textsuperscript{3} The province to the left on the mainland is called Campis.\textsuperscript{4} Along the coast from the island as far as Oporto the following rivers empty into the sea: the Minho, on which is the city of Tuy; after this the Cávado, on which is the city of Braga;\textsuperscript{5} after this the Ave, on which is the church of the blessed martyr Tyrus;\textsuperscript{6} after this the Leça;\textsuperscript{7} and after this the Douro, on which is the city of Oporto, at which we arrived from the island about the ninth hour of the day.\textsuperscript{8} Its name was formerly derived from Port of the Gauls;\textsuperscript{9} and it has now been for some eighty years in process of restoration after its destruction\textsuperscript{10}
Moabitum. Habet autem portus a meridie harenas salubres, a prima rupe in introitu usque ad aliam rupem infra, habentes in latitudine passus XII. ab extremi recessus margine, in quibus involvuntur egroti donec mare superveniens eos abluat ut sic sanentur. Ibidem vero testatus est episcopus predecessorem suum sanatum a livore similis lepre. [126v] De huiusmodi harenis, quod sint in Hyspania, in hystoriis Romanorum inventur.

Cum autem pervenissemus ad portum, episcopus una cum clericis suis nobis obviam factus est; nam rex longe aberat cum exercitu suo contra Mauros. Ibidem salutatis omnibus ex more gentis sue, adventum nostrum se prescisse nobis indicavit; sed et ab heri litteras regias accepisse in hce verba:

"Hyldefonxus Portugalensium rex Petro Portugalensi episcopo, salutem. Si forte Francorum naves ad vos pervenerint, cum omni benignitate et mansuetudine suscipite eos accuratius, et secundum conventionem remanendi cum eis ad me veniatis. Vale."

Hiis auditis, cum esset iam hora decima, usque in crastinum distulimus respondendum, ut pariter qui in navibus erant omnes mandata regis audirent, et ab episcopo absolutionem peccatorum et benedictionem susciperent. Reliqua diei pars cura rerum familiarium consumpta est.

Summo mane ex omnibus navibus in summitate montis in cimiterio epyscopii coram episcopo omnes convenimus; nam

---

1 The word, if properly understood, refers to the Almoravides. Dozy, *Recherches sur l'histoire et la littérature de l'Espagne*, II, 375-78, 327.

2 The sense seems to require *supra*, and I have so translated.

3 The sandy beach or tide-flat on the left bank of the Douro inside the rock and bar at the mouth of the river has now grown, through accumulating sand, to a width of perhaps an eighth of a mile. See U.S. Hydrographic Office Charts, Nos. 4322, 4407.

4 I have failed to identify this reference in any ancient author.

5 Peter Pitoes, who can be traced as bishop of Oporto from 1146 to 1152. See Ferreira, *Memorias . . . do Porto*, II, 178-81.

6 Affonso Henrique (1111-85), or Affonso I, founder of the Portuguese monarchy.

7 17 June (?).
at the time of the entry of the Moors and Moabites.¹ The port contains a beach of salubrious sands on the south, extending from the first rock within the entrance to another rock farther up² and having an extreme breadth of twelve paces at low tide.³ The sick are enveloped in these sands until the sea comes in with the rising tide and washes them off, and so they are healed. And the bishop there testified that his predecessor had thus been cured of a black and blue spot resembling leprosy. As for sands of this sort, it is noted in the histories of the Romans⁴ that there are such in Spain.

When we had arrived in the port we were met by the bishop⁵ and his clergy, for the king was far away with his army on an expedition against the Moors. When everyone had been welcomed in accordance with the custom of his own people, the bishop told us that he had known in advance of our coming and that on the previous day he had received a letter from the king in the following words:

"Affonso, king of the Portuguese,⁶ to Peter, bishop of Oporto, greeting. If perchance the ships of the Franks should come to you, take care to receive them with all possible friendliness and courtesy; and, in accordance with the agreement which you may conclude with them to stop with me, [offer] yourself and whoever else they may desire with you as security for its absolute inviolability; and so may you come with them to me at Lisbon. Farewell."

Since, when we had heard these things, it was already the tenth hour of the day, we put off our answer until the morrow, in order that our comrades who were still on shipboard might hear the king's message and at the same time receive absolution and a benediction from the bishop. The rest of the day was taken up with attending to personal affairs.

Early next morning⁷ we all gathered from all the ships before the bishop on a hilltop in the cathedral churchyard,⁸ for

¹ The cathedral is situated on a prominent hill well over two hundred feet above the river. The exact location of the cemetery has, so far as I know, not been determined. The natural landing place would have been on the low ground slightly down stream but almost directly under the hill, in the neighborhood of the modern Praça da Ribeira, which is to this day the most animated centre of waterside traffic in Oporto.
ecclesia pro quantitate sui omnes non caperet. Indicto ab omnibus silentio, episcopus sermonem coram omnibus lingua Latina habuit, ut per interpretes cuiusque lingue sermo eius omnibus manifestaretur, qui sic incipit:

"[B]eata gens cuius est Dominus Deus eius, populus quem elegit in hereditatem sibi." Et profecto beata quibus Deus nescio quo inestimabili privilegio sensum et divitias contulit: sensum ut vias discipline intelligerent; divitias ut adimplere possent que pie cuperent. Et certe felix tellus vestra que tot et tales alumnos nutrit, que tot et tantos in sinu matris ecclesie filios unanimes associat societati. Et merito illius summæ benedictionis effectus, qua dicitur, 'Beati qui me non viderunt et crediderunt,' in vobis completur.

"Mediator Dei et hominum, Christus, per se in mundum veniens, paucissimos huius viæ viros et pure religionis sectatores invenit. Unde et a quodam iuvene interrogatus, cum se complesse et observasse legem [127r] diceret, quomodo perfectus esse posset, respondit, 'Vade et vende omnia,' et cetera. Perpendite quod sequitur, 'Tristatus est, nam erat in possessionibus dives.' O quanta est iusticia et misericordia Conditoris nostri! O quanta cecitas et duritia mentis humanæ! Cum veritate et de ipsa conferebat iuvenis, vox veritatis in auribus, et quia callose mentis verbo veritatis non emollivit duritia, iam non est mirum si vacuatam sinceritatis gaudio subintroit tristitia. Et quid dicemus ad hec? Quanti hic inter vos hoc iuvene in possessionibus ditiiores, quanti in dignitatum pro vectu sublimiores, quanti prole multiplici et secunda generositate feliciores, quos constat profecto omnes honorum dignitates, ut eternum a Deo consequerentur premium, felici peregrinatione commutasse! Blandos uxorum affectus, inter ubera lactentium pia oscula, adultorum magis dilecta pignora, pa-

---

*a* The initial B is wanting, space having been left for a capital which was never inserted.  
*b* legis.  
*c* quid.  
*d* An erasure of 1.4 centimetres follows auribus.  
*e* lactentium. See above, p. 47 and note 2.  

---

1 Psalms (Vulgate) 32: 12; (English) 33: 12.  
2 John 20: 29.  
our numbers were so great that the church would not hold us. When silence had been proclaimed of all, the bishop delivered a sermon in Latin, so that it might be made known to everyone in his own language through interpreters. Thus it begins:

"Blessed is the nation whose God is the Lord, and the people whom he hath chosen for his own inheritance." And assuredly are they blessed on whom God has by some inestimable privilege conferred both understanding and riches: understanding, in order that they should know the ways of discipline; and riches, in order that they should be able to accomplish that which they piously desire. And truly fortunate is your country which rears such sons, and in such numbers, and unites them in such a unanimous association in the bosom of the mother church. And deservedly is the truth of that highest beatitude accomplished in you, in which it is said, 'Blessed are they that have not seen me and yet have believed.'

"Christ, the mediator between God and men, when he came in person into the world, found very few who were followers of this way and of pure religion; hence, when a certain young man who said that he had fulfilled and kept the law asked him how he could be perfect, he answered, 'Go and sell all,' etc. Weigh carefully what follows: 'He was sad, for he had great possessions.' Oh how great is the righteousness and mercy of our Creator! Oh how great the blindness and the hardness of the human mind! The young man spoke with Truth and about truth, and the voice of Truth was in his ears, and yet, since the hardness of his callous mind was not softened by the word of Truth, it is not to be wondered at if, when his mind had been emptied of the joy of sincerity, sadness entered in. And what shall we say to all this? How many there are among you here who are richer in possessions than this young man! How many who are higher in the rank of honors! How many who are more fortunate in a prolific stock and a numerous offspring! Yet it is a fact that they have exchanged all their honors and dignities for a blessed pilgrimage in order to obtain from God an eternal reward. The alluring affection of wives, the tender kisses of sucking infants at the breast, the even more
DE EXPUGNATIONE LYXBOENSI

rentum et amicorum affectanda solatia, soli natalis tantum dulci remanente sed torquente memoria, Christum sequi reliquere. O admiranda Salvatoris opera! nullo predicante, nullo admonente, zelum legis Dei in cordibus habentes, impetu Spiritus ducente, per tot terrarum et marium pericula et longi Itineris dispensa, relictis omnibus, nobis primitive ecclesiæ filiis huc advecti, hii novissimi crucis mysterium representant. O quanta omnium hilaritas, quibus ad laborem et penam facies iocundior quam nobis, qui hic heu torpentes segni vacamus otio! Et certe 'a Domino factum est istud, et est mirabile in oculis nostris.'

1 Pсалмы (Вульгата) 117: 23; (Английская) 118: 23.
3 Compare Isaiah 55: 6.
5 II Corinthians 9: 10.
6 Isaiah 1: 16.

b There is a superscribed \( a \) at the end of this word and a superscribed \( b \) over \( huc \) a few words farther on. Perhaps the writer meant to indicate that \( huc advecti \) should be read before \( nobis primitive ecclesiæ filiis, \) and inadvertently inserted the \( a \) and the \( b \) in the opposite order from what he had intended.

c\( \text{misterium.} \)

d\( \text{existis.} \)
delightful pledges of grown-up children, the much desired consolation of relatives and friends—all these they have left behind to follow Christ, retaining only the sweet but torturing memory of their native land. Oh, marvelous are the works of the Savior! Without the urging of any preacher, with the zeal of the law of God in their hearts, led by the impulse of the [Holy] Spirit, they have left all and come hither to us, the sons of the primitive church, through so many perils of lands and seas and bearing the expenses of a long journey. They are the most recent proof of the mysterious power of the cross. Oh, how great is the joy of all those who present a more cheerful face to hardships and pain than we do, we who, alas, are vegetating here in slothful idleness. Verily, 'this is the Lord's doing, and it is marvelous in our eyes.' Verily, dear brothers, you have gone forth without the camp bearing the reproach of the cross; you are seeking God while he may be found, in order that you may lay hold on him. For it seems not strange that men should go unto God, since for the sake of man God also came among men. Even now unto you at the ends of the earth hath the seed of the word of God been borne, for 'a sower went out to sow his seed.' 'The seed is the word of God.'

The word of God is God. If it ascend the throne of your mind, your mind is accordingly good, but not without it. These divine seeds have been sown in your bodies, and, if you receive them as good husbandmen, they must needs produce fruit like unto its source and the counterpart of that from which it sprang; but, if you prove bad husbandmen, the result can only be that sterile and swampy ground will destroy the seeds, and afterwards it will bring forth trash instead of fruit. And may the good God 'increase the fruits of your righteousness.'

"Verily, dear sons, reborn of a new baptism of repentance, you have put on Christ once more, you have received again the garment of innocence to keep it stainless. Take care lest you wander away again after your own lusts. 'Put away the evil of your doings' from your midst. Purge your souls, that is, your minds, to be a temple sanctified unto God. Now the
ficatum Deo templum. Mentis vero habitus sub quolibet pondere nequit deprimi,* si eam innocentiam puritas comitetur. Et ut pura sit innocentia mentis, penitus extirpetur invidia. Cavendum est igitur maxime per mundi precipitia iter agentibus ab huius [gen]eris [vitio] quo aliena perduunt et sua consumuntur bona. Verum enim dum conspecta felicitas torquet invidios et afficit pena contortionis nequiores reddit; aliorum bona que habere non possunt si diligere, utique fecissent sua. Vestra utique sunt bona sociorum que etsi imiti non valetis, diligite in alios, et vestra fientque amantur in socios. Excludite ergo invidiam que caritatem eicit et discordiam nutrit, que corpus corrodit et macerat, nec ipsum in sua valetudine atque corpus consumit, et quicquid in se habere videtur boni interimit. Unde scriptum est, 'Vita carnium sanitas cordis, putredo ossium invidia.' Per livoris vitium ante Dei oculos pereunt, etiamque humanis oculis fortia viscentur. Ossa queque per invidiam putrescere est, quedam etiam robusta deperire. Est autem invidia quasi odium occultum, inde dicitur invidia, id est invisibile odium. Hoc est tolerare et odisse, quod non est virtus mansuetudinis sed ve-lamentum furoris. Sollertique igitur custodia muniendus est mentis, et eo observandum callidius quanto in ipso temptationis articulo fallaciush surrepit. Necessaria est igitur ad hoc dileccionis operatio, que inter malos non dilectio sed simulatas proprie dicitur. Non est ergo dilectio nisi inter bonos, quia non est dilectio valida nisi utraque parte affectus pendeat. Dileccionis huius vel caritatis custos est innocentia, que tante virtutis et gratie creditur, ut Deo et homini

*deprimi* written in margin.  
*generis vitio* written in margin and partly clipped away in rebinding.  
*contortionis* written over an erasure and rendered almost illegible by the spreading of the ink. Stubbs, *Itinerarium*, p. cxlviii, read *extortionis*.  
*valitudine.*  
*fallatius.*  

*An erasure of 6 millimetres follows que.*  

1 An erasure of 6 millimetres follows que.  

1 *Proverbs* 14: 30.
disposition of the mind cannot be depressed under any weight whatsoever, if the purity of innocence attend it; and in order that the innocence of your minds be perfect, envy must be entirely cast out. Therefore, it is very necessary for those who are traveling through the dangerous places of the earth to be on their guard against this vice, whereby other people's welfare is lost and their own is destroyed. For, verily, while observed felicity [in others] wracks and torments the envious, it renders them more base; [but] if they were to love the welfare of others which they cannot have, they would inevitably make it their own. The welfare of associates is yours in any case: love it in others, even though you cannot imitate it, and it will become your own, even as when loved in colleagues. Therefore, put away envy which casts out love and nourishes discord, which corrupts and wastes the body and prevents it from enjoying its proper health and vigor. For while the plague of envy tortures the mind, it consumes the body and destroys whatever good appears to be in it. Hence it is written, 'A sound heart is the life of the flesh, but envy the rottenness of the bones.' Even those things which appear mighty to the eyes of men, through the vice of envy come to nothing in the eyes of God. Indeed, for the bones to rot through envy means that certain things, strong though they be, do yet waste away. Envy is, so to say, a hidden rancor, for which reason it is called *invidia*, that is, invisible hate. To be envious is to hate and to endure, which has not the virtue of mildness but is a cover of fury. The entrance to the mind must therefore be guarded with sagacious care and so much the more artfully watched as [envy] the more stealthily creeps in at the very moment of temptation. Now for these things the working of love is essential, which between evil men is properly called not love but faction. Accordingly, there is no love except between the good, for love is without strength unless there be affection on each side. The guardian of this love or affection is innocence, which is believed to be endowed with such virtue and grace in order to be pleasing both to God and men. That is true innocence which harms neither itself nor another, and
bus placeat. Vera est hec que nec sibi nec alteri nocet, et cum valet, prodesse satagit. Innocentia vero ferrum retundit, acies hebetat, hostes comprimit, malorum precogitata refellit; nam miro modo divine animadversionis iudicio, quos prave mentis inquinat conscientia, hos procedubio adversus innocentiam sequitur actionis difficilas.

"Sit vobis inter cetera temperatio gule, et ut breviter dicam, satietur caro ut in bono opere famulari nobis sufficiat. Sit itaque vobis ars quedam satiari, ne unusquisque per satietatem carnis ad iniquitatem prorumpit turpitudinis. Nam miro modo divine animadversionis iudicio, quos prave mentis inquinat conscientia, hos proculdubio adversus innocentiam sequitur actionis difficilas.

"Auditum satis partibus vestris credimus, quod divina ultio superincumbentibus Mauris et Moabitis totam Hispaniam in ore gladii percusserit; paucis in ea Christianis admodum et in paucis urbibus sub gravissimo servitutis iugo relictis. Sed et ea que ad vos sola fame notitia pertulit, ea procudubio iam luce clariora certae subiecta visibus patent. Proh dolor! ut vix in tota Galletia et Aroganum regno et Numantia, ex innumeris urbibus, castris et vicis et sanctorum sedibus, nisi sola ruinarum signa etiam facte desolationis indicia iam parent. Ista etiam nostra quam cernitis, olim inter celebres, nunc ad instar parvuli redacta viculi, iam nostra memoria multotiens a Mauris spoliata est. Verum enim ante hoc septennium ab eis adeo afflicta est, ut ab ecclesia beate Marie virginis, cui Dei gratia qualiscumque deservio, signa, vestes, vasa, et omnia ecclesie ornamenta, captis clericis aut occisis, asportarent. Sed et ex civibus captivos et ex circumquaque
when it is strong it is content to be useful. Indeed, innocence blunts iron, dulls blades, holds back enemies and confounds the well-laid plans of evil men; for in a marvelous way by the judgment of divine animadversion, a difficulty of action against innocence assuredly pursues those whom the consciousness of a base mind defiles.

"For the rest, be temperate in your eating, and, to speak briefly, let the flesh be satisfied, in order that it may suffice to serve us in a worthy enterprise. And so may you have a certain art of being satisfied, lest any of you through satiety rush headlong into some shameful iniquity. With respect to similitude and collaterality and the points which I have briefly noted under them, let the same care for rectitude be exercised; for vices often steal in under the guise of virtues."

"We believe it has already become well enough known in the countries from which you come that through the presence of the Moors and Moabites divine vengeance has smitten all Spain with the edge of the sword, and that but few Christians, resident in but a few cities, have been left in it, [and these] under the yoke of a grievous servitude. But these matters, of which a knowledge was brought to you by fame only, now most certainly lie open to your view more clear than day. Alas, that in all Galicia and the kingdom of Aragon and in Numantia, of the numberless cities, castles, villages, and shrines of the saints there should now remain hardly anything to be seen but the signs of ruin and marks of the destruction which has been wrought! Even this city of ours which you see, once among the populous, now reduced to the semblance of an insignificant village, has within our memory repeatedly been despoiled by the Moors. Indeed, but seven years ago it was so oppressed by them that from the church of the blessed Virgin Mary, which according to my poor talents by God's grace I serve, they carried away the insignia, the vestments, the vessels, and all the ecclesiastical ornaments, after they

4 The phrase is evidently rhetorical, but there is little reason to suppose that Oporto in 1147 was any considerable centre of population. See Alberto Sampaio, "As Póvoas marítimas do norte de Portugal," in Portugalia, II (1905-8), 216-32, 393-401.
iacentibus territoriis usque ad ecclesiam beati Iacobi apostoli innumeris fere in patriam suam secum transtulere, non sine nobilium nostrorum sanguine, igne et gladio cetera consumentes omnia. Quid enim litus Hispaniæ vestris alius obtutibus nisi sue desolationis memoriam quandam et ruinæ ostendit indicia? Quot in eo urbi [128v] et ecclesiæ desolationes visi et indigenarum indiciis didici? Ad vos autem mater [e]cclesia iam quasi truncis brachiis et deformi facie clamat, sanguinem filiorum et vindictam per manus vestras requirit. Clamat, certe clamat! 'Vindictam facite in nationibus, increpationes in populis.' Nulla ergo itineris incepti vos festinationis seducat occasio, quia non Iherosolimis fuisset sed bene interim invixisse laudabile est; non enim ad eam nisi per opera eius pervenire potestis. Ex bono operæ vero ut ad finem gloriosum quis perveniat meretur. Iacentem igitur et depressam Hispanorvm ecclesiam ut boni emulatores erigite; fedam et deformem vestibus iocunditatis et leticie reinduite. Ut boni filii, nolite spectare turpitudinem patris, et matri nolite dicere, 'Munus quodcumque est ex me tibi proderit.' Federa societatis humane nolite parvipendere, quia, ut ait beatus Ambrosius, 'Qui a sociis et fratribus si potest non repellit inuriam, tam est in vitio quam ille qui facit.'

"Et vos boni filii matris ecclesiæ vim atque inuriam propulsate; nam iure hoc evenit ut quis que ob tutelam sui corporis fecerit iure fecisse arbitretur. Vos fratres, arma depositistis, arma scilicet quibus rapiuntur aliena. (De quibus dicitur, qui

---

*a consummentes.
*b desolationis and quandam written in margin at bottom of the page.
*c ecclesia written in margin and partly clipped away in rebinding.

1 This raid of 1140 would seem to have followed upon the capture of Leiria by the Moors in that year, though Portuguese historians seem to have ignored this evidence that it was carried so far northward. Compare A. Herculano, Historia de Portugal (8th definitive ed. by D. Lopes and P. de Azevedo, Paris and Lisbon, n.d.), II, 180–81. Sampaio (op. cit., p. 400) interprets it, perhaps correctly, as a maritime raid by Saracen pirates.

2 Psalms 149: 7.

3 Reinhold Röhricht, Beiträge zur Geschichte der Kreuzzüge (Berlin, 1874–78), II, 104, note 99, has pointed out that this is a play on the words of St. Jerome Epistolaræ 58.
had slain the clergy or made them captive. And from among the citizens and from the surrounding territory as far as the church of St. James the Apostle, they bore away with them into their own country almost innumerable captives, though not without bloodshed on the part of our nobles; and everything that remained they destroyed with fire and sword. Indeed, what does the coast of Spain offer to your view but a kind of memorial of its desolation and the marks of its ruin? How many cities and churches have you discovered to be in ruins upon it, either through your own observation or through information given you by the inhabitants? To you the mother church, as it were with her arms cut off and her face disfigured, appeals for help; she seeks vengeance at your hands for the blood of her sons. She calls to you, verily, she cries aloud. 'Execute vengeance upon the heathen and punishments upon the people.' Therefore, be not seduced by the desire to press on with the journey which you have begun; for the praiseworthy thing is not to have been to Jerusalem, but to have lived a good life while on the way; for you cannot arrive there except through the performance of His works. Verily, it is through good work that anyone deserves to come to a glorious end. Therefore, as worthy rivals [strive together] to raise up the fallen and prostrate church of Spain; reclothe her soiled and disfigured form with the garments of joy and gladness. As worthy sons, look not on the shame of a father nor say to a mother, 'It is a gift by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me.' Weigh not lightly your duty to your fellow men; for, as St. Ambrose says, 'He who does not ward off an injury from his comrades and brothers, if he can, is as much at fault as he who does the injury.'

"Now, as worthy sons of the mother church, repel force and injury; for in law it happens that whatever anyone does in self-defense he is held to have done lawfully. Brothers, you have laid aside the arms [of violence] by which the property of others is laid waste—concerning which it is said, 'He that

2 (ad Paulinum): "non Hierosolymis fuisse, sed Hierosolymis bene vixisse laudandum est."
4 Matt. 15: 5.
6 Ambrose De officiis i. 36.
DE EXPUGNATIONE LYXBOENSI

gladio percutit gladio peribit,\(^1\) scilicet qui, nulla superiore ac legitima potestate vel iubente vel concedente, in sanguinem fratris armatur.) Sed nunc Deo inspirante arma fertis, quibus homicid\(\text{e}\) et raptores dampnentur, furta cohibeantur, adulteria punitur, impii de terra perdantur, parricide vivere non sinantur, nec filii impie agere. Vos igitur fratres, cum his fortitudinem armis suscipite, eam scilicet quae vel bello tuetur a barbaris patriam vel domi defendit inimicos vel a latronibus socios; nam plena est iusticie. Huiusmodi vero opera vindicte officia sunt que boni bono animo implent. \([129r]\) Nolite, fratres, nolite timere. Non enim in huiusmodi actionibus homicidio vel taxatione alicuius criminis notabimini; imo rei propositi vestri deserti iudicabimini. ‘Non est vero crudelitas pro Deo pietas.’\(^2\) Zelo iusticie, non felle ire, iustum bellum committite. ‘Iustum vero bellum,’ dicit Ysidorus noster, ‘quod ex indici
to geritur de rebus repetendis aut hostium pulsandorum causa’;\(^3\) et quia iusta est causa homicidas et sacrilegos et venenarios punire, non est effusio sanguinis homicidii. Et item non est crudelis qui cru
des perimit. Vel qui malos perimit, in eo quod mali sunt et habet causam interfectionis, minister est Domini. Profecto filii Israel contra Amorres iustum bellum commiser

e, quibus transitus negabatur innoxius. Et vos ergo populus Israel et filii Christi et servi crucis, numquid hec libertas permitenda adversariis crucis ut impune vobis insultent? Absit. Audite quid super hiis Augustinus dixerit ad Donatum presbyterum: ‘Non est permittenda mala voluntas

e libertati, sicut nec Paulo permissum uti pessima voluntate, qui persecutus est ecclesiam Dei.’\(^4\) Item Crisostomus, super Matheum, homilia\(^*\) xvii: ‘Occidit Finees hominem, “et reputat
um est ei ad iusticiam”,’\(^5\) Abraham non solum homicida, sed quod gravius parricida effectus, magis magisque Deo

\(^*\) omelia.

\(^1\) Compare Matt. 26: 52.
\(^2\) Jerome Epistolae 109. 3 (ad Riparium).
\(^3\) Isidore of Seville Etymologiae xviii. 1, 2.
\(^4\) Compare Augustine Epistolae 173. 3 (ad Donatum).
\(^5\) Psalms (Vulgate) 105: 31; (English) 106: 31.
strikes with the sword shall perish with the sword,' that is, he who, without the command or consent of any higher or legitimate power, takes up arms against the life of his brothers—but now by God's inspiration you are bearing the arms [of righteousness] by means of which murderers and robbers are condemned, thefts are prevented, acts of adultery are punished, the impious perish from the earth, and parricides are not permitted to live nor sons to act unfilially. Therefore, brothers, take courage with these arms, courage, that is to say, either to defend the fatherland in war against barbarians or to ward off enemies at home, or to defend comrades from robbers; for such courage is full of righteousness. Indeed, such works of vengeance are duties which righteous men perform with a good conscience. Brothers, be not afraid. For in acts of this sort you will not be censured for murder or taxed with any crime; on the contrary you will be adjudged answerable if you should abandon your enterprise. 'Indeed, there is no cruelty where piety towards God is concerned.' Engage in a just war with the zeal of righteousness, not with the bile of wrath. 'For a war is just,' says our Isidore, 'which is waged after a declaration, to recover property or to repulse enemies'; and, since it is just to punish murderers and sacrilegious men and poisoners, the shedding of their blood is not murder. Likewise he is not cruel who slays the cruel. And he who puts wicked men to death is a servant of the Lord, for the reason that they are wicked and there is ground for killing them. Certainly the children of Israel waged a just war against the Amorites when they were refused a peaceful passage [through their borders]. And you, therefore, being people of Israel, sons of Christ, and servants of the cross, shall it be permitted to the adversaries of the cross to insult you with impunity? God forbid! Hear what Augustine has said on this subject to Donatus the priest: 'An evil will must not be allowed its liberty, even as Paul, who persecuted the church of God, was not permitted to carry out his worst intentions.' Again, Chrysostom, On Matthew, Homily XVII: 'Phinehas killed a man, "and it was counted unto him for righteousness."'
Item Ieronymus ad Ripoarium: 'Legi siromasten\textsuperscript{a} Finees, austeritatem\textsuperscript{b} Helië, zelum Symonis Cananei, Petri severitatem Annaniam et Saphiram trucidantem, Pauli constantiam qui Elimam magum viis Domini resistentem eterna cecitate\textsuperscript{c} damnavit.'\textsuperscript{2} Unde in lege dicitur, 'Si frater tuus et amicus et uxor que est in sinu tuo te depravare voluerit a veritate, sit manus tua super eos et effunde sanguinem ipsorum.'\textsuperscript{3} Tale quid in vobis spiritualiter completum est. Percussit in vobis Dominus Saulum et erexit Paulum. Eandem Sauli et Pauli carnem, non eundem mentis affectum sed immutatum. Ecce quam pius, quam iustus, quam misericors Deus! Nichil vobis detraxit Deus. Eadem patrie vestre opera, sed affectu solum mutato vobis concessit. Armis et gladio [129v] utebamini; predas agebatis et cetera militantium facinora de quibus non estmodo dicendum per singula.\textsuperscript{4} Vos, ut videtur, arma portatis et rei militaris insignia, sed diverso affectu, ut superius dictum, non mutantes actum sed voluntatem, attendantes illud apostoli consilium, 'Sicut exhibuistis membra vestra servire immunditi\ae\ ad iniquitatem, ita exhibete membra vestra,'\textsuperscript{5} et cetera. Sed quoniam armati venisti, eia! ut boni milites agite, quia non est peccatum militare, sed propter predam peccatum est militare. Suscipite ergo vobis et vestris beati Augustini\textsuperscript{d} salubre consilium ad Bonifacium comitem.\textsuperscript{6} Arripite manibus arma, oratio aures pulset Auctoris; quia quando pugnatur Deus apertis oculis spectat, et partem quam inspicit iustam ibi dat palmam. Et vere adimplebitur in vobis prophetha qua ad laudem et honorem\textsuperscript{e} virtutis et glorii filiorum Dei dictum est, 'Quomodo perse-

\textsuperscript{a} chryomachen.
\textsuperscript{b} auctoritatem. I have emended to read in accordance with Jerome.
\textsuperscript{c} severitate. I have emended to read in accordance with Jerome.
\textsuperscript{d} Augustinus.
\textsuperscript{e} ad laudem et honorem written over an erasure, in different ink.

1 Chrysostom In Matthaeum, Homil. xvii. 5.
2 Jerome Epistolae 109. 3.
4 The thinness of the line dividing a crusade from piracy is fully recognized by the bishop.
5 Romans 6: 19.
ham becoming not only a murderer, but, what is graver still, the slayer of his child, was more and more pleasing to God.¹ Again, Jerome to Riparius: ‘I have read of the javelin of Phinehas, the austerity of Elijah, the zeal of Simon the Canaanite, the severity of Peter in slaying Ananias and Sapphira, the constancy of Paul who damned with perpetual blindness Elymas, the sorcerer, when he resisted the ways of the Lord.’² Whence it is said in the Law, ‘If thy brother and thy friend and the wife of thy bosom wish to pervert thee from the truth, let thy hand be upon them and shed their blood.’³ It is something like that which is being spiritually fulfilled in you. In you the Lord hath smitten Saul and raised up Paul. The flesh of Saul and Paul was the same, but not the disposition of the mind, for it was completely transformed. Behold how pious, how just, how merciful is God! God has taken nothing from you: he has permitted the same enterprises on behalf of your country, only your purpose has been changed. You were employed with arms and the sword; you were committing acts of pillage and other misdeeds of soldiers, concerning which there is no need now to speak in detail.⁴ You are [still], as is apparent, bearing arms and the insignia of war, but with a different object, as above said; having changed your purpose without changing your acts, you are heeding that counsel of the apostle [which says], ‘As ye have yielded your members servants to uncleanness unto iniquity, even so now yield your members,’⁵ etc. But since you have come with arms upon you, up then, quit you like good soldiers; for the sin is not in waging war, but in waging war for the sake of plunder. Therefore, take unto yourselves the salutary counsel of St. Augustine to Count Boniface:⁶ take arms in your hands and let your prayer smite the ears of the Creator; for, when a battle is fought, God looketh on with open eyes, and to the side which he seeth to be righteous he giveth the palm. And truly will that prophecy be fulfilled in you in which to the praise and honor of the valor and glory of the sons of God it is said, ‘How one should chase a thousand and two put ten thousand to

¹ Augustine Epistola 189. 4-6 (ad Bonifatum comitem).
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quebatur* unus mille, et duo fugarent X. M.'1; et iterum,* 'Persequentur V. de vobis C. alienos et C. ex vobis X. milia;* cadent inimici coram vobis gladio.'2 Nam bellum quod Deo auctore gerendum suscipitur, recte suscipi dubitare fas non est.

"De cetero, filius noster dilectus et frater vester et in tribulationibus particeps, Hyldefonxus rex noster, contra Olixebonam diebus iam decem retroactis cum omni expeditione sua exiit. Vestrum adventum prænoscens, nos hic vos expectatum stare iussit, ut vos vice eius alloqueremur. Si forte Deus cordibus vestris immiserit, vos ut cum omni navigio vestro eum adeatis, et cum illo donec Deo auctore et vobis cooperantibus civitas Lyxbonensis caperetur maneatis; peccunie vero sponsonem, si vobis placet, proinde facturi vestris, prout fisci regie potestatis facultas sequetur. Nos vero inde et quos volueritis vobiscum obsides habeatis sponsionem persolvende. Quid vero placuerit sanctitati societatis vestre responsionem expectabimus.

"Sit iam in manibus vestris consilium pium, modestum, iustum, honestum, ad laudem et honorem nominis eius et sanctissime sue genitricis, qui cum Deo Patre et Spiritu Sancto vivit et regnat per omnia secula seculorum. Amen."

Completo sermone, post expletionem misse deliberatum est ab omnibus ut Christianus dux Flandrensin et comes de Aerescot et naves plurime que nondum ex dispersione con-


Congregatis igitur ex dispersione navibus, deliberatum est ut episcopi una nobiscum in navibus aput civitatem venirent Lixbonensem, ut illinc a rege illorum audiremus presentes, que absentibus mandabantur.

---

*a persequebatur* written over an erasure, in different ink.
*b et iterum* inserted at the end of the line, in different ink, apparently at the time the marginalium was added. See note c, below.
*c Persequentur . . . X. milia* written in margin, in different ink.
d A letter is erased between r and e, as if Braccarensis had first been written.

1 Deut. 32: 30. 2 Leviticus 26: 8.
flight'; and again, 'Five of you shall chase an hundred, and an hundred of you shall put ten thousand to flight; and your enemies shall fall before you by the sword.' For when a war has been entered upon by God's will, it is not permitted to doubt that it has been rightly undertaken.

"For the rest, our dear son and your brother and fellow in tribulation, our king, Affonso, has already departed ten days ago with all his forces on an expedition against Lisbon. Knowing in advance of your coming, he commanded us to remain here to await you, in order that we might speak with you in his place. If perchance God should put it into your hearts that you with all your fleet should go to him and remain with him until by God's will and your cooperation the city of Lisbon be taken, we will promise money to your forces so far as the resources of the royal treasury will permit. And as hostages for the fulfillment of the promise you may keep us with you, and any one else whom you may desire. We will await the reply which it shall please of your association to make.

"And now, may there be among you pious, humble, upright, and honest counsel, to the praise and honor of His name and of His most holy Mother—the name of Him who with God the Father and the Holy Spirit liveth and reigneth for ever and ever. Amen."

When the sermon was over and the mass completed, it was decided by all to await Christian, leader of the Flemings, and the count of Aerschot and a number of ships which had been scattered by the storm and had not yet arrived, and to send for John, archbishop of Braga. 

Accordingly, when the fleet had been reassembled after the dispersion, it was decided that the bishops should come with us on the ships to Lisbon, and that we should there hear from the king in person the proposals which had been made to us by commission in his absence.

---

1 John Peculiar (Peculiaris) was archbishop of Braga 1138–75; he had previously been bishop of Oporto. See Fortunato de Almeida, Historia da igerja em Portugal (Coimbra, 1910– ), I, 607, 631.
Die vero quasi decima sequen[ti],¹ impositis sarcinis nostris, una cum episcopis velificare incepimus, iter prosperum agentes. Die vero posterius ad insulam Phenices distantem a continentii quasi octingentis passibus² feliciter appliciums. Insula³ abundat cervis et maxime cuniculis⁴; liquiricum habet. Tyrri dicunt eam Eriestrum, Peni Gaddir, id est sepem⁵, ultra quam non est terra; ideo extremus noti orbis terminus dicitur. Iuxta hanc sunt II. insulae, que vulgo dicuntur Berlinges,⁵ id est Baleares lingua corrupta⁶; in una quaram est palatium admirabilis architecture et multa officinarum diversoria, regi cuidam, ut aiunt, quondam gratissimum secretale hospicium.⁷ Habentur autem in continentii a Portugalae usque ad insulam fluminet et castra. Es castrum quod dicitur Sancte Marie⁸

¹ sequenti written in margin and partly clipped away in rebinding.
² An erasure of 8 millimetres before, and of 1.8 centimetres after, insula.

¹ About 26 June. The Teutonic Source seems to date the departure from Oporto 27 June. It also records the "fair market of wine and all other delights" with which they were provided through the king's good will during their sojourn at Oporto: Brief des Priester Winand, p. 4; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.

² The channel has long since sanded up, so that the former island has become Peniche Peninsula, "a rocky headland 110 feet in height, 1,5 miles in length east and west, and a little more than a mile in width, ... connected with the mainland by a sandy isthmus a mile in length, rather more than 1,000 yards in width, and so low that it is completely overflowed by high tides with strong northerly or southerly winds:"

³ Compare Solinus (whom the author used) 23, 12, who in turn misinterprets Pliny BN ii. 78.

⁴ The passage is based on Solinus 23. 12: "insula ... quam Tyrrii a Rubro professi mari Erythreum, Poeni lingua sua Gadir id est sepem nominaverunt" (which in turn is derived from Pliny BN iv. 120), the author erroneously identifying Peniche with Gades (modern Léon), the island on which Cadiz is located.

⁵ Burlong Islands, about six miles northwest of the extremity of Peniche Peninsula. See East Atlantic Pilot, pp. 143-44; Guia de Portugal, II, 582-87; J. Daveau, "Excursion aux Iles Berlangas et Farilhões," Sociedade de geographia de Lisboa, Boletim, 4th ser., I (1883), 409-12.

⁶ The confusion of the Burlong with the Balearic Islands is not surprising in view of the author's idea that the latter were located on this side of Spain—an idea which, as above noted, p. 67, note 3, he may have derived from a misleading statement of Solinus, which in turn was based on an unintelligent reading of Pliny. That the author is not alone in his confusion is demonstrated by the fact that on the mappamundi of
About the tenth day afterwards,¹ our baggage having been replaced on board, we set sail with the bishops and had a prosperous voyage. And next day we landed safely on the island of Peniche, which lies about eight hundred paces off the mainland.² The island abounds in deer and especially in rabbits;³ it also produces liquorice. The Tyrians call it Gadir; the Carthaginians Gadir, that is, a barrier,⁴ beyond which there is no land. For this reason it is called the farthest limit of the known world. Near it lie two [other] islands, which are vulgarly called the Burlings,⁶ that is, the Balearics in corrupt speech;⁶ on one of which there is a palace of wonderful construction, with many stalls for workshops, formerly, they say, the most grateful private retreat of a certain king.⁷ On the mainland from Oporto as far as the island [of Peniche] there are both rivers and castles. There is the castle which is called St. Mary’s [Feira],⁸ between the River Douro and the forest

the Beatus manuscript of Osma (1086) the Balearics are located very nearly in the position of the Burlings. Compare Miller, Mappaemundi, Heft 1, p. 35, Heft 2, Tafel 3 (here not legible, but see Art Studies, VIII, 1931, Pt. 2, 154: “Baleares hii sunt”).

⁷ Nothing appears to be known of ancient ruins on the Burling Islands. Can it be that the author’s imagination was at work on the words of Solinus 23. 12, apropos of the island of Gades: “in hac Geryonem aevum agitavisse plurimis monumentis probatur”? Compare Pliny HN iv. 120.

⁸ Feira, district of Aveiro, some twenty miles south of Oporto. This identification was given as probable (vermutlich) by Cosack (Eroberung von Lissabon, p. 30, note), citing Brandão (in Bernard de Brito, Monarchia Lusytana, [Alcobaça] and Lisbon, [1597]-1727, III, 12, 116). Flórez (España sagrada, XXI, 43) has cited Rodrigo da Cunha (Catalogo dos bispos do Porto, Oporto, 1623, Pt. 1, pp. 13, 16, 185) as authority for the tradition that the eleventh-century warrior bishop of Oporto, Sisnandus I, dedicated his conquests, of which Feira was one, to the Virgin, calling them terrae de Santa Maria. The arms of Feira represent the Virgin with the infant Jesus in her arms, upon a cloud over a castle (“a imagem da Virgem com o menino Jesus nos braços, sobre uma nuvem pousada em um castello”): Manoel Pinheiro Chagas, Diccionario popular, historico, geographico, mythologico, biographico, artistico, bibliographico, e litterario (Lisbon, 1876-90), Supplement, I, s.v. Feira. According to the modern guidebook (F. Muirhead, Southern Spain, London and Paris, 1929, p. 245) the territory between Feira and Oporto is to this day known as Terras de Santa Maria. The castle of Feira, said to have been taken from the Moors by Affonso Henrques, is described as “an archaeological marvel,” Enciclopedia universal, XXIII, 566. According to the pilot guide (East Atlantic Pilot, p. 133), “The town and castle of Feira, on rising ground, 6 miles inland, . . . may be distinguished from sea in clear weather.”
inter fluvium Doira et silvam que dicitur Medica in frigore, in cuius territorio requiescit beatus Donatus apostoli Iacobi discipulus. Et post silvam fluvius Vaga. Et post, civitas Colymbria super fluvium Mundego. Ultra quam est castrum Soyra. Et post, castrum quod dicitur Mons Maior. Et post, castrum Lora, super fluvium qui dividit episcopatum Lyxbonensem a Colymbriensi. Et post, silva que vocatur Alchubez lingua eorum, circa quam eremī vastitas usque ad castrum Suhtrium, quod distat a Lyxebona milliaria VIII.

In insula vero predicta cum pernoctassamus, summo mane velificare incepimus, iter prosperum agentes donec fere ad ostia Tagi fluminis ventus procumbens a montibus Suchtriis naves tam admirabili tempestate concuteret ut pars batellorum cum hominibus absorberetur. Perseveravit autem tempestas usque ad introitum portus fluminis Tagi. Nobis vero portum intrantibus signum admirabile in aere visum est. Nam ecce a Galliarum partibus nubes candide magne nobiscum venientes, nubibus quibusdam magnis nigredine conspersis a continenti venientibus concurrere vise sunt; atque in modum acierum ordinatarum sinistris cornibus inter se iunctis admirabilique impetu confligere, [130v] quedam in modum velitum, dextra levaque impressione facto, in aciem resilire, quedam ut aditum invenirent ceteras girare, quedam ceteras penetrare easdemque penetratas ad modum vaporis inanire, quedam sursum quedam deorsum levari, nunc pene aquis contigüe

* Just before Medica there is a reference to the margin, where one reads id est mei (the last two letters doubtful), the remainder of the marginalium being clipped away in rebinding.
" heremī.

1 I have failed to identify this place. Stubbs, Itinerarium, p. cliii, note 1, has proposed Mezanfrio, by which he presumably meant Mesao-Frio; but this lies to the north of the Douro and some thirty-five miles almost directly east of Oporto. There seems to be no justification, beyond mere geographical position, for Cosack's identification (loc. cit.) with the modern Serra Gralheira.

2 He is mentioned again below, pp. 116–17, as one of the sequaces of St. James, but is apparently otherwise unknown to tradition. The only other Donatus whom I have found in any way associated with Portugal is the martyr of Concordia in Italy (17 February) whose martyrdom is assigned to Thomar (district of Santarém) in the year 145 by the false Dexter (early seventeenth century). Migne, XXXI, 333–34.

3 Evidently Soure, some fifteen miles southwest of Coimbra. Compare Enciclopedia universal, LVII, 691.
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called Medica in frigore,¹ in the land of which lies the blessed Donatus,² a disciple of St. James the Apostle; and after the forest, the River Vouga; and after this, the city of Coimbra on the River Mondego, beyond which is the castle of Soure;³ and after this, the castle called Montemor;⁴ and after this, the castle of Leiria, on the river which divides the diocese of Lisbon from that of Coimbra;⁵ and after this, the forest called Alcobaca⁶ in their tongue, around which a wilderness⁷ extends as far as the castle of Cintra, which is eight miles from Lisbon.⁸

When we had passed the night on the aforesaid island, we set sail at dawn and had a prosperous voyage until, when we were almost at the mouth of the Tagus River, a squall came down from the hills of Cintra and struck the ships with such amazing violence that several of the smaller boats were sunk with the men on board. And the squall continued until we entered the shelter of the Tagus River. As we were entering the port a wonderful portent appeared to us in the air. For behold, great white clouds coming along with us from the direction of the Gauls were seen to encounter other great clouds bespattered with blackness coming from the mainland. Like ordered lines of battle with left wings locked together they collided with a marvelous impact, some in the manner of skirmishers attacking on right and left and then springing back into line, some encircling others in order to find a way through, some going right through the others and reducing them to a void like vapor, some being pressed downwards and now almost touching the water, others being lifted

¹ Montemor-o-Velho, on the right bank of the Mondego, some sixteen miles below Coimbra and ten miles from the sea. It is plainly indicated on the world map of Idrisi (1154), Miller, Mappae Arabicae, II, 104, 156-7. The author is much confused as to the relative positions of Montemor and Soure. The former is almost ten miles north and slightly west of the latter. Compare Encyclopaedia universal, XXXVI, 560.

² Leiria is in fact on the River Lis, which, in its lower reaches, formed the dividing line between the dioceses of Lisbon and Coimbra. Compare Encyclopaedia universal, XXIX, 1526; Guia de Portugal, II, 655-57.

³ Alcobaca, about twenty-nine miles southwest of Leiria and some five or six miles from the sea. Compare Encyclopaedia universal, IV, 279-80; Guia de Portugal, II, 611-12.

⁷ On the character of this region see Herculano, Historia de Portugal, II, 152.

⁸ Cintra is actually about seventeen miles from Lisbon.
nunc ab oculis in sublime ferri. Cum tandem nubes magna a
nostris partibus veniens omnem aeris impuritatem secum
trahens, ut ad modum azoli purissimi citra hanc videretur,
ceteras omnes a continentii venientes impetu suo reprimens,
quasi victrix coram se predas agens, aeris sola principatum
tenuit, ceteris omnibus vel inanitis vel si qua paucula reman-
serit aput urbem visa est confugere, nobis acclamantibus:
"Ecce nubes nostra devicit! Ecce nobiscum Deus! Dispersa
est hostium potentia! Confusi sunt, quoniam Dominus dissi-
pavit eos!" Et sic demum tempestatis cessavit omnis quas-
satio. Igitur post parum temporis, circiter horam diei X.,
pervenimus ad civitatem que non multum distat ab ostio
fluminis Tagi.1

[Et autem est Tagus fluvius subterlabens, a Toletanis parti-
bus fluens, in cuius ripis sub primo vere, dum in alveo se
recolligit, aurum invenitur;2 cuius etiam tanta piscium copia
ut due partes aque tertia piscium ab incolis credatur. Conchiliis
abundat ut harena. Hoc autem precipue, quod huius aque
pisces omni tempore pinguedinem suam et saporem innatum
retinent, non alternantes vel degenerantes, ut apud vos est,
ungu est, nulla rerum vicissitudine.3 A meridie huius est Elmada4
pro-vintia, que abundat vineis et ficis et pomis granatis. Segete
adeo fertilis ut bis ex uno semine fructificet; celebris venatibus,
melle abundans. Similiter in ea parte castrum Palmella.5 A
septentrione fluminis est civitas Lyxibona in cacumine montis
rotundi; cuius muri gradatim descendentes ad ripam flu-
minis Tagi solum muro interclusi pertingunt. Sub nostro ad-
ventu opulentissima totius Affrice et magne partis Europe
commestibus. Est autem sita super montem Artabrum,6 per-

a dissipavit.
b The initial E is wanting, space being left for a capital which was never inserted.
c desentes.

1 Lisbon is actually some eight or nine miles from the sea.
2 The passage is evidently inspired by Solinus 23. 6, which in turn is based on Pliny
HN iv. 115.
3 The modern traveler still shares the author's enthusiasm for the fish and shellfish,
not only of the Tagus, but of all the coast of Portugal.
4 Almada is located on the opposite bank of the Tagus directly south of Lisbon.
5 Palmela is situated southeast of Lisbon at a distance of some seventeen or eighteen
upwards and now borne from view in the firmament. When at last the great cloud coming from our direction and carrying with it all the impurity of the air, so that all on this side appeared as purest azure, pressed back all the others which were coming from the direction of the mainland, and, as a victress driving the booty before her, held all alone the mastery of the air, and all the others had either been reduced to nothing, or, if some fragments remained, they appeared to be in flight towards the city, we all shouted, "Behold, our cloud has conquered! Behold, God is with us! The power of our enemies is destroyed! They are confounded, for the Lord has put them to flight!" And so at last the squall ceased. And a short time afterwards, about the tenth hour of the day, we arrived at the city which is not far from the mouth of the Tagus.¹

The Tagus, gliding by, is a river which flows down from the region of Toledo. Gold is found on its banks in the early spring after it has returned to its channel.² It contains fish in such quantities that it is believed by the natives to be two parts water and one part fish; and it abounds in shellfish like the sands [without number]. This also is especially to be noted, that the fish in this river retain at all seasons their richness and natural flavor, neither altering nor deteriorating, as happens with you, under any circumstances.³ To the south of it lies the province of Almada,⁴ which abounds in vines and figs and pomegranates. So fertile is the soil that two crops are produced from a single seeding. It is celebrated for its hunting and abounds in honey. Also on this side is the castle of Palmela.⁵ On the north of the Tagus is the city of Lisbon, situated on the top of a round hill; and its walls, descending by degrees, extend right down to the bank of the river, which is only shut out by the wall. At the time of our arrival [it was] the richest in trade of all Africa and a good part of Europe. It is situated on Mons Artabrum,⁶ a promontory which extends to

¹ miles, on one of the northernmost spurs of the Serra da Arrábida; it is in full view from Lisbon in fine weather. Compare Enciclopédia universal, XLI, 409; Guia de Portugal, I, 645–48.

² In attaching the name Artabrum to this promontory, the author follows Solinus
tingentem mare Oceanum Gaditanum; celum, terras, maria
distinguit a terris, eo quod ibi litus Hyspanie finiat, et quod a
circuito eius incipit [131r] Gallicus Oceanus et fons septen-
triorialis, Oceano Atlantico et occasu terminatis ibidem.\footnote{1}
Quo ab Ulyxe oppidum\footnote{2} Ulyxibona conditum creditur.\footnote{2} Ter-
ritoria eius circumquaque adiacentia optimis comparanda nulli
postponenda, frugique soli copia, sive arborarios sive vinearum
proventus respicerere velis. Omni materia affluat, aut quæ pretio
ambitiosa aut usu necessaria. Aurum et argentum habet; fer-
raais numquam deficit. Vincit olea; nichil in ea otiosum\footnote{3} vel
sterile, nec quod omnimodam messem neget. Non coquant
sales sed effodient. Ficis abundat, adeo ut vix a nobis portio
consumii quiverit. Vigent pabulis etiam arida.\footnote{3} Venatibus
multimodis celebris. Non habet lepores. Aves habet multi-
genas. Aere salubris. Habet autem civitas hec balnea calida.\footnote{4}
Iuxta quam est castrum Suchtrium, distans quasi miliaria
VIII,\footnote{5} in quo fons est purissimus, usus cuius tussim phthi-
simque\footnote{5} sedare dicitur; unde si incole tussientes audierint, non
esse indigenas deprehendant. Habet etiam poma citrea.\footnote{6} In
cuius pascuis eque lasciviora mira fecunditate. Nam aspirate
favonii vento concipiunt, et postmodum sitientes cum mari-
bus coeunt; sic aurarum spiritu maritantur.\footnote{6}

\footnote{1}{opidum.}
\footnote{2}{ociosum.}
\footnote{3}{consummi.}
\footnote{4}{tysimque.}
\footnote{5}{cedria. The reading of the first two letters is somewhat
doubtful. Apparently cedria was first written; then the first three letters were partly erased; then t was written over
the partly erased d. A marginal note is added, now only partly legible, which appears
to be as follows: quodammodo . . . odorem . . . rentia . . . catur arb[or] medica
cong (?). . . ven[enum] dicitur. Stubbs (Itinerarium, p. clv, note 1) read quodammodo
odorem . . . rentia . . . catur de v. . . medici eorum . . . ver . . . dicitur. This is
surely based on Solinus 46. 4-6, and appears to be concerned with the odor of the
citron and with its supposed virtue as an antidote for poison.}

23. 5, who follows Pliny HN iv. 113, who seems to be in error. See D. Detlefsen, Die
1 The extreme confusion of this passage arises from the fact that the author was
using Solinus, evidently in a very corrupt text, which rendered the clear statement of
Pliny unintelligible. The pertinent texts of Pliny and Solinus seem to require quota-
tion. Pliny HN iv. 113-14: " . . . promunturium . . . Artabrum . . . terras, maria,
caelum disterminans. Illo finitur Hispaniae latus et a circuito eius incipit frons.
Septentrio hinc oceanusque Gallicus, occasus illine et oceanus Atlanticus." Solinus
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the Ocean of Cadiz [and forms a landmark], dividing the land, the sea, and the heavens; for the side of Spain ends there, and with the rounding of the promontory its northern front and the Gallic Ocean begin, the Atlantic and the West having there been terminated. It is the site where the town of Lisbon is believed to have been founded by Ulysses. The surrounding country is second to none and comparable with the best, rich in products of the soil, whether you are looking for the fruit of trees or of vines. It abounds in everything, both costly articles of luxury and necessary articles of consumption. It also contains gold and silver and is never wanting in iron mines. The olive flourish. There is nothing unproductive or sterile or which refuses to return a harvest. They do not boil their salt but dig it. Figs are so abundant that we could hardly eat a fraction of them. Even the dry places are productive of forage. The region is celebrated for many kinds of hunting. There are no hares, but many kinds of birds. The air is healthful, and the city has hot baths. About eight miles away is the castle of Cintra, in which there is a spring of purest water, the use of which is said to stop coughs and allay consumption. Hence, if the inhabitants should hear anyone coughing, they might discern that he was not a native. The region also produces citrons. In its pastures the mares breed with a wonderful fecundity; for, being blown upon by the west winds, they conceive from the wind, and afterwards, being in heat, they are joined with their mates, and so they are impregnated by the breath of the breezes.

---

23. 5: "Artabrum . . . Hoc caelum terras maria distinguitt: terris Hispaniae latus finit: caelum et maria hoc modo dividit, quod a circuitu eius incipient Oceanus Gallicus et frons septentrionalis, Oceano Atlantico et occasu terminatis." In translating I have substituted latus for litus and frons for fons.

2 Derived from Solinus 23. 6.

3 The entire passage Territoria eius . . . etiam arida, with the exception of the sentence Ficis abundat . . . consumi quisertis, is based very closely on Solinus 23. 1–4, where it is applied to Spain generally.

4 Hot sulphur springs near the Praça de S. Paulo in Lisbon are exploited commercially at the present day.

4 See above, p. 89, note 8.

4 The author's evident difficulty doubtless arose from a conflict between legend and
Constitit vero sub nostro adventu civitas LX. M. familiarum aurum reddentium, summatis circumquaque suburbii, exceptis liberis nullius gravedini subiacentibus. Cingitur autem muro rotundo cacumen montis, dextra levaque descendentibus muris urbis per declivum usque ad Tagi ripam. Dependentibus sub muro suburbii vicorum vice in rupibus excisis, ut unus-quisque vicus pro castro haberetur munitissimo, tot enim difficilatibus cingitur. Populosa supra quod existimari nequit. Nam sicut postmodum urbe capta ab eorum alcaie, id est principe, didicimus, habuit hæc civitas centum quinquaginta quatuor milia hominum, exceptis parvulis et mulieribus: annumeratis castri Scalaphii civibus, qui in hoc anno a castro suo expulsi, novi hospitesque morabantur, de Suchtria et Elmada et Palmella optimatis cunctis; ex omnibus Hyspanie partibus et Affrice mercatoribus multis. Sed cum tanti essent, solum armaturam XV. milium habebant in lanceis et scutis, et cum his egrediebantur adinvicem, sicut ex indici principis constitutum fuerat. Edificia vero eius artissime conglobata, ut vix nisi in vicis mercatoriis vicus inveniri quiverit amplioris quam VIII. pedum latitudinis. Causa tante multitudinis erat quod nullus ritus religionis inter eos erat; nam quisque sibi lex erat, utpote qui ex omnibus mundi partibus [131v] flagitiosissimi quique quasi in sentinam confluenter, totius libidinis atque immunditie seminaria. Sub temporibus regum Christianorum priusquam Mauri eam obtinuissent, trium martyrum memoria iuxta urbem in loco qui dicitur Compolet celebrabatur, scilicet Verissime et Maximi et Iuliæ virginis, quorum ecclesia a

---

*enim* written in margin.  
*mercatoribus* written in margin.  
optimisset.

---

the facts of observation. The passage is an elaboration of Solinus 23. 7, which in turn is elaborated from Pliny *HN* iv. 116; viii. 166. Compare Virgil *Georg.* iii. 273–75:

Ore omnes versae in Zephyrum stant rupibus altis  
Exceptantque levis auras et saepe sine ullis  
Coniugiis vento gravidae . . .

1 See plan facing p. 130.  
*A* literal translation of this sentence seems impossible. *Vicus* as used in the second instance may be approximately equivalent to the Portuguese *calçada* as it is applied to steep and narrow streets in modern Lisbon.
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At the time of our arrival the city consisted of sixty thousand families paying taxes, if you include the adjacent suburbs, with the exception of the free ones which are subject to the exactions of no one. The hilltop is girdled by a circular wall, and the walls of the city extend downward on the right and left to the bank of the Tagus.¹ And the suburbs which slope down beneath the wall have been so cut out of the rocks that each of the steep defiles which they have in place of ordinary streets may be considered a very well fortified stronghold, with such obstacles is it girt about.² The city was populous beyond what can readily be believed; for, as after its capture we learned from their alcayde, that is, their governor, it contained one hundred and fifty-four thousand men, without counting women and children, but including the citizens of Santarém,³ who had this year been expelled from their castle and were sojourning in the city as newcomers, and all the aristocracy of Cintra and Almada and Palmela, and many merchants from all parts of Spain and Africa. But although they were so numerous, they had equipment in lances and shields for but fifteen thousand; and with these they went out by turns as the proclamation of the governor had determined. The buildings of the city were so closely packed together that, except in the merchants’ quarter, hardly a street could be found which was more than eight feet wide. The cause of so great a population was that there was no prescribed form of religion among them, for everyone was a law unto himself; for the most depraved elements from all parts of the world had flowed together as it were into a cesspool and had formed a breeding ground of every lust and abomination. In the time of the Christian kings, before the Moors took it, the memory of three martyrs was celebrated beside the city in a place called Campolide,⁴ namely, the martyrs Verissimus and Maxima and Julia the Virgin,⁵ whose

¹ Santarém, the ancient Scalabis, is situated on the Tagus about forty-six miles northeast of Lisbon. It was taken from the Moors by Affonso Henrikes on 15 March, 1147 by a surprise assault in the night. See Herculano, Historia de Portugal, II, 216–22; Encyclopediad universal, LIV, 222–25; Guia de Portugal, II, 344–45.

² The name still survives as that of one of the quarters in the northwest of Lisbon.

³ The three martyrs of Lisbon whose feast is celebrated on 1 October; supposed to
Mauris solotenus destructa tres tantum adhuc lapides in signum ruine sue ostendit, qui numquam abinde potuere sustolli. De quibus aliic dicunt eos fore altaria, aliic bustalia. Hec de civitate ad presens sufficiant.

[V]igilia\* igitur beati Petri apostoli\* post prandium, cum ibi hora quasi prandii venissemus,\* quidam ex nostris in littore iuxta civitatem ex navibus progregiuntur. Contra quos Mauri,\* sed nostrorum impetum non valentes ferre, non sine ipsorum detrimento, usque ad portam que suburbium respecit fugati sunt. Sed Saherius de Arcellis nostros ab impetu, dolum succensens hostium, revocat, gratias agens Deo quod dissimiles prioribus qui ante huc advenerant\* casus iam in operis\* principio experti sumus. Advocatis qui aderant tentoria in supercilio montis supereminentis urbem quantum est fere baculi iactus figi jubet, inhonestum ratus iam primo congressu, ne cedere hostibus videremur, terram relinquere. Cuncti qui aderant favent. Adveniente itaque prima noctis vigilia, nisi duo tantum tentoria, Hervei de Glanvilla et Saheri de Arcellis usquam apparuere, ceteris omnibus ad naves regressis. Nos vero cum paucis admodum XXXIX. tota noce non sine metu excubavimus, ut Sancti Petri vigilias solempnes loricis induti celebraremus.\* Mane autem facto, ut citius quis potuit tentorium terra defigit, ac si nostri casus nichil prescissent.

Episcopi vero qui nobiscum advenerant regem suum adeunt,

\* The initial V is wanting, space having been left for a capital which was never inserted.
\* A small erasure at the beginning of Mauri.
\* operis written in margin.

have been a brother and two sisters, and to have suffered martyrdom in the famous persecution of 304 under the emperor Diocletian, when Dacian was governor (praeses). Compare Flores, España sagrada, XIV, 190–93, 384–86. They are mentioned again on pp. 118–19, below. The author is inconsistent in spelling the names of the first two of the trio, as are also the early Mozarabic calendars which have been edited in parallel columns by Dom Marius Féroin, Le Liber ordinum en usage dans l’Église wisigothique et mozarabe d’Espagne du cinquième au onzième siècle (Paris, 1904), pp. 480–81. I have translated in accordance with what is now the accepted tradition. Dom Féroin, loc. cit., note 1, cites an inscription of the sixth century indicating that at that time the relics of the martyrs were in the altar of the church of St. Stephen near Zafra in Andalusia.

1 28 June. Compare Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 4; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.
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church, though razed to the ground by the Moors, still reveals, in sign of its ruin, just three stones which it has never been possible to carry away; concerning which, some say they may have been altars, but others gravestones. Let this suffice for the present concerning the city.

On the vigil of St. Peter the Apostle,\(^1\) after lunch, since we had arrived at about the lunch hour,\(^2\) some of our men disembarked upon the shore beside the city. Against whom the Moors \(\text{[advanced]}\); but, not being able to withstand our attack, and not without losses, they were put to flight back to the gate which opens on the suburb. But Saher of Archelle, incensed at the craftiness of the enemy, recalled our men from the attack, though he thanked God that at the very beginning of our enterprise we had had a different experience from that of our predecessors who had come here previously.\(^3\) Calling together those who were present, he commanded that tents be pitched on the brow of a hill which overlooked the city at a distance of about a stick's throw; for he thought it disgraceful to give up ground after the first encounter, lest we appear to be yielding to the enemy. All who were present approved; and yet as the first watch of the night came on only two tents were anywhere to be seen, namely, those of Hervey de Glanvill and Saher of Archelle, for the rest of the men had returned to the ships. But we, with a small force of thirty-nine men, and not without fear, lay out on guard all that night, so that we celebrated the solemn vigils of St. Peter with our corslets on.\(^4\) But in the morning everyone pitched his tent as quickly as he could—as if he had had no previous knowledge of our dangerous situation.

The bishops who had come along with us went to the king

\(^1\) Somewhat later than midafternoon; cf. above, pp. 90, 91, where it is said that they arrived about the tenth hour of the day.

\(^2\) The reference is evidently to the northern crusaders who had failed in an attack upon Lisbon five years before. See below, pp. 102, 103. This was perhaps the attack which is described in the *Chronica Gothorum* under the date 1140: *PMH, Scriptores*, I, 13–14; cf. Herculano, *Historia de Portugal*, II, 186; III, 18.

\(^3\) The author was evidently of this party; cf. above, p. 42, and below, pp. 126–27, note 2.
ut, sicut nobiscum constituerant, eum nobis obviam facerent. Qui brevi cum eo redeunt, nam per dies plus octo in pro-vintia commoratus nostrum adventum existimans expectaverat. Audierat enim per nostros de nostro adventu, qui, in navibus V. a nostra societate segregati, V. dierum navigatione a portu de Dertemund advenant ante dies VIII. Adveniente itaque rege, omnes fere pariter, ut in tali tumultu fieri solet, divites et pauperes, obviam faciunt sumus. Cum percunctasset vero rex\(^a\) qui essent ex nobis primates aut quorum consilia in nobis precellerent aut si cuiquam totius exercitus responsum commisissemus,\(^b\) breviter responsum est nos primates habere hos et hos, et quorum precipue actus et consilia preminerent, sed nondum deliberatum cui responsionis officia committerent. Si ab ipso primitus audissent, inter tot summe prudentie viros brevi reperturos, qui pro omnibus communem consilio responderet. [132r] Ad hec rex pro tempore pauc\(\bar{a}\) respondit:

"Scimus satis et compertum habemus vos fortes et strenuo\(\bar{a}\) magneque industri\(\bar{a}\) viros fore, et verum aput nos non vos vestri presentia quam fama minores fecit. Non enim quod inter tot tanteque diviti\(\bar{a}\) viros nostra sponsio suffecerit, ut nostris scilicet ditati muneribus ad urbis huius obsidionem nobiscum maneatis, vos convenimus. A Mauris enim semper inquietatis, numquam peccunias adunare quibus quandoque secure non contigit vivere. Sed quoniam facultatem nostram et bone mentis erga vos affectum vos ignorare nolumus, sponsoni nostre non imferendam injuriam, imo quicquid terra nostra possidet vobis mancipatum censemus. Certi vero super hiis, quod vos magis piiitas vestra ad laborem studiumque tanti operis invitabit, quam nostre sponsio peccuni\(\bar{a}\) ad premium provocabit. Sed ne populorum clamationibus vestrorum nostra turbetur oratio, ex vobis eligite quis volueritis ut ab invicem sece-

\(^a\) rex written over an erasure.
\(^b\) commississesmus.
in order to have him come to meet us in accordance with their agreement with us. They soon came back with him, for he had remained in the neighborhood for more than a week in anticipation of our arrival. For he had learned in advance of our coming from some of our people who had been detached from our association in five ships, and who had made the voyage from Dartmouth harbor in five days, and had arrived a week before us. As the king approached we almost all went out to meet him, rich and poor mixed up together as usually happens in such a crowd. And when the king inquired who our chiefs were or whose counsels were preeminent among us or if we had commissioned anyone to answer for our whole army, he was briefly informed that such and such were our chief men and that their acts and counsels carried especial weight, but that we had not yet decided on anyone on whom authority should be conferred to make answer for all. If first we should hear from him, among so many men of great prudence someone would quickly be found who with the common assent of all would make answer for all. To this the king for the moment made a brief reply:

"We have fully understood that you would be brave and strenuous men of great industry; and truly your presence here has not proved you to be less so than your reputation had led us to expect. We have not come to you in the belief that our promise to enrich you with gifts would suffice to induce men as wealthy as you are, and as numerous as you are, to remain with us at the siege of this city. For having been constantly harassed by the Moors, so that sometimes not even our life has been safe, it has surely not been our fortune to accumulate [great] wealth. But since we do not want you to be ignorant of our resources and of the affection of a good mind towards you, our promise shall be fully kept: indeed, whatsoever our land possesses, we account it as delivered to you. But beyond this, we feel certain that your piety will invite you to the labor and exertion of so great an enterprise more than the promise of our money will incite you to the recompense of booty. But, lest our discourse be disturbed by the shouting of your people,
dentes benigne placideque sponsionis nostre causam utrimque super hiis que proposuimus diffiniamus. Sicque inter nos diffinita, in commune coram omnibus explicitur, ut omnibus deinde utrimque assensum prebentibus, certo federe certisque pignoribus ad Dei questum rata fiat.”

Ad hec omnes responsuri una in concilium veniunt. Quid vero in hoc quisque prout animi lingueque facilitas abundabant dixerit, nichilque aliud nisi aerem verberare conferret, cum parum auctoritatis constet in fabula, non inconvenienter preterendum puto. Sed cum multi multa supervacua proferrent, usque post prandium differtur consultum quid potius elijendum esset. Sed interim, quo pacto nescio quibusve internuntiiis, Flandrenses regis sponsionibus acquiescunt; nam, ut estimo, quos rei familiaris inopia urgebant, hos proculdubio peccuniarum spes capescendarum facilius ad consuetudinem suam reducit. Dum iterum in concilio ventum est, quos paulo ante existimabamus coniurationis socios, nunc regis advocatos in concilio reperimus, hoc solum semper coram nobis excipientes quod a rege pacionem ullam non solum non suscipere, immo nec audirent, sed moris semper ubique terrarum fuisse in hanc partem favere potius qua impetus animi magis duceret. Seque cum rege manere velle si omnibus complaceret sociis neque restare alicud quin hoc potius fieret aiebant.

Interea quique prout arbitrabatur prudentior sententiam rogatus, diversi diversa protulere. Inter quos Willelmus Vitulus,¹

¹ The name Vitulus should possibly be Englished as “Calf.” The position and influence of this nautical family, which was on the side of the Empress Matilda and Earl Robert of Gloucester against King Stephen in the civil strife in England, is indicated by William of Malmesbury (Gesta regum, II, 594) in a curious passage apropos of the earl’s return from Normandy and his contemplated attack on Southampton in December, 1142: “Cogitaverat primo ad Hamtunam appellere, ut dispendio burgensium simul et domini eorum inuiarias suas ulcisceretur; sed flexerunt eius impetu precibus multis Vituli, qui arctissimarum necessitudinum parentes, quos apud Hamtunam habeabant, aerumpnis ceterorum involvi tимерent. Genus hominum nauticorum est

a conferret.
b quibus was written first; then partly erased and changed to quos.
c An erasure of 2.5 centimetres follows ad. Over this the attempt was made to write consuetudinem; but it was abandoned after the first two letters because of the spreading of the ink, and consuetudinem was begun afresh beyond the erasure.
d An erasure of 5 millimetres follows hanc.
choose from among you those whom you wish, in order that we may withdraw together and, quietly and in good temper, mutually define the conditions of our promise with respect to the proposals which we have made. And when they have been so defined, let them be explained in the presence of all, in order that, with the mutual assent of all, they may finally be ratified by an unequivocal treaty and sure pledges, for the profit of God."

To frame a reply to this we all assembled in council. But what on this occasion everyone said in proportion as he abounded in cocksureness and glibness of tongue, and in so saying profited nothing except to beat the air, I think may not inconveniently be passed over, for there is no authority in talk. But when a large number had put forward many superfluities, the decision as to what course it were preferable to take was put off until after lunch. But in the meantime, by what agreement and through what intermediaries I know not, the Flemings acquiesced in the king’s proposal—because, as I suppose, those who were feeling the pinch of want the hope of money-snatching reduced the more easily to its sway. When we again assembled in council, those whom a little while before we had esteemed loyal members of our sworn association we now discovered to be advocates of the king, who persistently maintained this one position before us, that they had not only not entered into any agreement with the king, nay, that they had not even heard of such a thing, but that it had ever been a universal custom to favor the party towards which the impulse of the reason the more strongly inclined. And they said that they wished to remain with the king, if that should be agreeable to all their associates, and that no circumstances stood in the way of this as the preferable course.

Meanwhile, as each one who was thought to be a man of unusual prudence was asked for his opinion, some proposed one thing and some another. Among whom William Viel,\footnote{Quos Vitulos vocant; qui quia fidi clientes comitis sunt, preces eorum non negligendas arbitratus, coepto destitit.” A charter of Henry II in favor of the abbey of Saint-}
ad hoc spirans minarum cedisque piraticis [132v] et Radulfus frater eius et omnes fere Hamtunenses et Hastingenses, cum hiis qui ante hoc quinquennium urbem Ulyxibonam obsidendum convenerant, omnes uno ore regis [s]ponsionem accipere nichil aliud quam pridictionem aiebant; plurima etiam super hiis retractantes, que vel ficta, vel si qua vera fuerint, eorum magis deputanda insipienti quam alterius pravitati, aut ea que magis patebant, longi dispensa laboris in obsidione nole pati. Insuper maximo questui fore si costam Hispanie sub festinatione transcurrerent, ac perinde multas peccunias ab Affrice navibus et Hispanie mercatoris leviter ex torquerent; ventumque insuper plurimum eo tempore in Iherusalem navigantibus aptum commemorant; nec se quosquam expectaturos si solum VIII. vel X. naves socias habuerint; et multa hiis similia que potius fortune casibus subiacent quam virtuti. Sed nostrorum maior pars, omni occasione re-

---

Étienne of Caen [1156-61] reveals that a Ralph Vitulus had houses in Caen which owed custom to the abbey, except in the case of one which enjoyed an exemption under a charter which had been granted by King William (evidently William the Conqueror or William Rufus)—an exemption which may indicate that the Vituli had been in the service of the Anglo-Norman ruling family since the eleventh century. Léopold Delisle and Élie Berger, Recueil des actes de Henri II, roi d’Angleterre et duc de Normandie, concernant les provinces françaises et les affaires de France (Paris, 1909-27), I, 266. Another charter of Henry II [before 1172-73] grants to Ralph, serviens suis, and to his heirs, freedom from customs throughout all the king’s dominions: “quietaniem omnium consuetudinum per omnes terras meas, tam in terra quam in mari et in portu maris, et nominatim de tallagio et de omnibus consuetudinibus et auxiliis et rebus ad me pertinentibus. . . . Quare volo et precipio firmiter quod ipse et heredes sui et omnia catella sua propia quieta sint per omnes terras meas ab omni consuetudine. Et prohibeo super forisfactum meum ne quis eum vel res suas propter aliquam consuetudinem disturbet.” Ibid., p. 474. The frequent employment of the Vituli in the transport service of Henry II is evidenced by the following summary of payments to them out of the royal revenues, as recorded in the Pipe Rolls: 1157-58, to Ralph Vitulus, de itinere de Walia, £19 17s. 6d. (The Pipe Rolls of 2-3-4 Henry II, London, 1930, being a facsimile reproduction of the edition by Joseph Hunter of 1844, p. 175); 1164-65, to Walter Vitulus and Ralph, Jr., for the cost and equipment (in custamento
yet breathing out threatenings and piratical slaughter,¹ and his brother Ralph and almost all the men of Southampton and Hastings, together with those who had come to besiege Lisbon five years before this,² all with one voice declared that they took the king’s promise to be nothing but treachery; and, bringing up many points against it which were either false or, if in any respect true, to be imputed to their own foolishness rather than to the king’s baseness, or things which were even more obvious, [they said] that they were unwilling to bear the expense of a long labor in the siege. Moreover, it would be more profitable if they should sail quickly past the coast of Spain and then extort much easy money from the merchant vessels of Africa and Spain. And, besides, they recalled that the wind at that season was very favorable for voyagers to Jerusalem. And they said that they would not wait for anyone, if only they should have eight or ten ships associated with them, and many other similar things which depend upon the turn of fate rather than upon virtue. But the

¹ Compare Acts 9: 1.
² See above, p. 97, note 3.
mota, assensum remanendi prebet, Colonensibus, Flandrensi-
bus, Bolonensibus, Britonibus, Scottis in hoc idem libentissime
assentientibus; ceteris cum Willelmo Vitulo, quasi navibus
octo Normannorum, Hamtonensium et Bristowensium adhuc
in hac pertinacia* immobiler durantibus. Interim Flandrenses
et Colonenses et Bolonenses ad orientalem civitatis partem
cum classe sua secedunt. Iterum post parum perversos exhor-
tatum in concilio venimus, ut exhortationibus blandisque
promissis eos nobiscum retineremus, vel quasi fidei iuramentique
transgressores coniurateque societatis ab omni nostrorum et
cum sancte matris ecclesie communione segregaremus.1 Hinc
illinc acclamantibus cunctis, Herveus de Glanvilla, vix
nactus silentium, orationem huiusmodi habuit:

"Pie recordationis memoria qua tot nacionum populos
pieque eruditionis viros cruce dominica insignitos pridie
aput Portugalam me vidisse recolam animus licet mestissi-
um maxime relevaret, si hanc universitatem e gentium sub
unitatis sincere vinculo scirem posse restringi. Ad hoc enim
quemque nostrum summa ope deceret eniti, ut cum iam tanta
gentium diversitas sub coniurata unitatis legem nobiscum astrin-
gitur, nichilque in ea quod merito accusari vel derogari queat
in contingenti perpendamus, ne in nos eiusdem sanguinis
generisque socios vitae in posterum macula cohereat. Imo ut antiquorum virtutum memores nostrorum,
laudem et gloriem nostri generis accumulare potius quam
imfamatam malitie pannusculis obvelare. Insignia enim vete-
rum a posteris in memoriam reducta, et amoris et honoris
indicia sunt. [133r] Si boni emulatores veterum fueritis,

* pertinacia.

b A mutilated note in margin which, so far as legible, appears to be as follows:
[no]n hiis verbis sed hoc . . . o(?). . . . per sua . . . sibus in modo (?). Hamilton
(PMH, Scriptores, I, 397, note 1) read, in hiis verbis sed hoc . . . per sua . . . tibus
modo; Stubbs (Itinerarium, p. clviii, note 1), non his verbis sed hoc . . . d persua . . .
ribus in modo; Pauli (MGH, Scriptores, XXVII, 7, note 4), the same as Stubbs.
Apparently the author means to explain that the speech, as he gives it, is not in Glanvill's
exact words.

c univacersitatem. See above, p. 47.

d inditia.

1 Stubbs, Itinerarium, pp. clviii–clxiv, has dated this council and subsequent events
until the attack of the Anglo-Normans on the suburb as having occurred on 29 June;
greater part of our force, setting aside every objection, agreed to remain, and the men of Cologne, Flanders, and Boulogne, the Bretons, and the Scots very willingly gave their consent; but the rest of the Normans and the men of Southampton and Bristol, together with William Viel—about eight ships in all—held out immovably in their stubborn opposition. Meanwhile, the Flemings and the men of Cologne and Boulogne withdrew with their ships to the eastern side of the city. Again a little while afterwards we assembled in council to labor with the objectors, in order that through exhortation and fair promises we might retain them with us or else cut them off from all communion with ourselves and with the holy mother church as violators of sworn faith and of our oath-bound association.1 With everybody all around shouting, Hervey de Glanvill obtained silence with difficulty, and then spoke somewhat as follows:

"The grateful memory with which I recall that but recently I saw so many peoples of divers nations and so many men of devout learning signed with the sign of the divine cross at Oporto would greatly relieve the sadness of my mind, if I but knew that this association of peoples could be constrained under the yoke of a genuine unity. For now that so great a diversity of peoples is bound with us under the law of a sworn association, and considering that we find nothing in its dealings which can justly be made a subject of accusation or disparagement, each of us ought to do his utmost in order that in the future no stain of disgrace shall adhere to us who are members of the same stock and blood. Nay more, recalling the virtues of our ancestors, we ought to strive to increase the honor and glory of our race rather than cover tarnished glory with the rags of malice. For the glorious deeds of the ancients kept in memory by posterity are the marks of both affection and honor. If you show yourselves worthy emulators

but it seems more likely that they should be assigned to the 30th. The extra time seems a logical necessity, and the Teutonic Source dates the capture of the suburb July 1st: Brief des Priester Winand, p. 4; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.
of the ancients, honor and glory will be yours, but if unworthy, then disgraceful reproaches. Who does not know that the race of the Normans declines no labor in the practice of continuous valor?—the Normans, that is to say, whose military spirit, ever tempered by experience of the greatest hardships, is not quickly subverted in adversity, and in prosperity, which is beset by so many difficulties, cannot be overcome by slothful idleness; for it has learned how with activity always to frustrate the vice of idleness. But because, by I know not what manner of perverseness—as it were through lust of honor and glory—envy has crept in among us as a handmaid, while she cannot infect the men of alien race who are here with us, she pours out the largest part of her poison among our very selves. Brothers, take heed, and attend to the reform of your morals. Take an example from your neighbors for your own confusion. The men of Cologne are not at cross purposes with their fellows of Cologne, the Flemings do not look askance at Flemings. Who, indeed, would deny that the Scots are barbarians? Yet among us in this enterprise they have never overstepped the bounds of due friendship. And what else can be said except that something abnormal appears in you, since we are all sons of one mother—as if the tongue should deny to the palate, or the mouth to the stomach, or one foot to the other, or one hand to its mate, the office of mutual service? You wish to depart hence, and well may it be with you. But we are certainly remaining here, as has already been decided by common consent, with the exception only of your small number, a thing which I am compelled to say not without sorrow. You do no injury to God by this conduct, but only to yourselves. For, if you should remain here, God’s power is not augmented by your presence; if you should depart, it is not diminished. If this city should be taken by us, what will you say to that? Even though I remain silent concerning the sin of a violated association, you will become the objects of universal infamy and shame. Through fear of a glorious death you have withdrawn your support from your associates. The mere desire for booty yet to be acquired, you have bought at the cost of
eterno comparastis obprobrio. Genus vestrum innoxium hoc vestro crimine obnoxium tenebitur. Et certe pudet quod generis nostri mater Normannia et immerito a tot nationum que hic ad- sunt gentibus perpetuum vestri facinoris sustinebit obpro- brium.


*a fidutia.  
b consummere.  
c sotiorum.
eternal dishonor. The race of your innocent colleagues will be held responsible for this your crime; and it is certainly a shame that Normandy, the mother of our race, must bear, and that undeservedly, in the eyes of so many peoples who are here represented the everlasting opprobrium of your outrageous action.

"Now for another aspect of the matter. Wherefore are you going forth to waste yourselves and your substance? Your pilgrimage certainly appears not to be founded on charity, for love is not in you. For if the affection of true love were in you, you would surely manifest it in a greater confidence towards us. I am not educated nor do I know how to make public addresses. Yet I have learned and I know that he who wishes to have his trespasses forgiven ought to forgive the trespasses of others. And he performs the part of the charitable who pardons those by whom he has been assailed. Now it is for this reason that I am adding these matters concerning the forgiveness of sins and the toleration of faults, namely, because you in justification of your conduct have maintained that certain actions of the king were reprehensible. Again, as to the profits in store for those who sail on, who knows whether in coveting the goods of others we may not lose our own? As to hastening the journey, who knows whether by that hope of gain haste may not be turned to delay? Truly, I prefer to consume my substance here in doing something worth while, rather than as a vagabond with a divided mind to exchange certainties for uncertainties and commit myself and my goods to fortuitous chances and in evil uses. As for the king, even if he may have been to blame in his conduct towards you, as you have previously asserted, for God's sake let him be borne with in order that you may accomplish something of greater profit. Nevertheless, as he reports to me, he declares himself to be guiltless of any base action towards you and offers to clear himself by the judgment of your men. Therefore, have mercy on your comrades. Spare shame to your race. Yield to the counsels of honor. Indeed, if you wish, I myself who am among the first, together with all my men, on bended knees,
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flexis, vinctis manibus, omnia mea in manibus vestris tradens, dominium vestri libentissime solum maneatis nobiscum suscipiam. Et si non vultis socios,\(^a\) exhibete vos saltem nobis dominos."

Et cum hec ad ultimum pre lacrimis vix dixisset,\(^b\) pedibus Willemi Vituli humiliari voluit, optimatibus astantium militum et ceterorum idem facientibus. Sed non sunt permissi ab eo et a cause sue sociis\(^c\) circumstantibus. Acquievit\(^d\) tandem Willelmu et socii\(^e\) eius nobiscum manere quantum sibi victualia suppeterent, nec amplius die uno, nisi regis vel nostrorum stipendiis teneretur. Et lacrimati sunt omnes pre gaudio dicentes, "Deus, adiuva nos."\(^1\)

Electi sunt ex optimatibus nostris una cum Colonensibus et Flandrensis, per quos inter nos et regem sponsonum et conventionum fieret diffinitionis terminatio. Qui, una cum rege et archiepiscono et coepiscopis et clericis et laicis, testamentum confirmationis pactum postea coram omnibus prolatum in hec verba fecerunt:

"Notum sit omnibus ecclesiæ filiis, tam futuris quam presentibus, conventionis pactum inter me et Francos. Quod scilicet ego Hydefonxus, rex Portugalensium, omnium meorum assensu, ut perpetuo sit aput posteros in memoria, testamento confirmationis assigno: Quod Franci qui ad urbis Lyxbonensis obsidionem una cum mecum mansuri sunt, hostium possessiones in omnibus in suam ditionem et potestatem transferant et habeant, omnibus meis et me omnimodo expertibus. Hostes captos si qui ut vivant redimi voluerint, redemptionis pecunias libere habeant, mihi insuper captivos reddant. Urbem, si forte ceperint, habeant et teneant donec facto scrutinio spolietur, tam in omnium redemptionibus quam in ceteris.

\(^a\) sotios.  
\(^b\) sotis.  
\(^c\) acquievit.  
\(^d\) sotii.

\(^1\) The motto or battle cry of crusaders which had come into use, beside the original Deus vult, during the First Crusade. See Fulcher of Chartres, Historia Hierosolymitana (ed. Heinrich Hagenmeyer, Heidelberg, 1913), p. 299 and note 33. Its popularity among pilgrims to Santiago is indicated by its appearance in the refrain of the marching song which is doubtfully ascribed to Aymery Picaud and which Professor King has conveniently called "The Little Hymn of St. James." G. G. King, The Way of St. James (New York and London, 1920), III, 533-35.
with hands bound and surrendering all my goods into your possession, will gladly accept your lordship, if only you will remain here with us. And, if you do not want us as associates, at least exhibit yourselves to us as lords.”

And when he had spoken these words at the end with difficulty on account of tears, he wished to humble himself at the feet of William Viel; and the leaders of the knights and of the others who were standing by did likewise. But William and his supporters who were standing near did not permit it. Finally, William and his associates consented to remain with us so long as sufficient provisions were in store for them, but not a day longer, unless they should be retained as stipendiaries of the king or of ourselves. And everyone wept for joy and said, “God help us!”

Representatives were chosen from among our leaders and from the leaders of the men of Cologne and the Flemings, through whom the terms of the engagements and agreements between ourselves and the king should be defined. And afterwards they, in association with the king, the archbishop, his fellow bishops, and the clergy and laity, caused the charter of confirmation of the agreements to be made known before all in the following words:

“Let the covenant of agreement between me and the Franks be known to all the sons of the church, both present and to come. To wit, that I Affonso, king of the Portuguese, with the assent of all my men, in order that it may be forever held in memory by future generations, grant by this charter of confirmation that the Franks who are about to remain with me at the siege of the city of Lisbon may take into their own power and possession, and may keep, all the possessions of the enemy, myself and all my men having absolutely no share in them. If any shall wish to have enemy captives redeemed alive, they shall freely have the ransom money, and they shall turn the said captives over to me. If perchance they should take the city, they shall have it and hold it until it has been searched and despoiled, both through putting everyone to ransom and otherwise. And so, at last, after it has
Sicque demum ad eorum voluntatem perscrutatam mihi tradunt. Postea vero civitas et terrÆ subactÆ, [1347] me presidente, partiantur secundum conditiones suas sicut quosquom melius noverim, tenende secundum consuetudines et libertates Francorum honestissimas, mihi solum in eis remanente adscriptionis dominio.  

Naves insuper et res eorum vel heredum eorum qui ad urbis Lyxbonensis obsidionem una mecum fuere ab omni consuetudine mercatoria que vulgo pedatica dicitur, a modo et in perpetuum per totam terram meam firmiter et bona fide concedo. Hiis testibus: Ioannes archiepiscopo Bracarensi, episcopo Petro Portugalensi, episcopo Lameccensi, episcopo Viseos, Frinando Menendiz socero regis, Frinando Captivo, Gunzalvo Roderici, Gocelino de Seusa, Menendo Hyldefonxi dapifer, Mutio de Lamega, Petro Pelagio, Ioannes Rainno, Gocelvo Sotheri, et multis quorum non novimus nomina."

Huius conventionis dati sunt ex parte regis obsides certi XX. per fidem et iuramentum, tam episcopi quam laici. Super hiis iuravit rex conventionem et testamentum prescriptum ser-

---

a The end of noverim clipped away in rebinding.
b Perhaps Gocelmo.
c An erasure of 4 millimetres follows Rainno.
d A small erasure between the last two letters of Sotheri. Apparently the author first wrote Sothere, and then erased the last letter and replaced it with i.


"Advocate" is used in the sense of the French avou or the German Vogt, a sense which was current in English in the eighteenth century.


4 Fernando Menendes was in fact the king's brother-in-law, having married his sister Sancia. The king's father-in-law was Amadeus III, count of Maurienne and Savoy. See *Cartulaire général de l'ordre du Temple*, *1110(?)*–*1150* (ed. Marquis d’Albon, Paris, 1913), No. 359; *PMH, Leges et consuetudines*, I, 368–70; Castilho, *Lisboa antiga*, Pt. 2, II, 118–19; Herculano, *Historia de Portugal*, II, 213.

been ransacked to their full satisfaction, they shall hand it over to me. And afterwards the city and subjugated lands shall, with me presiding, be divided among them in accordance with their respective ranks, as each may be best known to me, to be held according to the most honorable customs and liberties of the Franks, I myself retaining in them only the overlordship of an "advocate." Moreover, I release, absolutely and in good faith, the ships and the goods of those who were with me at the siege of Lisbon, and of their heirs, from all the merchant toll which is commonly called *pedatica*, from now henceforth in perpetuity throughout all my lands. These being witnesses: John, archbishop of Braga; Peter, bishop of Oporto; the bishop of Lamego;\(^4\) the bishop of Viseu;\(^5\) Fernando Menendes, the king’s father-in-law;\(^6\) Fernando Captivo;\(^7\) Gonsalvo Rodrigues;\(^8\) Gocelino de Seusa;\(^9\) Menendo Alfonsi, the steward;\(^10\) Mutio of Lamego;\(^11\) Peter Pelagius;\(^12\) John Rainho;\(^13\) Gocelvo Sotheri;\(^14\) and many others with whose names we are unacquainted.”

For the keeping of this convention twenty sure hostages were given on the king’s part, under pledge and oath, some of them being bishops and some laymen. Besides these, the king took oath to observe the convention and charter as

\(^4\) He can be traced in contemporary documents. See *Cartulaire général de l’ordre du Temple*, Nos. 359 (1145), 403 (1146).

\(^5\) I have been unable to identify him in contemporary documents. Cosack (Eroberung von Lissabon, p. 40, note) has proposed to identify him with Gonçalo de Sousa (Gonsalvus de Sausa), who is represented as *maior domus* of Afonso Henriques and a witness of an early charter by that king in favor of the monastery of St. Vincent de Fora at Lisbon: *Indiculum fundationis*, in PMH, *Scriptores*, I, 93. Gonçalo de Sousa also attests the donation by Afonso Henriques of the castle of Soure to the Templars on 14 March, 1129, *Cartulaire général de l’ordre du Temple*, No. 24.

\(^6\) He appears in contemporary documents, but, so far as I have noted, without the title of *dapifer*. See *Cartulaire général de l’ordre du Temple*, Nos. 356 (June, 1145), 439 (April, 1147); cf. Castilho, *op. cit.*, Pt. 2, II, 118.

\(^7\) I have been unable to trace him in contemporary documents.

\(^8\) He is represented as the king’s *alferes* (steward) and a witness of the charter in favor of St. Vincent de Fora which is cited above, note 7.


\(^10\) I have been unable to trace this witness in any contemporary document.
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evare. Insuper autem a nobis non discesserum nisi imfirmitate ultima cogente, aut si hostibus terra eis occuparetur, nec inde fallendi occasionem querere erga nos ullo modo. Nos vero similiter pactiones tenende iuramentum fecimus, datis inde obsidibus similiter viginti.

Hiis ita omnibus confirmatis, communi omnium consilio decretum est ut legatarii ad urbem hostes conventum mitternunt, ne eos nisi inviti videremur impungnare. Archiepiscopus igitur Braccarensis et episcopus Portugalensis cum paucis ex nostris ad urbem mittuntur. Dato utrimque signo, ipso civitatis alcaie super murum cum episcope et primiceriis civitatis stantibus pax induciarum, utrimque sancitur; cum sic archiepiscopus exorsus orationem habuit:

"[D]eüs pacis et dilectionis velamen erroris a cordibus vestris auferat, et ad se vos convertat. Et nos igitur de pace loquuturi ad vos pervenimus. Concordia enim res parve crescant, discordia maxime dilabuntur. Sed ut hæc inter nos non regnet perpetuo, huc ad vos conciliatum venimus. Sic enim nos ex uno codemque principio natura progenuit, ut federe societatis humane et vinculo matris omnium concordie aliiis alios non ingratos fieri decret. Nos vero ad hanc quam possidetis urbem non vos expugnatum hinc neque exspoliatum, si vultis, venimus. Habet enim hoc senper Christianorum innata benignitas, ut, licet sua repetat, aliena non rapiat.

"Urbis huius sedem nostri iuris fore vendicamus; et certe si in vobis iusticia naturalis profecerit, inexorati cum omnibus

\* occuparetur.
\* induciarum.
\* sancitur.

\d The initial D is wanting, space having been left for a capital which was never inserted. Stubbs, *Itinerarium*, p. clxi, read Spiritus, but I find no justification for this in the manuscript. Hamilton (*PMH, Scriptores*, I, 398) read Deus, but with a query.

1 Herculano, *Historia de Portugal*, III, 20, saw in this provision a virtual acknowledgment on the king's part that he had deserted the northern crusaders during the earlier attack upon Lisbon. Compare pp. 96, 97, 102, 103, above.

2 A Mozarabic bishop of Lisbon, according to Herculano, op. cit., III, 20, 48. He is mentioned again on pp. 176, 177, below, but he seems to be otherwise unknown. Herculano's view has been challenged, though unsuccessfully as it seems to me, by Castilho, *Lisboa antiga*, Pt. 2, II, 105-106, 204-205. F. de Almeida, *Historia da igreja em Portugal*, I,
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written. And, furthermore, he swore that he would not withdraw from us unless compelled by a mortal sickness or unless his territory should be occupied by enemies, and that he would on no account seek an opportunity in these provisions of practicing deception upon us.¹ And in like manner we also took an oath to observe the compact, and likewise gave twenty hostages.

When these matters had been thus confirmed, it was decided by the common counsel of all that commissioners should be sent to parley with the enemy, so that we might not appear to be attacking them except unwillingly. Accordingly, the archbishop of Braga and the bishop of Oporto and a few of our men were sent to the city. After signals had been exchanged, as the alcayde stood in person on the wall with the bishop² and chief men of the city, a truce was mutually ratified in order that on each side they might say what they wished. Then the archbishop made the following speech:

"May the God of peace and love remove the veil of error from your hearts and convert you to himself. And therefore have we come to you to speak of peace. For in concord small things grow great, in discord the greatest go to ruin. But, in order that discord may not forever reign between us, we have come hither to you with a message of conciliation. For Nature so begat us from one and the same principle that, by reason of the common bond of humanity and the chain of harmony derived from the mother of all, one ought not to be unacceptable to another. And, if you will, we have come hither to this city which you possess not to subdue you and drive you out and despoil you. For the inborn kindliness of Christians ever holds to this principle, that, while it seeks its own, it seizes not the property of others.

"We demand that the see of this city shall be under our law; and surely, if a natural sense of justice had made any progress among you, you would go back unbidden to the land

¹62, accepts the view of Herculano without question. There evidently were Mozarabs resident in Lisbon (as Herculano, op. cit., III, 48–51, has contended), who suffered the same fate as the Moors after the taking of the city. See below, pp. 180–81 and note 3.

"Civitates nostras et terrarum possessiones iniuste retinetis, iam annis CCC. et eo amplius LVIII., ante vos a Christianis habitas, quos non ad fidem gladius exactoris addixit, sed quos verbum predicacionis in filios Dei adoptavit, sub apostolo nostro Iacobo et eius sequacibus, Donato, Torquato, Secundo, Endaletio, Eufrasio, Tesiphonte, Victorio, Pelagio, et pluribus apostolicorum signorum viris. Testis est in urbe ista sanguis martyrum pro Christi nomine sub Ageiano

* In the margin beside ex Mauris something was written, of which the last letter appears to have been o. The rest has been clipped away in rebinding.

b humanitatis.

c quo non ad fidem ... in filios Dei adoptavit written in different ink over an erasure of 9.5 centimetres.

1 The date 789 does in fact indicate a time when the Muslim domination had been consolidated and greatly strengthened as a result of the efforts of Abd-ar-Rahman I (756-88).

2 The word sequax is unfortunately ambiguous. That the author intended it to mean "disciple" in the case of Donatus seems proved by the phrase Donatus apostoli Iacobi discipulus on p. 88, above. On Donatus see ibid., note 2. Torquatus, Secundus, Indalecius, Euphrasius, and Ctesphon are five of the "Seven Apostolic Men" who, according to tradition, were ordained bishops in Rome by Peter and Paul and sent to preach the gospel in Spain, and who, in the account of the translation of the body of St. James from Jerusalem to Galicia, in the Codex Calixtinus or Book of St. James (which is very nearly contemporary with the Lisbon crusade: see J. Bédier, Les Légendes épiques, 3d ed., Paris, 1926-29, III, 42-114), are neatly included among the disciples (discipuli) of St. James. See López Ferreiro, Historia de la santa A. M. iglesia de Santiago de Compostela, I, 51-53, 187; cf. ibid., pp. 47, 166, 167, 193, 209, 206; L. Duchesne, "Saint Jacques en Galice," in Annales du Midi, XII (1900), 164-66; Flórez, España sagrada,
THE CONQUEST OF LISBON

of the Moors from whence you came, with your baggage, money, and goods, and your women and children, leaving to us our own. However, we already know full well that you would only do such a thing unwillingly and as a result of force. But consider a voluntary departure; for, if you yield willingly to our demands, you have already escaped the bitterest part of them. For how otherwise there could be peace between us I know not, since the lot assigned to each from the beginning lacks its rightful possessor. You Moors and Moabites fraudulently seized the realm of Lusitania from your king and ours. From then until now there has been desolation of cities, villages, and churches without number, and it still goes on. On the one side in this struggle your fealty, on the other human society itself, has been violated.

"You are holding our cities and landed possessions unjustly—and for three hundred and fifty-eight years\(^1\) you have so held them—which before that were held by Christians; Christians whom not the sword of the oppressor compelled to their religion, but whom the preaching of the word caused to be adopted among the sons of God, under our apostle James and his disciples and successors, Donatus, Torquatus, Secundus, Indalecius, Euphrasius, Ctesiphon, Victorius, Pelagius,\(^2\) and many men of apostolic distinction. A testimonial thereof in this very city is the blood of the martyrs shed for the name of Christ under Dacian,\(^3\) the Roman prince, that is to say,

---

III, 380-84; Le Liber ordinum, ed. M. Férotin, pp. 462-63. Victor and Pelagius appear to be otherwise unknown to any tradition connecting them with St. James. The former may perhaps be identified with St. Victor of Braga (12 April); but it is difficult to see why this youthful catechumen, though he is said to have suffered martyrdom in one of the early persecutions, should be included in such a list as the foregoing. See Flórez, op. cit., XV, 268-73; Férotin, op. cit., pp. 460-61 and note 12. Even greater difficulties attend the identification of Pelagius with San Pelayo, who is said to have been a native of the diocese of Tuy and to have suffered martyrdom at the age of thirteen in Cordova in the year 925 at the hands of the Moors: Flórez, op. cit., XXIII, 106-32, 231-36; Férotin, op. cit., pp. 468-69 and note 26.

\(^1\) Either the emperor Diocletian or the praeses Dacian (Dacianus) who figures in hagiographic literature as the instrument of the emperor's cruel persecution in Spain. Compare Vita Sanctae Leocadie, in Flórez, España sagrada, VI, 320-23; Gams, Kirchengeschichte von Spanien, I, 298-302, 346-50, and passim. It may well be

a inditía.
b dum felix est written over an erasure of 1.8 centimetres. Spreading of the ink has rendered the words barely legible.
c summátis.
doubted whether the author had any very clear idea as to whom he was referring.

1 See above, p. 95, note 5.
2 The author is confused as to the true facts. No council is known to have been held at Toledo during the reign of Sisebut (612–21). An important council, over which Isidore, bishop of Seville (600?–636) presided, was held in Seville in 619; but there is no evidence that Viacricus, bishop of Lisbon (633, or earlier, to 638) had anything to do with it. See Sacrorum conciliorum nova et amplissima collectio (ed. J. D. Mansi, Florence and Venice, 1759–98), X, 555–70; C. J. von Hefele, Histoire des conciles d'après les documents originaux (Paris, 1907– ), III, 256–58. Probably the reference is to the
of the martyrs Maxima, Verissimus, and Julia the Virgin.\(^1\) Inquire of the Council of Toledo under the glorious Sisebut, our king and yours. A witness of these things is Isidore, archbishop of Seville, and Viaricus, at the same time bishop of the church of Lisbon, together with more than two hundred of their fellow bishops from all Spain.\(^2\) They are further attested at this day in the cities by the visible signs of the ruin of churches. But since by the spread of your race and uninterrupted occupation you have now held the city for a long time, we are displaying towards you our usual benevolence: only surrender into our hands the stronghold of your castle, and each of you may preserve the liberties which he has hitherto enjoyed; for we do not wish to drive you out from such ancient seats. Let each one live according to his own customs, unless some of you should voluntarily be added to the church of God.

“We observe that your city is very rich and prosperous, but it stands exposed to the greed of many men. For how numerous are the camps and the ships, and what a multitude of men has gathered against you in an oath-bound association. Preserve your fields and crops from devastation. Spare your money. At the least, spare your blood. Accept peace while it is propitious, for there is no doubt that a peace which has never been broken is more propitious than one which has been reëstablished after much bloodshed. For truly health which has never been broken is better than health which has been restored by any force or regimen after grave and threatening diseases. Grave and dangerous is the malady which threatens you, and it will have one of two results: unless you take counsel of safety, either you or it will be destroyed. Take care, for the end rushes on apace. Consider your safety while there is time; for it is an old adage that the gladiator decides in the arena.\(^3\) Now give us your answer, please.”

Footnotes:
\(^1\) Fourth Council of Toledo, held in December, 633, during the reign of Sisenand (631–36). This was presided over by Isidore of Seville, and Viaricus of Lisbon was present. Sixty-two bishops attended, not, as the author says, more than two hundred. See Mansi, Concilia, X, 611–43; Hefele, Histoire des conciles, III, 266–77.
\(^2\) The sentence is quoted almost verbatim from Seneca (Epistulae, xxii. 1), except that the latter uses karena in place of area.
Ad hec quidam ex senioribus circumstantibus responsum huiusmodi dedit:

"[V]ideo* verba vos satis in potestate habere; non oratio vestra vos effert, nec longius quam destinavistis protraxit. Ad unum finem, scilicet capescende nostre civitatis, vestra respexit oratio. Sed de vobis satis admirari nequeo, cum una silva vel provintia multis elephantibus vel leonibus sufficiat, vobis autem nec mare nec terra sufficit. Non enim vos rerum inopia, sed mentis cogit ambitio.

"Quod enim superius de sorte unicuique data proposuistis, vos sortem nostram inquietatis; ambitionem vestram rectitudinis zelum dicentes, pro virtutibus vitia mentimini. Iam enim adeo in immensum vestra cupiditas exiit, ut non solum vobis turpia delectent sed etiam placeant; et iam fere locus remedio fieri desit, quia vestre cupiditatis consummata infelicitas iam pene modum naturalem transiit. Inopes et exules nos fieri iudicatis, ut glorirosi efficiamini. Huiusmodi gloriatio, iners diffinitur ambitio. Cupiditas vero vestra dum modum excessit in se ipsum strangulata semper emarcuit. Quotiens iam nostra memoria cum peregrinis et barbaris nos hinc expugnatum advenistis? Numquid vero vobis vestra placent aut ullam domi contrahitis\(^b\) culpam totiens migrantes? Et certe frequens migratio vestra ex innata animi instabilitate fore convincitur, quia nec animum continere qui nec corporis fugam sistere valet.

"Urbem nostram vel vobis quietam tradi vel in ea manentes vobis subici, nondum nostri consilli fuit. Nondum adeo magnanimitas nostra processit ut certa pro incertis relinquamus. De magnis enim rebus magno animo iudicandum est. Urbs

---

\(^*\) The initial \(V\) is wanting, space having been left for a capital which was never inserted.

\(^b\) contraitis.
To this one of their elders who were standing by replied in this wise:

"I perceive that you have your words very well under control. You are not transported by your speech, nor has it carried you further than you meant to go. It has been directed to a single end, namely, to the taking of our city. But I cannot wonder enough concerning you, for, while a single forest or a district suffices for many elephants and lions, neither the land nor the sea is enough for you. Verily, it is not the want of possessions but ambition of the mind which drives you on.

"As to what you have advanced above concerning the lot assigned to each, truly, you interfere with our destiny. Labeling your ambition zeal for righteousness, you misrepresent vices as virtues. For your greed has already grown to such proportions that base deeds not only please you but even delight you; and now the opportunity of effecting a cure has almost passed, for the consummate infelicity of your cupidity has almost exceeded the bounds of natural measure. You adjudge us to exile and destitution in order that you may become famous. This kind of vainglorious boasting is defined as crass ambition. But your greed, when it has grown beyond measure, has always been smothered in itself and dwindled away. How many times now within our memory have you come [hither] with pilgrims and barbarians to subdue us and drive us hence? But do your possessions give you no pleasure at all, or have you incurred some blame at home, that you are so often on the move? Surely your frequent going and coming is proof of an innate mental instability, for he who is unable to arrest the flight of the body cannot control the mind.

"Not yet have we decided to hand over our city unconditionally to you or to remain in it and become your subjects. Not yet has our magnanimity advanced to the point where we would give up certainties for uncertainties. For in large affairs decisions must be made with largeness of view. This

---

1 Evidently there had been other attacks upon Lisbon in which northern crusaders had engaged besides the one referred to above, pp. 96, 97, 102, 103. Compare below, p. 124, lines 5-6.
vero hec, ut estimo, vestris olim fuit; nunc autem nostra, in futuro forsan vestra. Sed et hoc divini munere erit. Cum voluit Deus, hauimus; cum noluerit, non habebimus. Nullus enim contra voluntatis eius arbitrium inexpugnabilis est murus. Placeat ergo nobis quicquid Deo placuerit, qui totiens sanguinem nostrum de manibus vestris eripuit; ipsumque ideo et merito suaque mirari non desistimus in hoc quod vinci non potest, et quod mala omnia sub se teneat, et quod, ratione qua nichil prestantius, casus et dolores et iniurias nobis subigit.


Ad hec episcopus Portugalensis:

"Si fieri potest ut propitiis auribus vestris loquir, dicam, si minus, iratis.

"Vos, ut moris est vestri, in hoc solum obstationem vestre causam et finem figentes rerum et malorum eventus nostrorum expectatis. Sed fragilis spes et imbecillis que non ex proprie

*a The end of urbis clipped away in rebinding.
*b An erasure of 1.3 centimetres follows constant, and the sentence continues with . . . orum. Evidently a correction was begun and not completed. I follow Stubbs, Itinerarium, p. clxiii, in supplying qu to make quorum.
*c audatiam.
*d protraam.
*e propiciis.
city did indeed, as I believe, once belong to your people; but now it is ours. In the future it will perhaps be yours. But this shall be in accordance with divine favor. While God willed we have held it; when he shall have willed otherwise, we shall no longer hold it. For there is no wall which is impregnable against the arbitrament of his will. Therefore, let us be content with whatsoever shall please God, who has so often saved our blood from your hands. And for this reason, and rightly, we cease not to marvel at him and his powers, namely, because he cannot be conquered, and because he may hold all evil under his feet, and because—than which reason nothing can be more extraordinary—he overcomes misfortunes, sorrows, and injuries for us.

"But get you hence, for entry into the city lies not open to you except through trial of the sword. For your threats and the tumults of barbarians, whose strength we know better than their language, are not highly valued among us. And as for the calamities and the unconquerable ills which you promise, they depend upon the future, if and when they ever come to pass; and it is surely senseless to be too anxious about the future and voluntarily to invite nothing but miseries upon oneself. Therefore the highest office of consolation must be advanced, and all those expedients must be put off which, although they cannot be entirely removed, the timidity of our minds will persuade us to make trial of. For fear which is persistent and poignant and threatens all extremities stirs the sluggish to audacity; and courage is the keener in proportion as it is aroused by inevitable necessity.

"But why should I delay you longer? Do what you can. We will do what the divine will determines."

To this the bishop of Oporto replied:

"If I can speak to your friendly ears, I will do so, but, if not, then I will speak to your angry ones.

"In accordance with your custom, and in this alone you fix the cause and end of your obstinacy, you are awaiting the outcome of events and of our calamities. But frail and foolish is the hope which depends not upon trust in one's own valor but
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virtutis fiducia\* sed ex aliena pendet miseria. Iam enim causa
vestra, quasi timida aut infirmata, testimonium condempna-
tioni dare videtur. De incerto et futuro loquuti; sic aput nos
fore decretem experiemini, ut rei cuius totiens eventus incertus
fuerit, ut aliquando procedat, sepius temptandum. Sed cum
totiens, ut dicitis, erga vos nostra cassata sint initia,\b experien-
dum adhuc aliquid adicimus. Sed quis vos inde finis maneat,
experti cognoscetis.

"Ut estimo, in discessu nostro ab urbe ista nec salutabo vos,
 nec salutabor a vobis."

Igitur legati nostri omni spe civitatis potiunde ab hostibus
frustrati ad nos revertuntur.

Rex cum omnibus suis ad urbem septentrionem in summittate
montis distantis a nobis quasi passibus quingentis secedit.\1

Mane iterum facto,\2 iterum constabularii nostri et optimates
neste partis curiam regis adeunt circiter horam diei nonam,
ut supradicte conventionis obsides tradeat et plura obsidionem
necesse providerent; cum interim gariones nostri fundiferi
hostes irritando ad campum progredi faciunt, ut sic eminus
iactu lapidum irritatis, maioris accessionis provocatio fieret.
Nostris subinde paulatim arma capientibus imfra suburbium\3
se hostes\4 conclundunt, prohibentes nostros ab introitu iactu
lapidum a tectis domorum que ad instal muri circumquaque
septa erant. Nostri vero undique patulos si quid\5 forent que-
rentes aditus, usque ad medium suburbii, quo in deveexo montis
muro cingebatur, eos proturbant. Ibi vero nobis fortiter resti-
tum\6 est. Nostris paulatim subcrecentibus, fit acrior impetus.

Multi interim sagittarum et balistarum\4 ictibus cadere, nam

\* fiducia.
\b An erasure of 3 millimetres in this word between the initial i and it. Apparently
inimicitia was first written and then changed to initia.
\c The words se hostes are inadvertently repeated.
\d qua.
\e restitutum.
\1 Castilho, Lisboa antiga, Pt. 2, II, 111, has conjectured that the royal camp was estab-
lished on the Monte da Graça (also called the Monte de S. Gens). See plan facing p. 130.
\2 1 July. Compare Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 4; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores,
XVII, 27; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.
\3 Evidently the suburb beneath the western wall of the Monte do Castello in the
quarter called the Mouraria (neighborhood of the churches of S. Cristóvão and
upon another's misfortune. For already your case, as it were through timidity and weakness, seems to give testimony for its own defeat. You have spoken of the uncertainties of the future; you will find out that we have decided that an undertaking of which the outcome has so often proved uncertain requires repeated endeavors in order that it may sometime succeed. But since, as you say, our enterprises against you have so often been brought to naught, we add that another attempt must yet be made. And what end awaits you from it, by experience you will learn.

"I suppose that as we depart from this city I will not salute you nor will you salute me."

And so our commissioners returned to us, having been frustrated by the enemy in every hope of obtaining the city.

The king withdrew his forces to a position on a hilltop to the north of the city at a distance of about five hundred paces from us.¹

On the morrow² about the ninth hour of the day our constables and the chief men on our side went again to the king's court to deliver the hostages which were required by the aforesaid convention and to make a number of arrangements which were necessary for the prosecution of the siege. In the meanwhile our slingers by harassing the enemy made them advance into the open, in order that, being thus aroused at a distance by the pelting of stones, they might be provoked to a greater encounter. Then, as more and more of our forces took up arms, the enemy shut themselves within the suburb³ and hindered our entrance by hurling stones from the roofs of the houses, which were enclosed all around with parapets. But our men, seeking everywhere for open approaches, if any should exist, pushed them back as far as the middle of the suburb where it was enclosed on the hillside by a wall. But there we met with strong resistance. As our forces were gradually reinforced from below, the attack became more fierce. Meanwhile, many fell wounded by arrows and shots from ballistae,⁴ for the bomb-

¹ *Balista*, as used in the Middle Ages, was a word of variable and uncertain meaning. Here it evidently refers to the missiles, rather than to the instruments from which they
propius accedendi licentiam lapidum prohibebat emissio. Sicque diei pars magna consumpta est. Tandem vero sub solis occasu per quodam vix etiam inermibus anfractus pervios quandam collis partem maximo belli discrimine nostri preoccupavere. Quo comperto hostes in fugam versi sunt. Nam longe a munimento superioris urbis aberant.

Interim hiis auditis, dominus Saherius de Arcellis a rege et a constabulariis nostris nos retroagendum missus est. Deliberatum enim aput eos fore aiebant, ut in crastino a rege et ab omnibus undique civitas invaderetur, ne inter paucos dampni maioris occasio fieret. [136r] Sed cum usque ad nos pervenisset, iam fere omnes nostri ex navibus confluxerant et iam ade[o] in urbe cum hostibus, ut vix nisi armorum varietate dinoisci possent. Iam fer[e] nox aderat. Comperto vero quod retroagii nisi nostrorum detrimento maxime nequiremus, iub[euntur] omnes a domino Saherio ex castris proruere, episcopo Portugalenso omnes benedicente atque absolvente. Ipse quoque Saherius cum quibus habere potuit ex nostro tentorio vel ex suo proprio, nam sociorum pars maior iam in conflictum ierat, ut ceteris succursum prestaret, armatus urbem ingrediur. Iam vero inter vicorum angustias, prout hostium vel nostrorum maiora suppetebant per loca presidia, varia victoria adinvicem erat.

Cum tandem nostri in cimiterio quodam hostium colligati atque in aciem instructi, superveniente domino Saherio, nam

* anfractus written in margin. There was perhaps something before it which has been clipped away in rebinding.

b The end of adeo has been clipped away in rebinding.

c An erasure of 4 millimetres follows vix.

d The end of fere clipped away in rebinding.

e The end of iubentur clipped away in rebinding.

f iubentur.

g sociorum.

were discharged. The latter in this case were perhaps of the crossbow or stonebow type. Compare Rudolf Schneider, *Die Artillerie des Mittelalters* (Berlin, 1910), pp. 41, 47–50, and passim; G. Köhler, *Die Entwicklung des Kriegswesens und der Kriegführung in der Ritterzeit* (Breslau, 1889–90), III, Pt. 1, 141–55.

1 The author’s use of urbs and suburbium is difficult to understand. Apparently there was a section lying outside the city wall which he recognized as a part of the city and distinguishable from the suburb.
THE CONQUEST OF LISBON

The battering of stones prevented a closer approach. And so a large part of the day was spent. But finally, just at sunset, our forces made their way through certain devious windings which were hardly passable even for unarmed men, and an sharp engagement they seized a certain portion of the hill. When the enemy learned of this, they turned in flight, for they were a good distance from the stronghold of the upper city.

Meanwhile, the news of these events having reached the king’s court, the lord Saher of Archelle was dispatched by the king and our constables to have us retire. For they said they had decided that next day the king and all the forces should make a general attack on the city, in order that there should be no occasion for greater losses to be suffered by a few. But when he reached us, almost all our men had gathered from the ships and were already so mixed up with the enemy in the city\(^1\) that they could hardly be recognized except by the difference in their weapons. It was now almost nightfall. And when the lord Saher realized that we could not retire except to our very great disadvantage, he issued orders for all to hurry forward from the camps, as the bishop of Oporto blessed them and absolved them. And Saher himself with all the men he could get from our tent\(^2\) and from his own (for the greater part of our associates had already entered the conflict) took arms and went into the city, in order that he might be at hand to succor the others. And now in the narrow defiles of the streets fickle victory leaned by turns to one side and to the other, according as our forces or those of the enemy were at hand in greater numbers in one place or another.

Finally, when our forces had been assembled in a certain cemetery\(^3\) of the enemy and had been drawn up in battle array and the lord Saher of Archelle had come and taken com-

\(^{1}\) The tent of Hervey de Glanvill is meant; cf. above, pp. 96-97, note 4.

\(^{2}\) Castilho, *Lisboa antiga*, Pt. 2, II, 127, has identified this cemetery with the *Almocãdr*, or Moorish burying ground, which was destroyed by order of King Manuel I at the end of the fifteenth century, but of which the name long survived thereafter. But in view of the location of the *Almocãdr* so far to the northward, under the western slope of the Monte da Graça, it may be questioned whether this identification is correct. Compare below, p. 128, lines 14-18; *Guia de Portugal*, I, 267.
ceteri constabulariorum omnes aberant, omnem hostium impetum repulere. Inde magna eorum strages conficitur. Tum demum in fugam versi sunt; nam a prima unde superius fuga, cum se acrius imfestari non posse, pre vicorum quantitate, vel nostrorum lassitudine comperuissent, leviter reparati fuerant. Nunc tandem in fugam versi\textsuperscript{a} predarum obiectione suarum, cum multi nostrorum ad hanc iam intenderent, donec im\textsuperscript{b} infra portas argumento subtili invenere viam. Milites tamen cum archiferis et quibusdam iuvenibus expeditis, preda neglecta, usque ad portas impressionem strenuissimam faciunt. Verum enim prede intenti fedam aliter fugam fecissent. Sed nox interim conflictum dirimit, capto suburbio non sine evidenti miraculo, quod quasi tria armatorum milia, XV. milia familia- rum villam tot difficultatibus septam obtinerent. Milites vero et quique electi iuvenes cum domino Saherio tota nocte armati excubias pervigiles in medio montis quo erat eorum cimiterium agunt, ne, relict\textsuperscript{c}o quod ceperamus, in crastino difficilior aditus pateret. Quod et ita factum est tota nocte, horribili ex omni parte conflagrante incendio.

Mane autem facto\textsuperscript{1} circiter horam primam hostes exeunt, ut nos ab urbe repellerent; supervenientibus ex omni parte regis et nostrorum familiarium presidiis, iterum includuntur. Sicque denique urbe obсидione inclusa, im\textsuperscript{d} infra suburbium sub muri- eorum hospitali sumus, non sine magna nostri eventus omnium ceterorum invidia. Hiis ex parte Flandrensium compertis, muro urbis se includunt Mauri, relict\textsuperscript{e}o eis inexpugnato\textsuperscript{b} suburbio. Nostri interim, Normanni scilicet et Angli, excubias per noctes singulas per quingentenos disposuere, ut, IX. revolutis noctibus, iterum prime vigilie initia fient.\textsuperscript{2} VIII. vero batellos cum armatis contra urbem in flumine excubandos

\textsuperscript{a} An erasure of 2.2 centimetres follows versi.
\textsuperscript{b} inexpugnati.

\textsuperscript{1} 2 July.
\textsuperscript{2} This would indicate a force of 4,500 Anglo-Normans available for guard duty. The figure may perhaps be regarded as substantially correct.
mand (for none of the other constables were present), they repulsed every attack of the enemy and inflicted heavy losses upon them. Then the enemy finally turned in flight; for they had easily recovered from their first discomfiture above mentioned when they had discovered that we could not attack them more fiercely on account of the number of the streets or because of our weariness. And now at last having turned to flight, by the subtle argument of throwing away their goods, for many of our men were already turning to plunder, they managed to find a way back within the gates. Nevertheless, the knights and archers and certain light-armed youths, disregarding booty, pressed a strenuous onslaught right up to the gates. Indeed, except for this, those who were intent upon booty might have caused a disgraceful rout. But now night interrupted the conflict, when the suburb had been captured not without an evident miracle in that some three thousand armed men took a town of fifteen thousand families which was hedged about with so many obstacles. The knights and all the chosen youths with the lord Saher spent the whole night under arms on guard in the middle of the hill where the enemy cemetery was located, lest, if they should leave what they had taken, it would prove more difficult of access on the morrow. And so the whole night passed, while a dreadful fire was burning on all sides.

Next morning\(^1\) about the first hour the enemy came out to drive us back from the city, but, the king's guard and our close associates coming up on all sides, they were once more shut in. And so, when the city had finally been hemmed in by the siege, we were lodged within the suburb beneath the wall, not without a feeling of considerable envy of our good fortune on the part of all the other besieging forces. When these events became known among the Flemings, the Moors abandoned the suburb on that side of the city to them without a contest and shut themselves up within the wall. Meanwhile our forces, that is, the Normans and the English, arranged for nightly guards of five hundred men in such a way that when nine nights had passed, the round would begin again with the first watch.\(^2\) And they stationed eight small boats,

Interea Mauri per dies sepian irruptiones faciunt in nostros, nam contra nos tres portas habentes, duas in latere et unam contra mare, facilis exundii et redeundi licentiam habebant. Nobis vero congressus difficilis habebatur. Sed regionem, placebant, sed eorum semper maiori.

Nobis vero congressus difficile habebatur. Sed non sine utrorumque detrimento fiebat, sed eorum semper maiori.

Dum interim per dies et noctes excubaremus sub eorum mures, derisiones atque improperia multa nobis ingerebant, mille nos mortibus dignos iudicandes, quippe qui nostra fastidientes quasi vilia, aliera quasi pretiosa concupisceremus; nec aliam se nobis injuriam fecisse commemorant, nisi quod nos, si quid optimi penes eos habaretur, possessione nostra dignum existimaretur, ipsosque indignis habendi iudicaremus; problemque domi nascituram multiplicem nobis absentibus impro-perabant, nec ob id de obitu nostro cure uxoribus nostris fore, [sat]is* cum sibi domi spuria suppeteret progenies. Sed et si qui ex nobis superforent, miserios et inopes repatriandum promittebant, et subsannantes dentibus in nobis fremebant.

Convitiis insuper et verbis contumeliosis et probris beatam Mariam matrem Domini incessanter afficiebant, indignantes nobis, quod filium pauperis mulieris tanto quasi Deum vene-raremur obsequio, ipsum dicentes Deum Deique filium, cum unum Deum solum a quo omnia que initium habent cepta sunt constet esse, nec aliquem coevum et divinitatis sue habere.

---

*a* An erasure of 5 millimetres follows *montis.*

*b* *ordei.*

*c* An erasure of 4 millimetres follows *sed,* the reading of which is very doubtfull.

*d* An erasure of 1.4 centimetres follows *detrimento.*

*e* The reading *satis* is doubtful, the beginning of the word having been clipped away in rebinding.

---

1 The seam, or pack-animal load, was a measure of possibly as much as six or eight bushels.

Plan of the Situation and Fortifications of Lisbon as they may have been in 1147. Based mainly on Augusto Vieira da Silva, *A cerca moura de lisboa*, Etampa I. The shore line may have altered considerably with the tide, which at Lisbon normally varies between nine and twelve and a half feet. The Arm of the Tagus has now been replaced by the central portion of the city, which was built after the earthquake of 1755.
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loaded with armed men, on guard against the city in the river. Then almost a hundred thousand seams\(^1\) of wheat and barley and millet and pulse, provisions, that is to say, for the greatest part of the city, were discovered in cellars in the side of the hill. For within the walls, the scarcity of space and the vast quantity of household effects and the hardness of the solid rock and, in the valley, the abundance of water prevented the construction of cellars.

Meanwhile from day to day the Moors made repeated sorties against us, for, having three gates which opened upon us, two on the side and one towards the sea,\(^2\) they enjoyed convenient egress and ingress, while it was difficult for us to attack. These actions took place not without casualties on both sides, but theirs were always the greater.

In the meantime, as we kept watch night and day beneath their walls, they derided us and hurled many a taunt at us, adjudging us worthy of a thousand deaths, as men who, forsooth, despising our own possessions as something vile, coveted those of others as something precious; nor did they recall that they had done us any injury, unless in this, that if they had anything in their possession of the very highest quality, we thought it ought to be ours and judged them unworthy to have it. And they taunted us with numerous children about to be born at home in our absence, and said that on this account our wives would not be concerned about our deaths, since they would have bastard progeny enough. And they undertook that if any of us should survive, we would return to our home lands in poverty and misery; and they mocked us and gnashed their teeth against us.

Besides, they constantly attacked the blessed Mary, Mother of the Lord, with coarse insults and abusive and shameful words, declaring it unworthy of us that we should venerate the son of a poor woman with as much reverence as if he were God himself, and should call him both God and the Son of God, although it was well known that there is one God only, by whom all things which have a beginning were begun, and that he has no one coeval with himself and a sharer in his
DE EXPUGNATIONE LYXBOENSI

participem; ipsumque summe bonum atque perfectum, omniaque posse, et cum omnia possit, indignissimum fore et inexpianum tantam tamque excellentis divinitatis potentiam humanis compagibus membrorumque liamentiis coartari, nec id aliud [quam] a furiosum et saluti nostre contrarium credere fore. Vel hunc Mariæ filium prophetam inter optimos cur non assereremus.° Cum iniuriosum valde sit homini nomen Dei usurpare?d Hec et hiis similia adversum nos calumpniantes obtrectabant. Crucis insuper signum cum magna irrisione ostentare nostris; atque in illam expuentes, feditatis sue posteriora extergebant ex illa, sicque demum micturientes in illam quasi obprobrium quoddam, crucem nostram nobis proiciunt. Videbatur vero iterum Christus actualiter ab incredulis blasphemari, falsa genuflexione salutari, malignantium sputis rigari, vinculis affligi, fustibus illidi, crucis affigi opprobrio.° Cuius ut decebat nos compassionem in crucis adversarios aciores fieremus. Quod et factum est, divina eos obcecaente iusticia. Quotiens a nobis requisi sunt, quotiens facultates et possessiones eorum, solum ab urbe libere quo vellent secederent, eis concessse sunt, aut integro iure sibi omnia remanere, solum urbisque munimentum nobis tradissent, numquam tamen eorum obstinationem nisi ultimo et pessimo dedecore finiri Deus noster permisit. Previderat enim Deus maxime hiis temporibus ultionem in crucis adversarios sub qualibuscumque homuncis fieri. [137r] Dederat enim eos Deus, ut postmodum vidimus, in passiones ignominiae.¹

Interea ecclesie duè a Francisc construuntur in sepulturam defunctorum, una ab orientali parte a Colonensibus et Flandrinsibus, ubi duo muti a nativitate, Deo adiuvante, officia linguæ susceperunt, altera ab Anglis et a Normannis ab occi-

an erasure of 7 millimetres follows aliud. Apparently a correction was begun and not completed. I follow Stubbs, Itinerarium, p. clxvi, in supplying quam, which the sense seems to require.

b An erasure of 7 millimetres follows vel.

c The end of assereremus erased. Apparently a correction was begun and not completed.

d An erasure of 5 millimetres follows usurpare.

e mingturientes.

f opprobrio.
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divinity; and that he is in the highest degree good and perfect and omnipotent, and that, because he is omnipotent, it would be most unworthy and inexpiable for so great a power, and a power of such supreme divinity, to be confined by human joints and features, and that to believe this would be nothing less than madness and contrary to our salvation. And why did we not say that this Son of Mary was a prophet among the greatest of the prophets, since it is very wrong for man to usurp the name of God? With these and similar calumnies they attacked us. Besides, they displayed the symbol of the cross before us with mockery; and spitting upon it and wiping the filth from their posteriors with it, and finally making water upon it as something vile, they threw it at us. And Christ was again seen actually blasphemed by unbelievers, saluted with mock genuflections, spat upon by wicked men, afflicted with chains, beaten with staves, and fastened to the opprobrious cross. Out of pity for which it was fitting that we should become more bitter against the enemies of the cross.

And this is just what happened, while divine justice made them blind. How often did we concede them their wealth and possessions, if only they would withdraw from the city and go whithersoever they wished, how often did we propose that everything should remain to them with their rights intact, if only they would surrender to us the fortifications of the city; yet our God never permitted their obstinacy to be ended except in the last and worst disgrace. For God had foreordained especially in these times that vengeance should be wrought upon the enemies of the cross through the most insignificant men. For God had given them up, as we afterwards perceived, unto vile affections.¹

Meanwhile two churches were built by the Franks for the burial of the dead—one on the eastern side of the city by the men of Cologne and the Flemings, on a spot where two persons who were mute from their birth had with God’s aid received their speech, the other on the western side by the English and

¹ Compare Romans 1: 26.
dentali parte. Cum autem ibi per dies XV. sedissemus, machinas utrimque facere incepimus, Colonenses et Flandrenses suem, arietem, turrim ambulatoriam, nostri turrim ambulatoriam nonaginta V. pedum altitudinis.

Omnibus ad hec agenda intentis, prodigiale quid a parte Flandrensius evenire contigit. Die namque dominica post expletionem misse sacerdos panem beneditum [vidit] sanguineum, quem dum cultello purgare iuberet, inventus est adeo cum sanguine permixtus, ut caro que numquam sine sanguine potest incidit. Divisus vero postea per frusta in huiusmodi specie etiam post urbis captionem multis diebus visus est. Quidam vero hoc interpretantes aiebant gentem illum feroxem et indomitam, alieni cupidam, licet tunc sub specie peregrinationis et religionis, sitim sanguinis humani nondum deposuisse.

Colonenses interim et Flandrenses V. fundis Balearicis muros et hostium turres temptant concutere. Pactis tandem eorum machinis et ad murum deductis, vix arietem reduxere, ceteris igne et satis contumeliose consumptis. Turris vero nostra cum iam ad murum fere duceretur, salubri inhesit

\*noster\ is preceded and followed by small erasures. It may have been written first without abbreviation, and then erased and replaced by the abbreviated form.

\b\ A small erasure follows beneditum, and a verb is wanting to complete the sense. I have supplied \textit{vidit}.

\c\ humani.

\1\ The church of St. Vincent de Fora on the east and that of Santa Maria dos Mártires on the west. The latter (not to be confused with the present church of the Martyrs) was destroyed by the earthquake in 1755. Its site, on the Monte de S. Francisco, is now occupied by the Biblioteca Nacional. See the much fuller account in the Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii, in \textit{PMH, Scriptores}, I, 91; Castilho, \textit{Lisboa antiga}, Pt. 2, II, 138-39.

\2\ The language evidently indicates that the author was writing from Lisbon sometime after the conclusion of the siege. See above, pp. 38-39. The portent is more fully reported in Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii, in \textit{PMH, Scriptores}, I, 92: “Factum est autem ut populus ad certamen iturus, peractis missarum sollemnibus, eulogis uno pane benedicto cuperet premuniri. Ita enim cotidie consueverat. Cumque sacerdos vellet facere particulis quas singulis porrirgeret, et iam unì ex panibus secandis culte inmiteretur, res miranda, ecce secati panis medietas cruentata reperitur sanguis desudans. Tunc sacerdos omnesque qui aderunt in stuporem conversi sunt subitum . . .” The curious practice of a daily distribution of the eulogia, or pain béné, as a protection in battle seems not to have been noticed by liturgical students. On the eulogia see Catholic Encyclopedia (New York, 1907-14), s.v. \textit{Bread} and \textit{Antidoron}; \textit{Liturgia}, ed. R. Aigrain (Paris, 1931), pp. 715, 771.
the Normans. And when we had been at the siege for a fortnight, we began on both sides to build engines—the men of Cologne and the Flemings constructing a sow, a ram, and a movable tower, and we a movable tower ninety-five feet in height.

While all were intent upon these enterprises, a portent appeared among the Flemings. For on a Sunday after the completion of mass, a priest observed that the blessed bread was bloody, and, when he directed that it be purged with a knife, it was found to be as permeated with blood as flesh which can never be cut without bleeding. And afterwards it was divided into fragments of the same bloody appearance, and it has now been seen for many days after the capture of the city. And some, interpreting it, said that this fierce and indomitable people, covetous of the goods of others, although at the moment under the guise of a pilgrimage and religion, had not yet put away the thirst for human blood.

Meanwhile, the men of Cologne and the Flemings undertook to shatter the walls and the towers of the enemy with five Balearic mangonels. But when at length their engines had been constructed and moved up to the wall, they barely succeeded in withdrawing the ram while the rest were burned, disgracefully enough. And our tower, when it had already been brought up almost to the wall, stuck fast in the sand and

Footnotes:
2 Fundae Baleares, as well as instrumenta Balearia and even arcus Baleares, are repeatedly mentioned by the chroniclers of the First Crusade. See HC, Historiens occidentaux, III, 221, 674, 691, 692; IV, 157, 253, 263, 324, 367, 471, 475, 602, 678. Is it possible that the name came into use through a misunderstanding of a passage in Isidore of Seville, Etymologiae, xiv, 6, 44: “In his primum insulis inventa est funda qua lapides emittuntur, unde et Baleares dictae; βάλλειν enim Graece mittere dicitur; unde et ballista, quasi massa, et fundibulum. Vergilius [Georg. i. 309]: Balearis verbera fundae”? In the Teutonic Source these engines are called mangonels: Brief des Priesters Winand, pp. 4, 5; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 27, 28; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326, 327. It is evident that they were projectile-throwing machines of the high-trajectory type. But as to the mode of propulsion, the authorities are disagreed. If the views of Rudolf Schneider, Die Artillerie des Mittelalters, passim, are sound, the propulsion can only have been supplied by a counterweight; Köhler, on the other hand, Entwickelung des Kriegswesens, III, Pt. 1, pp. 164–65, Pt. 3, pp. 536–37, is positive that the propulsion was in some way supplied by man power. Both authorities are agreed that no use was made of the torsion principle.
immobilis, a tribus eorum fundis irremissibiliter\(^a\) per dies noctesque concussa\(^b\) ubi non sine magno nostrorum labore et detrimento in defendendo frustra, post dies quatuor comburitur\(^c\) ab hostibus.\(^1\) Inde nostri non parum consternati, animis erectis vix infra dies VIII. esse valebant. Sed cum tandem per sex hebdomadas\(^d\) urbem obsedissemus, comperto quod eos fames aliquantulum perurgeret, nostris\(^e\) vero panis et vini frugumque inestimabilis copia suppeteret, paululum resumpsere animos. Naves terre deducunt, mala submittunt, funalia domibus includunt, hyemandi signum. Colonenses vero subterraneas fossas quinquies aggressi ut murum precipitarent, totiens cassati sunt. Inde iterum nostri causa consternationis habita, inter se multum murmurantes, quasi aliquid melius alibi egissent, conqueruntur, cum post dies aliquot non parum solaminis divina consulente misericordia, nobis evenire contigit.

Nam decem Mauri vespere sub muro ascendentes in scapham contra castrum de Palmella navigantes exequunt. Qui a nostris sub tanta festinatione prosequuti, ut scapham et omnia que in ea portaverant desperati relinquuerent. Imbra quam carte plurimis transmisse lingua Caldea inscripte reperte sunt. Exemplum unius, sicut per interpretem didici, huiusmodi erat: [137v]

"Abbati Machumato, Eburensium regi,\(^2\) calamitas Lyxbonensis, regnum cum salute obtinere. Quante vero et miserabiles atque inopinate nobis supervenerint clades, civitatis nostre desolata vastitas non sine maximo nobilium sanguine, luctus nobis, heu, heu, monimenta perpetui, protestantur. Iam iam fere secunda lunatio preterit quod Francorum classis, nos-\(^a\) irremissibiler.

\(^b\) The end of concussa is erased. Apparently a correction was begun and not completed.
\(^c\) An erasure of 5 millimetres in the middle of this word, separating com from burirut.
\(^d\) epdomadas.
\(^e\) A blank space of 6 millimetres between perurgeret and vero, and something seems wanting to complete the sense. I have supplied nostris. Stubbs supplied nobis.

\(^1\) The burning of the tower may be dated about 6 or 7 August, since the author seems to indicate that at the end of a week afterwards the siege had been in progress for about six weeks, and since the general attack, in the course of which the Anglo-
was bombarded night and day without respite by three of their mangonels until, after four days, when we had expended great labor and suffered heavy losses in its vain defense, it was burned by the enemy.\textsuperscript{1} Wherefore our forces were not a little demoralized, and they were hardly able to regain their courage for a week. But finally, when we had been besieging the city for six weeks and it had been learned that the enemy were rather hard pressed by hunger, while an untold abundance of bread and wine and fruits was at hand for our forces, they gradually plucked up their spirits. They drew the ships up on dry land, lowered the masts, and put the cordage under the hatches, as a sign that they were spending the winter. But the men of Cologne five times began to dig mines for the purpose of overturning the wall and were as many times overwhelmed. Hence our forces again had cause for deep discouragement, and, murmuring much among themselves, they were making such complaints as that they might have been better employed elsewhere, when, after some days, there came to us by the determination of divine mercy no small consolation.

For in the evening ten Moors entered a skiff beneath the wall and rowed away in the direction of the castle of Palmela. But our men pursued them so closely that they abandoned the skiff in desperation, and everything they were carrying in it. Letters were found in it, directed to several parties and written in the Arabic language. An example of one, as I got it from an interpreter, is as follows:

“To Abu Muhammad, king of Évora,\textsuperscript{2} the unfortunate people of Lisbon: may he maintain his kingdom in safety. What great and terrible and unexpected disasters have come upon us, the desolate ruin of our city and the great effusion of noble blood—memorials, alas, of our everlasting grief—proclaim. Already the second moon has almost passed since the fleet of the Franks, which has been borne hither to our

\textsuperscript{1} Norman siege-tower became fast in the sand, is dated 3 August by Winand and Arnulf: \textit{Brief des Priesters Winand}, p. 4; \textit{HF}, XIV, 326. Duodechin, however, dates the attack 15 August, \textit{MGH}, \textit{Scriptores}, XVII, 27.

\textsuperscript{2} Abu Muhammad Sidrey ibn Wazir, lord of Évora and Beja. Compare Francisco
tris advecta finibus celi terreque marisque subsidiiis, imfra muri artissimi ambitum inclusos coercuit.* Sed quid in hac miseriae- rum summa sperandum, perambiguum est, nisi solum auri beneficio expectare suppeditias. Nobis una cooperantibus et urbem et patriam a barbaris liberatum per vos non ambigimus. Neque enim adeo sunt multi vel pugnaces; turris vero illorum et machine vi et armis a nobis combuste hec testantur. Sin aliter, caveat prudentia vestra; vos enim idem rerum et ma- lorum exitus manet.”

Relique vero hec eadem a parentibus et cognatis et amicis peccuniarumque debitoribus exorabant. Super his etiam Hallo, id est Deum, pro eis exorare, ut saltem supremos<sup>b</sup> corporum ipsorum spiritus ab illo eternitatis receptaculo quo dilectus suus Machumatus vivit et gloriatur fraudari non sinat. Significaverunt etiam de panis et ciborum quantitate. His auditis nostri vehementer animis erecti per dies amplius hostes imfes- tare. Post paululum temporis cadaver cuiusdam submersi sub navibus nostris inventum est, brachio cuius carta huiusmodi alligata erat:

“‘Rex Eburensium Lyxbonensibus corporum libertatem. Iampridem datis induciis cum rege Portugalensium,<sup>1</sup> fidem refellere nequeo, ut eum scilicet vel suos bello perturbare velim. De cetero precavete. Salutem vestram peccuniis vestris reditum, ne sit perniciei<sup>e</sup> causa que salutis esse debuerat. Valete. Huic nostro nuntio aliquid impendite boni.'”<sup>2</sup>

Sic tandem omni suppeditarum spe cassata<sup>d</sup> nostri vigilantius excubabant. A castro Suchtrio cum preda magna pars exercitus nostri<sup>e</sup> reliet, nam loci natura a congressu castri vel obsidione [e]os<sup>f</sup> prohibebat.

<sup>a</sup> cohercuit.  <sup>b</sup> suppremos.  <sup>c</sup> perniciem.  <sup>d</sup> cassatos.  <sup>e</sup> nostre.

<sup>f</sup> A small erasure precedes os. Apparently a correction was begun and not com- pleted.

Codera, *Decadencia y desaparición de los Almoravides en España* (Saragosa, 1899), pp. 39–52, and references there cited.

<sup>1</sup>This truce seems not to be otherwise known. It was the policy of Affonso Henrique to enter into such agreements with ambitious Muslim chieftains, a policy which the
borders with the aid of heaven and earth and sea, has kept us shut within the circuit of this close-drawn wall. And what is to be hoped for amid this sum of woes is more than doubtful, except only to look for succor by means of ransom. But with our coöperation we doubt not that you will liberate the city and the country from the barbarians. For they are not so very numerous or warlike, as their tower and engines which we have burned with force and arms bear witness. Otherwise, let your prudence beware, for the same outcome of events and evils awaits you."

And the other letters besought the same things from parents and other relatives and friends, and from debtors; and besides, they besought them to pray for them to Allah, that is, God, that, at the least, he would not permit them at the moment of death to be cheated of that eternal retreat in which his beloved Muhammad dwells in glory. They also gave information concerning their supply of bread and other foodstuffs. When our men learned of these things, their spirits were greatly encouraged to continue the attack against the enemy for some days longer. After a short time the corpse of a man who had been drowned was found beneath our ships; and on an arm a letter was tied, of which the tenor was as follows:

"The king of Évora to the men of Lisbon, liberty of action. Having long since entered into a truce with the king of the Portuguese,¹ I cannot break faith and wage war upon him and his people. For the rest, take heed in good time. Buy safety with your money, lest that prove a cause of your hurt which ought to be a cause of your well-being. Farewell. Give something worth while to this our messenger."²

So, finally, as the Moors’ last hope of relief was destroyed, our men kept watch the more vigilantly. A part of our army returned from the castle of Cintra with a great quantity of booty; for the nature of the site prevented them from attempting an assault upon the castle or a siege.

---

1 Herculano, *Historia de Portugal*, II, 200-12, III, 53-54.
2 Herculano, *op. cit.*, III, 30, has questioned the authenticity of this letter.
Dum hec aput nos geruntur rex omnem exercitum suorum dimisit, exceptis paucissimis militibus et domus sue procuratoribus, venditis victualibus suis vel transmissis aput Sanc-tam Hyreneam. Solus episcopus Portugalensis semper usque ad urbis deditionem nobiscum remansit. Interim fame perur gente Mauros, de pauperibus prout quisque furtim poterat, nostris mancipatum se tradebat. Sicque brevi actum est ut eorum acta et consilia parum admodum nostris celari poterant.

Factum est item in una dierum ut quidam ex nostris Tagum aput Elmadam pisca[tum] transirent. Erat enim littoris il-lius harena piscatoribus habiliore; et venientes provintie illius Mauri plures occiderunt et quinque ex his Brittones captivos inde transtulere. Indignati igitur inde nostri, consilio ab omnibus utrimque diffinito decretum est ut ducenti milites cum peditibus quingentis Elmadam depredatum mitterentur. Facta igitur hora transeundi, Colonenses et Flandrenses suos a transitu, invidia vel timore, vel qua causa nescio, a nostrorum societate subtraxere. Normanni igitur et Angli et qui nobiscum ex nostra parte manebant, omnium societate destituti, Saherium de Arcellis [et] militem tricesimum cum centum aut eo amplius peditibus expeditis ad prefinitum transmisere negotium. Qui vero, cesis bello amplius quingentis Mauris, cum captivis fere ducentis et capitis amplius octoginta, non sine magna nostrorum leticia et hostium merore, eadem qua exierant die victores reversi sunt, uno solum ex nostris interempto. Capita vero hastilibus infixa quando a muris con-spezissent Mauri, rogatum nostros obviam supplices, ut capita cesa reciperent, exeunt. Que accepta cum planctu et ululatu

\[\text{nostris} \text{ written in margin.}\]
\[\text{An erasure of 4 millimetres preceding and of one centimetre following pisca. I have followed Stubbs, } \text{Itinerarium, } \text{p. clxix, in reading piscatum.}\]
\[\text{et is wanting. I have supplied it as being necessary to complete the sense.}\]
\[\text{An erasure of 6 millimetres follows Que.}\]

1 Elsewhere the author calls it castrum Scalaphium, after the ancient Scalabis. Portuguese historians have found difficulty in explaining this passage. See Herculano, \text{Historia de Portugal, } \text{III, 31-32}; Castilho, \text{Lisboa antiga, } \text{Pt. 2, II, 154.}\]
2 Compare \text{Brief des Priesters Winand, } \text{p. 5; Duodechin, in } \text{MGH, Scriptores, } \text{XVII, 27; Arnulf, in } \text{HF, XIV, 326.}\]
3 This is still true today.
While we were carrying on the foregoing operations, the king dismissed all of his own forces except a small number of knights and the officers of his household, having either sold his provisions or sent them to Santarém. Only the bishop of Oporto remained constantly with us until the surrender of the city. Meanwhile, the Moors being hard pressed by hunger, whenever any of their poor were able to do so secretly, they gave themselves up to our men. And so it soon came about that the acts and plans of the enemy could be but little concealed from us.

Also it happened one day that some of our men crossed the Tagus to Almada to fish. For the sand on that shore was the more suitable for fishing. And the Moors of that province came and killed a number of them, and carried five Bretons away captive. At this our men were very angry, and it was determined by the common counsel of all the forces in the two camps that two hundred knights and five hundred foot soldiers should be sent to plunder Almada. But when the hour arrived for going over, because of jealousy or fear, or else from what cause I know not, the men of Cologne and the Flemings withdrew their contingents at the crossing from association with ours. Accordingly, the Normans and the English and those who were encamped with us on our side, although deprived of the support of all the others, sent Saher of Archelle with a thirtieth of the knights and more than a hundred foot soldiers to carry out the enterprise which had been determined upon. And having vanquished a force of more than five hundred Moors in battle, to our great joy and to the sorrow of the enemy they returned victorious, on the same day on which they had set out, with almost two hundred captives and more than eighty heads, although only one of our men had been killed. And when the heads had been impaled upon spears and the Moors beheld them from the walls, they came out to meet our men as suppliants and begged that they might have the heads which had been cut off. And having received them,

4 The reference is to the two main bodies of the besiegers, the Anglo-Normans on the one side and the men of Cologne and the Flemings on the other.
multo imfra muros sustulere. Audita est autem per totam noctem vox doloris et eiulatio planctus miserabilis fere per omnes civitatis partes. Huius vero ausu facinoris preclari hostibus terrori maximo postmodum semper fuimus, Colonensis et Flandrensis et Portugalensis honoris. Libera transmendae in Elmadam amodo via facta est.

Tum vero nostri potius intendentes operi, inter turrem et portam ferream subterraneam, ut murum precipitarent, fodere aggregiuntur. Qua comperta quoniam satis hostibus pervia, post urbem obsessam maxime nostrorum detrimento fuit, multis diebus in defensando frustra consumptis. Insuper due funde Balearice a nostris eriguntur, una supra ripam fluminis a nautis trahebatur, altera contra portam ferream a militibus et eorum convictualibus. Hii omnes per centenos divisi, audito signo exeuntibus primis centenis, aliis centeni subintrassent, ut inter decem horarum spatio V. milia lapidum iactarentur. Huiusmodi vero actio maxime fatigabat hostes. Iterum Normanni et Anglici et qui cum eis erant turrim ambulatoriam LXXXIII. pedum altitudinis [138v] incipient. Colonenses iterum et Flandrenses ut murum precipitarent fossam contra murum editoris castri effodere incipient, opus admirabile dictu habens aditus quinque, continuatum vero imfra XL. cubitorum latitudinis a fronte, quod imfra mensem consummavere.

Interea fames et cadaverum fetor hostes, nam sepeliendi locus imfra urbem deurat, miserabiliter angebant. Sed et sub muris purgamenta que a navibus proicibantur undis allata

---

1 Evidently the section of the western wall extending from the Porta do Ferro to the flanking tower which was located at the southwestern corner. See plan facing p. 130. Compare Castilho, Lisboa antiga, Pt. 2, II, 159.

2 See above, p. 135, note 3.

3 The Teutonic Source dates this enterprise between 8 September and the middle of October. It attributes its direction to a certain Pisan, vir magnae industriae, states that the expense of the construction was borne by the king, and seems to claim some part in the enterprise for the Flemish and German forces. Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 5; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 28; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.

4 A. Vieira da Silva, A Cercia moura de Lisboa, p. 43, and map II at end, locates this mine along the section of the eastern wall which extended upward from the present Limoéiro prison almost to the top of the Calçada de S. João da Praça—a situation which readily explains the inability of the besiegers to enter through the breach after
they bore them back within the walls with grief and wailing. And all that night the voice of sorrow and the miserable cry of lamentation were heard in almost every part of the city. And because of the daring of this brilliant exploit, we were ever afterwards the greatest terror to the enemy and held in honor by the men of Cologne, the Flemings, and the Portuguese. And the crossing to Almada was henceforth unobstructed.

Then our men, attending more strictly to the siege, began to dig a subterranean mine between the tower and the Porta do Ferro\(^1\) in order that they might bring down the wall. When this had been discovered, for it was quite accessible to the enemy, it proved greatly to our detriment after the investment of the city, for many days were consumed in its vain defense. Besides, two Balearic mangonels\(^2\) were set up by our forces—one on the river bank which was operated by seamen, the other in front of the Porta do Ferro, which was operated by the knights and their table companions. All these men having been divided into groups of one hundred, on a given signal the first hundred retired and another took their places, so that within the space of ten hours five thousand stones were hurled. And the enemy were greatly harassed by this action. Again the Normans and the English and those who were with them began the erection of a movable tower eighty-three feet in height.\(^3\) Once more, with a view to bringing down the wall, the men of Cologne and the Flemings began to dig a mine beneath the wall of the stronghold higher up\(^4\)—a mine which, marvelous to relate, had five entrances and extended inside to a depth of forty cubits from the front;\(^5\) and they completed it within a month.

Meanwhile, hunger and the stench of corpses greatly tormented the enemy, for there was no burial space within the city. And for food they collected the refuse which was thrown out from our ships and borne up by the waves beneath their

---

1. \(^1\) See plan facing p. 130. Cf. Guia de Portugal, I, map opposite p. 270, also pp. 279-80.

2. \(^2\) The earliest recorded example of mediaeval gallery mining, according to Köhler, Entwickelung des Kriegswesens, III, Pt. 1, 127.
comestum colligebant; unde ridiculum quoddam evenire con-
tigit, ut quidam scilicet Flandrenses inter domorum ruinas
excubantes ficus comederent, et satiati partem in loco relin-
quenter. Quo a quattuor Mauris comperto quasi aves ad escam
clanculo pedetentim advenere. Quo comperto Flandrenses
huiusmodi reliquias sepius per loca ut eos inescarent, di-
spergebant. Tandem vero in locis consuetis retibus extensis
tres ex Mauris retibus involutos cepere, quod risui deinceps
maximo nobis fuit.

Subfossato igitur muro impositaque ignis materia, nocte
eadam sub gallicantu murus quasi cubitorum triginta solo-
tenus corruit. At vero Mauri qui murorum invigilabant excubiis
anxie clamare audit sunt, ut iam finem laboribus diuturnis
imponerent ipsumque diem supremum et cum morte diversum
fore, et hoc maximum fieri mortis solatium, si ipsam non
timentes semetipsos pro nostris mutuassent. Omnes igitur Mauri circumquaque ad ruinam
muri defendendam confluxere, repagula postium opposentes.
Exeuntes igitur Colonenses et Flandrenses ut experirentur
introitum repulsi sunt. Nam licet murus corruiisset, loci natura
introitum solo prohibebat aggere. Sed cum eos cominus
obtinere nequirent, impetu sagittarum prenimo eos eminus
affligebant, ut velut ericii pilis hirsuti immobili\textsuperscript{a}e defendentes
ac si nil lesi paterentur, viderentur. Defensi sunt itaque ab eis

\textsuperscript{a}defendendum. \textsuperscript{b}proibebat. \textsuperscript{c}optinere. \textsuperscript{d}ericii. \textsuperscript{e}hirsuti.

\textsuperscript{1}16 October. Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 6; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 28; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.

\textsuperscript{2}Two hundred feet, according to the Teutonic Source. Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 6; Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 28; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.

\textsuperscript{3}"Ad ruinam autem cum venissent mons aditu difficilis supereminebat." Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 6; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.
walls. A ridiculous incident occurred as a result of their hunger when some of the Flemings, while keeping guard among the ruins of houses, were eating figs and, having had enough, left some lying about unconsumed. When this was discovered by four of the Moors, they came up stealthily and cautiously like birds approaching food. And when the Flemings observed this, they frequently scattered refuse of this sort about in order that they might lure them on with bait. And, finally, having set snares in the accustomed places, they caught three of the Moors in them and thereby caused enormous merriment among us.

When the wall had been undermined and inflammable material had been placed within the mine and lighted, the same night\(^1\) at cockcrow about thirty cubits\(^2\) of the wall crumbled to the ground. Then the Moors who were guarding the wall were heard to cry out in their anguish that they might now make an end of their long labors and that this very day would be their last and that it would have to be divided with death, and that this would be their greatest consolation for death, if, without fearing it, they might exchange their lives for ours. For it was necessary to go yonder whence there was no need of returning; and, if a life were well ended, it would nowhere be said to have been cut short. For what mattered was not how long but how well a life had been lived; and a life would have lasted as long as it should, even though not as long as it naturally could, provided it closed in a fitting end. And so the Moors gathered from all sides for the defense of the breach in the wall, placing against it a barrier of beams. Accordingly, when the men of Cologne and the Flemings went out to attempt an entrance, they were repulsed. For, although the wall had collapsed, the nature of the situation [on the steep hillside] prevented an entry merely by the heap [of ruins].\(^3\) But when they failed to overcome the defenders in a hand-to-hand encounter, they attacked them furiously from a distance with arrows, so that they looked like hedgehogs as, bristling with bolts, they stood immovably at the defense and endured as if unharmed. Thus the defense was maintained
et ab eorum congressu usque ad horam diei primam,\(^1\) redeuntibus illis ad castra.\(^2\) Normanni vero atque Angli ut sociorum vici suffragarent, armati veniunt, ut iam vulneratis et lassatis hostibus introitum presumerent. Sed a Flandrensi et Colonensi ducibus convitius lacesiti, prohibiti\(^a\) sunt, rogantes nos ut per machinas nostras quoquomodo fieri posset temptamus aditum; nam hunc qui patebat aditum sibi non nobis parasse aiebant. Sic autem per dies aliquot ab introitu omnimodo repelluntur.

Tunc denique machina nostra compacta, vimineis undique corisque bovinis, ne igne vel saxorum impetu lederetur, involvitur. Indictum super hec omnibus per naves ut vineas et tuguria cancellatae ex virgis facerent. Dominica itaque subsequenti\(^b\) impositis in defensando necessarii, archiepiscopus, ut ipso benediciente promoveretur, advocatur. Igitur post orationem et aspersionem aquae benedictae, sacerdos quidam,\(^3\) sacrosanctam ligni dominici tenens in manibus particulam, sermonem hiusmodi habuit:

"Eia! fratres, certamen in promptu est. Fervet opus; urget adversarius.

"Nemo expavescat. Magnum enim fragilitatis humane solatium, unumquemque angelum sibi delegatum custodem habere sui; et ut huius custodie sanctissime moribus respondatis, beati Pauli doctoris gentium sententia precedat, qua ad Romanos dicitur: 'Reddite omnibus debita, cui honorem, honorem.'\(^4\) Ad hoc enim mihi videtur respicere, honorem debitum sic reddi, si quod debetur iusticiæ reddatur, et nichil ex eis parte iusticiæ concedatur. Similiter et veritati, si quæ sua sunt ita reddantur, ut nichil ex eis partibus mendacio\(^b\) relinquatur. Sapientie quoque et innocentiæ bonitatem,\(^a\)

\(^a\) probiiti. \(^b\) mendatio.

\(^1\) "Nihilominus autem nostri assiliebant, nec a pugna media nocte inchoata usque ad diei horam nonam cessabant." Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 6; Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 326.

\(^2\) 19 October.

\(^3\) The conjecture of Reinhold Pauli, in MGH, Scriptores, XXVII, 5, note 3, that this priest was none other than the author himself seems probable; cf. above, p. 41.

\(^4\) Romans 13: 7.
against the onslaught of the attackers until the first hour of the day, when the latter retired to camp. The Normans and the English came under arms to take up the struggle in place of their associates, supposing that an entrance would be easy now that the enemy were wounded and exhausted. But they were prevented by the leaders of the Flemings and the men of Cologne, who assailed them with insults and demanded that we attempt an entrance in any way it might be accomplished with our own engines; for they said that they had prepared the breach which now stood open for themselves, not for us. And so for several days they were altogether repulsed from the breach.

At last our tower was completed and covered all around with collision mats of osiers and with oxhides in order that it might not be damaged by fire or by the impact of stones. Thereupon, an order was issued by proclamation throughout the fleet that all should make penthouses and mantlets of interwoven branches. And so, on the following Sunday, when everything necessary for its defense had been put in position, the archbishop was sent for in order that the tower might be moved forward with his benediction. Accordingly, after a prayer and the sprinkling of holy water, a certain priest, holding a bit of the sacred wood of the cross in his hands, preached the following sermon:

"Come, brothers, a struggle is at hand. The work grows hot; the enemy presses.

"Let no one be afraid. For it is a great comfort to human frailty that everyone has a guardian angel assigned to him; and, in order that you may respond in accordance with the character of this most holy guardianship, let the text of St. Paul, the teacher of the gentiles, point the way—the text in which he says to the Romans, 'Render to all their dues, honor to whom honor [is due].' For this seems to me to mean that due honor is so rendered, if that which is due to righteousness is paid and no concession is made to unrighteousness. And likewise to truth, if that which belongs to it is so rendered that nothing is left to falsehood; and also to wisdom and inno-
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ut nichil ex eorum bonis stultitiae vel calliditati vel malitie permittamus. Quia quacumque occasione si ea que vera sunt suppresseritis, non reddidistis honorem debitum iusticie et veritati, sed dehonoravistis iusticiam et contumeliam veritati fecistis; et cum Christus sit iusticia et sanctificatio et veritas, si iusticiam conculcastis, eritis similes illis qui Christum colapis ceciderunt et in faciem eius conspuerunt, et qui calamo caput eius percutientes vertici eius spineam imposuere coronam. Et si ab angeli vestri custodia deviastis, reconciliari studete Domino per penitentiam, et unde per inobedientiam lapsi estis, illuc per mandatorum Dei obedientiam redire satagite. Sed forsan dicetis ad hec, ‘In quo mandata Dei sprevimus?’ Audite quid de vobis Machias propheta dixerit: [139v] ‘In eo quod admovistis ad altare panes pollutos et escas ex rapina, et quod tales votivas vestras regi omnium Deo obtulistes, quales si principibus vestris obtulissetis non utique susceptible forent.’ Et in his omnibus Deum potius irritastis quam placatis. Stultitiae atque insipientie ultime est, ut homo Deum quoquomodo fallere existimet. ‘Nam huius mundi sapientia apud Deum stultitia est.’

‘Sed quia dictum est, ‘In malivolam animam non introibit sapientia,’ averte malitiam de medio vestri, quia nichil aliud est male facere quam a disciplina deviare. Sapientiam illam, fratres, querite que sursum est, non que super terram, sicut docet apostolus. Hanc autem soli mundicordes adipisci queunt. Et ut in summe contemplatione sapientie, que utique animus non est, nam est incommutabilis, aciem mentis figatis, necesse se ipsum animus, qui commutabilis est, intueatur, et sibi ipse animus quodammodo in mentem veniat, ut cognoscat se esse non quod Deus est, sed tamen aliquid quod possit placere post Deum. Melior est autem animus cum pro Deo obliviscitetur sui,

*a stultitiae.  b Stulticie.  c stulticia.  d autem written in margin.*

2 Compare Malachi 1: 7–8.
3 I Corinthians 3: 19.
4 Wisdom 1: 4.
censure and goodness, if all is so granted that we allow nothing of their's to folly or craft or malice. For, if on any occasion whatsoever you have suppressed that which is true, you have not rendered due honor to righteousness and truth, but you have dishonored righteousness and insulted truth; and, since Christ is righteousness and holiness and truth, if you have trampled upon righteousness, you are like those who buffeted Christ, spat in his face, smote his head with a reed, and put a crown of thorns upon his head. And, if you have deviated from the guidance of your angel, take care to be reconciled with the Lord through penance; and, through obedience to the commands of God, try to return to the place from which through disobedience you have fallen. But perhaps you will say, 'Wherein have we contemned the commands of God?' Hear what the prophet Malachi has said about you: 'In that ye have brought to the altar polluted bread and stolen food and that ye have made as your votive offerings to God, the king of all, things such that, if ye had offered them to your princes, they would surely not have received them.' And in all these things you have angered God rather than appeased him. It is folly and perfect nonsense for a man to think of deceiving God in any manner. 'For the wisdom of this world is foolishness with God.'

"But because it is said, 'Wisdom will not enter into a soul that deviseth evil,' put away malice from among you, for to do evil is nothing but to depart from discipline. Brothers, as the apostle teaches, seek that wisdom which is above, not which is on the earth. But only the pure in heart are able to attain it. And in order that you may fix the attention of the mind upon the contemplation of the highest wisdom, which, being immutable, is certainly not the understanding, it is necessary that the understanding, which is mutable, contemplate itself and that it in a certain manner enter into the mind, in order that it may recognize itself to be not what God is, but nevertheless something which, after God, is able to give satisfaction. But the understanding is better when it forgets

*Compare James 3: 15, 17.*
et pre caritate incommutabilis Dei se ipsum penitus in comparatione nullius contemptit.

"Si autem sibi tamquam obvius placet ut ad perverse Deum imitandum sua potestate frui velit, tanto fit minor quanto se maiorem fieri cupit. Ad hoc est initium omnis peccati superbia,¹ et 'initium superbie hominis apostatare a Deo.'² Superbia autem diaboli accessit malivolentissima invidia, ut hanc homini persuaderet per quam se damnum sentiebat. Unde factum est ut pena hominem susciperet emendatoria potius quam interemptoria, ut cui se diabolus ad imitationem superbie prebuit, ei se Dominus ad humilitatis imitationem preberet.

"Assumpsit itaque Filius Dei hominem, et in illo humana perpessus est, ut sicut in carne et anima condempnatio fuerat, ita in carne et anima salus eterna fieret. Christus ergo pro Adam, qui factus est sub peccato, qui erat sine peccato introductur, ut huius passione voluntaria qui invitus fuerat passus curaretur. Sed et inde isti omnium impurissimi nobis calumniae, cur Dei sapientia hominem aliter liberare non poterat, nisi susciparet hominem et nascetur ex femina et omnia illa a peccatoribus patet. Poterat quidem omnino. Si aliter faceret, similiter eorum stultitiae displiceret. Si enim non apparere oculis peccatorum lumen eternum quod per oculos interiores videtur, mentibus inquinatis videri non posset. Nunc autem quia visibiliter nos commonere dignatur, ut invisibilia prepararet, [14or] displiceret avaris quia non aureum corpus habuit. Disiplicet impudicis quod ex femina natus est,b Disiplicet superbis quod contumelias patienter tuit. Timidis quia mortuus est; et ut vitia sua videantur defendere, dicunt non in homine sed in Dei Filio sibi hoc displicere. Filius vero Dei, ut catholica credit et veneratur ecclesia, hominem as-

¹ stulticie. ² femina natus est written in margin.

¹ Compare Ecclus. 10: 15. ² Ecclus. 10: 14.
itself before God, and, for the love of the immutable God, esteems itself as nothing in comparison with him.

"But if it should, so to say, easily be pleasing that it desire to exercise its power perversely to imitate God, it diminishes itself to just the extent that it wishes to enhance itself. At this point enters pride, the beginning of all sin; and 'the beginning of man's pride is to apostatize from God.' And to the pride of the Devil was added most spiteful envy, in order that he might tempt man to that through which he perceived himself to have been damned. Wherefore it has happened that corrective rather than destructive punishment has been so allotted to man that to whomsoever the Devil has offered himself for the imitation of his pride, the Lord has offered himself for the imitation of his humility.

"And so the Son of God became man and in that capacity bore with patience human sufferings, in order that, as he had suffered condemnation in the flesh and spirit, so there should be eternal salvation in the flesh and spirit. Therefore, in place of Adam, who became a sinner, Christ, who was without sin, is brought in, in order that by his voluntary sacrifice, he might be saved who had suffered unwillingly. But in this connection those most vile of all people, the Moors, taunt us with the question why God in his wisdom could not have delivered man except by becoming a man and being born of woman and suffering all those things at the hands of sinners. Of course he could have done so. But, if he had done otherwise [than he did do], he would displease them in their folly just the same. For, if the eternal light which is seen through the inner eye appears not to the eyes of sinners, it could not be perceived by the minds of the defiled. But now because, in order to prepare for things invisible, he deigns to forewarn us visibly, he offends the avaricious because he had not a body of gold; he offends the shameless because he was born of woman; he offends the proud because he patiently endured insults; the timid because he died. And in order that they may appear to defend their vices, they say that these things offend them not in a man but in the Son of God. But the Son of God, according to the belief
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sumpsit, ut in eo humana pateretur. Hec est hominum medicina tanta que quanta sit cogitari non potest. O medicinam omnibus consulentem, tumentia comprimentem, tabescentia reficientem, superflua resecantem, necessaria custodientem, perdita reparantem, depravata corrigitem! Que ergo superbia sanabitur, si humilitate Filii Dei non sanatur? Que avaritia, si Filii Dei paupertate non sanatur? Que iracundia, si Filii Dei patientia non sanatur? Que impietas, que caritate Filii Dei non sanatur? Postremo que timiditas sanari potest, si resurrecione eius non sanetur?

"Et vos, fratres karissimi, Christum sequuti, exules spontanei, qui pauperiem voluntarium suscepistis, audite et intelligite, quia inchoantibus promittitur sed perseverantibus præmium donatur. Sed et hic perseverare nequit, qui adhuc a bone actionis initio negligens vel ignorans oberrat. Ignorans, si penitendo resipiscat vel recognoscat, cum lacrimis et gemitu oret cum propheta dicens, 'Delicta iuventutis meæ et ignorantias meas ne memineris,' postea ut adicere mereatur cum apostolo, 'Misericordiam consequutus sum quia ignorans feci.' Negligentes cum omni diligentia 'dignos fructus penitentie' agant, ut qui se illicita aliquando perpetrasse meminerit, illicitis abstinere consuescat. Si enim vultis, fratres, peccata vestra dimittere Deum, exorate ut eius gratia vos preveniat ut dixerim vestrum in bonis suis consummare dignetur. Summo ergo opere in initio conversionis vestre cavendum est, ne vel ea quæ reliquistis adhuc in mentis affectu vestre cohereant, quia nimirum in futuro punietur in opere quod hic male conscia delitescit in mente.

"'Nolite,' fratres, 'nolite sperare in iniquitate, et rapinas nolite concupiscere'; sed ' sperate in Domino, et dabit vobis

---

1 Psalms (Vulgate) 24: 7; (English) 25: 7.  
2 I Timothy 1: 13.  
4 Psalms (Vulgate) 61: 11; (English) 62: 10.
and worship of the universal church, became man in order that as such he might endure human sufferings. This is a medicine for men of such strength that its potency passeth understanding. Oh, medicine that healeth all sickness, reducing swellings, restoring corruptions, cutting away the superfluous, preserving the necessary, repairing losses, correcting distortions! What pride shall be cured, if it be not cured by the humility of the Son of God? What avarice, if it be not cured by the poverty of the Son of God? What prouiness to anger, if it be not cured by the patience of the Son of God? What impiety is there which is not cured by the love of the Son of God? Lastly, what fear can be cured, if it may not be cured by his resurrection?

"And you, most dearly beloved brethren, who have followed Christ as voluntary exiles and have willingly accepted poverty, hear and understand that the prize is promised to those who start but is given to those who persevere. Yet he cannot persevere who still loiters at the beginning of a worthy enterprise in ignorance and neglect. Let the ignorant, if through repentance he comes to his senses and recognizes his fault, pray with tears and groans, and say with the prophet, 'Remember not the sins of my youth nor my ignorances,'1 in order that afterwards he may deserve to add with the apostle, 'I obtained mercy because I did it ignorantly.'2 Let the neglectful with all diligence bring forth 'fruits meet for repentance,'3 in order that he who remembers that he once acted unlawfully may become accustomed to abstaining from unlawful acts. For, brothers, if you wish God to forgive your sins, pray that his grace may so anticipate you that he may deem your desire worthy of consummation in his blessings. Therefore, at the beginning of your conversion the greatest care must be exercised lest your affections still cling to those very things which you have given up; for there will surely some day be outer punishment for what now lies concealed in a guilty conscience.

"Brothers, 'trust not in oppression, and become not vain in robbery';4 but 'trust in the Lord, and he shall give thee
petitiones cordis vestri.' Reconciliamini iterum Deo, et rein-
duite Christum, ut sitis filii eius immaculati. Mementote
mirabilium Domini que operatus est in vobis, cum iam
novo penitentie abluti baptismate de terra vestra et de cogna-
tione egrederemini, quomodo per aquam nimiam et tempesta-
tum procellas vos illeros transvexerit, hucque insuper ad-
recti, quo impetu Spiritus ducentis suburbium hoc in quo
manemus invasimus, quomodo non sine evidenti miraculo
captum est fere absque nostrorum sanguine. Exibete ergo
vos iterum ad hoc negotium, quales huc advenistis, et secure
promitto vobis hostium potentias frangere. Non enim ego sed Dominus, qui digne
petentibus semper annuit et favet, confitentibusque numquam veniam negare consuevit.

"Non resistent adversum vos, quia nimirum quos fidei
ignorantie error dehonestat, hos procul dubio ex difficultate
actionis cruciatus affligat. Nam ignorantiam cecitas sequitur,
difficultatem vero mentis angustia cum molestia corporis
comitari solet. Nolite, fratres, nolite timere; nolite expave-
scere; contristari fugite; stupefici vilipendite. Si vos Deus
noster ab huius urbis introitu tam longi laboris dispversione
exclusistis, ictus est in vos huc operatum est, ut as-
siduitas laboris continui patientiam in vobis solidaret, eademque
solidata perseverantie probatiros redderet. Expergi-
scimini aliquando, fratres, et capescite arma. Non enim vobis
cum Gigantibus pugnandum vel cum Laphitis, fures enim et
latrones inermes et timidi sunt, quos etiam tot ineptis stipatos
inordinata ipsorum et confusa multitude prepediet.

"Ecce, fratres, ecce lignum crucis dominice. Flecentes
genua proni in terram decubate; rea tundite pectora, Domini
prestolantes auxilium. Veniet enim, veniet. Videbitis auxilium
Domini super vos. Adorate Dominum Christum, qui in hoc

\* Exibete.

1 Psalms (Vulgate) 36: 3, 4; (English) 37: 3, 4.
the desires of thine heart."1 Be reconciled again with God, and put on Christ once more in order that you may be his immaculate sons. Remember the marvelous work of the Lord which he has wrought in you, when, after you had been cleansed by the new baptism of repentance, you were going forth from your country and kinsmen, how he has brought you unharmed over the vast waters and through violent storms, and how he has also brought you hither, where through the inspiration of the [Holy] Spirit we have invaded this suburb in which we still remain, and how, not without an evident miracle, it has been taken almost without bloodshed on the part of any of our men. Therefore, show yourselves once more in this undertaking such men as you were when you arrived here, and I confidently promise you that you will shatter the power of your enemies. For it is not I but the Lord, who always grants and shows favor to those who make a worthy request, and who is accustomed never to deny forgiveness to those who make confession.

"The enemy will not stand against you because those whom the error of ignorance of the faith degrades, torment will surely strike with a difficulty of action. For blindness follows ignorance, and a difficult action is usually accompanied by anguish of mind and distress of body. Brothers, be not afraid; shun discouragement; despise terror. If our God has prevented you from entering this city after so long and costly an effort, assuredly he has done this in order that continuous labor might strengthen your patience, and that the same, being strengthened, might make you the better tested of perseverance. Now, brothers, at last arouse yourselves and grasp your arms, for not with the Giants or the Lapithae must you fight, for your enemies are thieves and robbers, helpless and afraid, who, crowded as they are by a clutter of trash, will be hampered by their confused and disordered multitude.

"Behold, brothers, behold the wood of the cross of the Lord. Bend your knees and lie prone upon the ground. Strike your guilty breasts, while you await the aid of the Lord. For it will come, it will come. You shall perceive the help of the Lord
salutifere crucis ligno manus expandit et pedes in vestram salutem et gloriam. In hoc vexillo, solum non hesitetis, vincetis.\(^1\) Quia si quem hoc insignitum mori contigerit, sibi vitam\(^a\) tolli non credimus, sed in melius mutari non ambigimus. Hic ergo vivere gloria est, et mori lucrum.\(^2\)

"Ego vero ipse, fratres, in tribulationibus et laboribus vestris particeps premiorumque vestrorum socius\(^b\) sicut vobis spondeo [141r] mihi fieri opto. Deo opitulante in hac machina, huius ligni sacrosancti custos et comes inseparabilis, vita comite vibiscum manebo. Certus quia nec fames neque gladius neque tribulatio neque angustia nos a Christo separabit.\(^3\) Et profecto securi de victoria hostes invadite, quibus victorie premia sunt gloria sempiterna. Paulus vero Iudeorum ad-

\(^{a}\) vita.\(^{b}\) socius.

\(^1\) The close association in the speaker's mind between the sacred relic which he was holding in his hands and the emblem of the cross with which crusaders were signed and which was displayed on the banner under which the operations at Lisbon were being conducted (see below, p. 174, lines 16–17, 20–21) is natural. Hence the use of the word vexillum.

The use of the cross-banner (vexillum crucis) had undoubtedly become general well before the Second Crusade. The sanctissimae et dominicae crucis vexillum is mentioned by Fulcher of Chartres (Historia Hierosolimitana, ed. H. Hagenmeyer, Heidelberg, 1913, p. 650) in connection with events of the year 1123; and numerous banners bearing crosses were represented in the crusade window which was executed for the abbey of Saint-Denis at the command of Abbot Suger about 1144. See Bernard de Montfaucon, Les Monumens de la monarchie françoise (Paris, 1729–33), I, Plates L-LIV; cf. C. W. David, Robert Curthose, Duke of Normandy (Cambridge, Mass., 1920), Appendix G and the references there cited.

But the words of the speaker also seem to imply some acquaintance with the vision of the cross of light in the heavens with its accompanying inscription (now familiar to western ears in the form: in hoc signo vinces) which, according to Eusebius (Vita Constantini, i, 28), confirmed the acceptance of Christianity by Constantine the Great before his victory over Maxentius in Italy in the year 312; and there may well have been an association in the speaker's mind between the use of the cross-banner as an emblem of war by crusaders and its parallel use by Constantine. See N. H. Baynes, "Constantine the Great and the Christian Church," in British Academy, Proceedings, XV (1929), 345–48 and notes 20–36 on pp. 394–406; cf. Andreas Alföldi, "The Helmet of Constantine with the Christian Monogram," in Journal of Roman Studies, XXII (1932), Pt. 1, pp. 9–23. This vision, associated not with the triumph over Maxentius but with a great victory of Constantine over barbarians on the banks of the Danube, was widely spread throughout the East and the West in connection with the popular legend of the invention of the cross by Constantine's mother, Helena, which seems first to have taken shape in Syria, perhaps early in the fifth century, and which found
above you. Adore Christ, the Lord, who on this wood of the
saving cross spread out his hands and feet for your salvation
and glory. Under this ensign, if only you falter not, you shall
conquer.1 Because, if it should happen that anyone signed
with this cross should die, we do not believe that life has been
taken from him, for we have no doubt that he is changed into
something better. Here, therefore, to live is glory and to die is
gain.2

"Brothers, I myself, a participant in your trials and labors
and a sharer in your rewards, desire that it may be done to
me even as I promise that it shall be done to you. With God's
aid, I, the guardian and inseparable companion of this sacred
wood, will remain with you in this engine while life shall last.
I am persuaded that neither famine nor the sword nor tribula-
tion nor distress shall separate us from Christ.3 And being
actually certain of victory, fall upon the enemy, the rewards
of victory over whom are eternal glory. Indeed, Paul, advocate

its way to England, perhaps by way of Ireland, in time to serve as the source of Cyne-
wulf's Elene in the last half of the eighth century or early in the ninth. See The Old
English Elene, Phoenix, and Physiologus (ed. A. S. Cook, New Haven, etc., 1919),
pp. xiv-xv, and the references there cited. But so far at least as western historical texts
are concerned, another version of Constantine's conversion held the field at the be-

ning of the crusading period, and the vision of the cross in the heavens with its now
famous inscription would seem to have been unknown or ignored. See C. B. Coleman,
Constantine the Great and Christianity (New York, 1914), pp. 77-81, 135-41; for the
East see Philostorgius-Photius: Philostorgius, Kirchengeschichte (ed. Joseph Bidez,

The adoption of the cross at the Council of Clermont (1095) as the sacred sign of
crusaders had an entirely independent origin, being due to Pope Urban II, who appears
to have derived his inspiration from two parallel texts of the Gospels, viz. Matthew
16: 38 and Luke 14: 27. See the passages from the chroniclers of the First Crusade col-
clected by Hagenmeyer in his edition of Fulcher of Chartres, Historia Hierosolymitana,
p. 141, note 12. However, by the time of the Second Crusade a knowledge of the vision
of Constantine was being recovered by historical writers in the West. Otto, bishop of
Freising, in his Chronica sive historia de duabus civitatibus (ed. A. Hofmeister, Hanover
and Leipzig, 1912), p. 184, quotes the account of it directly (with the inscription in
a corrupt Greek form: 606Yω NYKA) from Rufinus, who, in translating the Historia
ecclesiastica of Eusebius, added matter from the Vita Constantini, the original source.
A brief reference in Henry of Huntingdon, Historia Anglorum (ed. Thomas Arnold,
London, 1879), p. 30, can leave little doubt that he too knew of the vision from Rufinus.

vocatus, et magister noster qui ex gentibus ad fidem venimus, pro vobis etiam orare audet ultra quam fas est pro fratribus suis secundum carnem. (Vestris precibus iuvantibus, opto ut simile aliquid pro vobis audeam dicere.) Nam ultra mandatum Dei ntitur qui proximos non sicut se sed plusquam se diligit. Denique etiam se abiecto nos pro se induci orat ad Christum. O singularem mentis magnificentiam! O celestem spiritus calorem, extra pietatem, ut ita dicam, pro pietate, fieri cupid, dum anathema optat a Christo1 tantum ut isti salvi sint.

"Deus pacis et dilectionis, qui facit utraque tradit nobis; qui elevat de terra inopem et de stercore erigit pauperem;2 qui 'elegit David servum suum et sustulit eum de gregibus ovium,'3 cum esset iunior in filiis Iesse; qui dat verbum evangelizantibus virtute multa, ad perfectionem predicationis sue et exhibitionem operis sui, tenens manus nostras in voluntate sua nos dirigat, et cum gloria nos assumat; ipse regentes regat, ut possimus b gregem eius cum disciplina, et non in vasis pastoris imperiti.4 Ipse virtutem et fortitudinem populo suo prestet; ipseque sibi mundum et candidum gregem atque in omnibus immaculatum ac supernis ovilibus dignum exhibeat, ubi est habitatio letantium, in splendoribus sanctorum, ut in templo eius omnes dicamus gloriam, grex et pastores, Jesu Christo Domino nostro, cui est gloria in secula seculorum. Amen."

Ad hanc vocem ceciderunt omnes proni cum gemitu et lacrimis in facies suas. Iterumque ad iussum sacerdotis omnes erecti, venerabili crucis dominice signo in nomine Patris et Filii et Spiritus Sancti consignati sunt.

Sicque demum cum magna voce Dei postulantes auxilium, quasi cubitis XV. machinam contra murum appropinquavere.

1 Compare Romans 9:3.  
2 Compare Psalms (Vulgate) 112: 7; (English) 113:7.  
3 Psalms (Vulgate) 77: 70; (English) 78: 70.  
4 Compare Zechariah 11: 15.

mandatum Dei repeated by an evident error.

b The reading of the manuscript is certain. Stubbs (Itinerarium, p. clxxiv) amended by substituting pascamus for possimus; but this seems to create as many difficulties as it removes. Perhaps some infinitive such as regere has been inadvertently omitted.
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of the Jews and teacher of us who have come to the faith from the gentiles, dares to pray for you even beyond that which is lawful for him to do for his own brethren according to the flesh. (With your prayers I wish that I may venture to say something similar on your behalf.) For he strives beyond that which the command of God requires, in that he loves his neighbors not as himself but more than himself. And finally, he prays that, himself being rejected, we before him may be led to Christ. Oh singular magnanimity! Oh heavenly ardor of the spirit! Beyond piety, so to say, for the sake of piety, he wishes it so to be done, while he wishes himself accursed from Christ, only that these shall be saved.

"May the God of peace and love, who maketh one out of two and delivereth us mutually one to another; who raiseth up the poor out of the dust and lifteth the needy out of the dunghill; who 'chose David his servant and took him from the sheepfolds,' although he was the younger of the sons of Jesse; who giveth his word with mighty power to those who proclaim the gospel unto the perfection of his preaching and the display of his works—holding us by the hand, may he direct us in accordance with his will and receive us with glory; may he so control us who lead that we may rule over his flock with discipline and not with the instruments of a foolish shepherd. May he supply courage and strength to his people. And may he exhibit the flock clean and white and spotless in all respects and worthy of the heavenly fold, wherein is the abode of those who rejoice in the splendor of the saints; so that in his temple we all, both the flock and the shepherds, may say, Glory to our Lord, Jesus Christ, to whom be glory for ever and ever. Amen."

At this word all fell down upon their faces with groans and tears. And again at the command of the priest all stood up and were signed with the revered sign of the cross of the Lord in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit.

And so, at last, with a loud voice calling on God for aid, they moved the engine forward some fifteen cubits towards the wall. On this occasion one of our men was struck and
Ibi quidam nostrorum a muris percussus iactu funde [141v] interit. Iterum in crastino contra turrim que est in angulo civitatis contra fluvium machina deducta. Ad quam autem hostes omnia sue defensionis presidia comportaverant. Quo comperto, eorum premeditata facile cassatur. Nam nostri machinam contra fluvium ad dextram declinantes, turrim quasi cubitis viginti preterierunt iuxta murum fere ad Portam Ferream que turrim* respicit. Ibique baliste et archiferi nostri a turri predicta hostes fugaverunt, non valentes impetum sagitarum ferre; nam a parte posteriori que urbem respicit turris patebat. Hostibus autem a turri et a muro machine vicino nostrae turbatis, nocte superveniente paululum quievimus, redeuntibus omnibus ad castra, relictis in eius custodia ex nostris centum militibus et ex Gallecianis C, cum archiferis et balistis et iuvenibus aliquot expeditis. Primaigitur noctis vigilia maris alluvio machinam circumfluens exeundi vel commendi nostris prohibebat viam. Comperto autem a Mauris quod nos maris refluvium seclusisset, in duas cohortes per portam predictam machinam pedetenus invasere. Ceteri autem super muros, incredibilis multitudinis, admota lignorum materia cum pice et lino et oleo et omnimodis ignium fomentis, machine nostre iniciunt. Alii vero super nos saxorum intolerabilem proiciebant grandinem. Habeatur autem sub alis machine, inter ipsam et murum, tugurium vimineum quod vulgo cattus Waliscus dicitur, in quo septem de provintia Gipeswicensi commanebant iuvenes, qui illud semper post machinam conducerant. Sub hoc autem cum hiis qui imfrerant quidam nostrorum frustatim ignium materias quantum poterant concidebant. Ceteri vero, effossis sub machina scrob-
killed by the shot of a sling from the wall. Again next day the engine was moved up towards the tower of the city which stands at the corner towards the river; to which also the enemy had brought the full garrison for its defense. When this was discovered, their plans were easily defeated; for our men, turning the engine to the right towards the river, passed the tower at a distance of about twenty cubits and brought it near the wall almost at the Porta do Ferro which overlooks the tower. And then our crossbowmen and archers drove the enemy in flight from the aforesaid tower, for they could not withstand the attack of our arrows; for the tower was open on the rear which overlooks the city. When the enemy had been driven in confusion from the tower and from the wall near our engine, and when night was coming on, we quieted down by degrees and retired to camp, leaving the engine in the keeping of a hundred of our knights and a hundred Gallegans, together with a number of archers and crossbowmen and light-armed youths. Then during the first watch of the night the incoming tide flowed around our engine and cut our forces off from communication with it. When the Moors observed that the rising tide had cut us off, they issued in two companies through the aforesaid gate and attacked our machine. And the others upon the wall, an incredible multitude, brought up articles of wood, together with pitch and flax and oil and every kind of inflammable matter, and hurled them at our engine. And still others discharged an intolerable hail of stones upon us. But there was beneath the wings of our engine, between it and the wall, a penthouse of plaited osiers, which is commonly called a Welsh cat, in which were seven youths from the district of Ipswich who kept it constantly in position behind the engine. And under this some of our men, with the assistance of those who were inside the engine, beat out the burning matter piece by piece as best they could. And others dug trenches underneath the

4 The word baliste here, as well as in line 15 below, evidently designates men rather than weapons.
bibus in eisque manentes, globos ignium distraebant.\footnote{An erasure of 1.6 centimetres follows lassati.} Alii in superioribus tabulatis per foramina coria desuper tensa irrigabant; in quibus caudarum scope forinsecus in ordine dependentes totam irrigabant machinam. Ceteri vero instructi in aciem a porta progressis viriliter restiterunt. Defensa est itaque ea nocte labore ad\footnote{1} mirabili, paucis ex nostris, Deo protegente, admodum lesis, Maurorum vero parte maxima co-minus eminusque ces.

Mane autem facto,\footnote{2} machina nostra maris alluvione iterum secluditur. Convenientes iterum Mauri, alii per portam in nostros proruunt, quo congressu rector de galeata regis per-cussus interiit, alii a muri saxorum turbine nostros con-cutiunt, admotis super hec fundis Balearicis.\footnote{3} Supermurales vero scaphas incentivis ignium repletas machine nostra VIII. solum pedibus a muro distantii iniciunt, ut dictu difficilimum sit quantis laboribus sudoribusque, verberibus ac plagis innu-meris maximam diei partem protraxerint omnibus sociorum auxilio destituti. Ibi vero artifex noster,\footnote{4} saxo crure lesus, omni nos sui spe solatii destituit. Galletiani quoque cum se mari circumdatos conscixissent, vel vulnerati vel vulneratis similis, quidam armis proiectis, quidam armati, turpiter legentes vadum consuluere fuge, exceptis solm sex ex eorum numero. Tum demum refluente mari, hostes lassati\footnote{1} conflictum dimittunt, omni bona spe in perpetuum destituti. Milites vero nostri et qui in machine custodia fuerant electi exequentes, alios vice eorum suffraganeos\footnote{4} prius introduserunt, cum illam

\footnote{1} 21 October.
\footnote{2} See above, p. 135, note 3.
\footnote{3} Perhaps the Pisan engineer who is referred to in the Teutonic Source. See above, p. 142, note 3.
\footnote{4} Perhaps some of the German and Flemish forces from the other side of the city. Compare Brief des Priesters Winand, pp. 6-7: "Interim milites regis qui ab arce turris pugnabant, mangnellis Sarracenorum territi, minus viriliter pugnabant, usque adeo quod Sarracen iexeuutes turrim concremassent, si quidam de nostris qui casu ad ipso venerant non obstisissent. Huius perici fama cum ad nostras pervolaret aures, meliores nostrae partis exercitus ad defendendam turrim (ne nostra spes in ea adnullare-
engine and, lying in them, drew away the balls of fire. Still others in the upper stories poured water through holes upon the hides which were suspended from above so that the tails hanging in order on the outside irrigated the whole engine. And still others, drawn up in fighting formation, manfully resisted those who had come out from the gate. So the engine was defended through that night by a prodigious effort, very few of our men being wounded, thanks to the protection of God, but the greater part of the Moors being cut to pieces in hand-to-hand or distant combat.

Next morning\(^1\) our engine was again cut off by the tide. And again the Moors returned to the attack, some rushing upon us through the gate—in which encounter the commander of the king’s galley was struck and killed—others bombarding our forces from the wall with a hail of stones, for Balearic mangonels\(^2\) had been brought up for the purpose. And they threw down skiffs filled with burning matter from the walls upon our engine, which was only eight feet from the wall, so that it would be most difficult to describe with what labor and sweat, with what blows and numberless wounds, our men held out through the greater part of the day, while they were deprived of all assistance from their comrades. And there our engineer,\(^3\) cruelly wounded by a stone, deprived us of all hope of his consolation. The Gallegans also, with the exception of only six of their number, when they saw themselves surrounded by the sea, either being wounded or like men wounded, disgracefully selected a ford and took counsel of flight, some throwing away their arms, others with their arms upon them. But finally the sea receded and the enemy gave up the contest in exhaustion, being deprived forever of all real hope. Then our knights and the others who had been picked to guard the engine, having previously introduced others of their supporters\(^4\) in their places, retired after they had defended the

\(\text{tur) transmisimus. Videntes autem Sarraceni Lotharingos tanto fervore in arcem turris ascendentes, tanta formidine territi sunt, ut arma summitterent et dextras in signum pacis sibi dari paterent}^{.} \) The statement of Arnulf (HF, XIV, 327) is much the same, except that to the Lotharingians he adds the Flemings.
duobus diebus et nocte una,\(^1\) numquam armis depositis, agonia fere intolerabili defendissent.

Hora autem quasi* decima, mari retrahente, nostri in harena conveniunt ut machinam muro pedibus solum quattuor adicercent, ut sic facilius pontem\(^2\) elicerent. Ad hanc igitur muri defensionem omnes circumquaque Mauri conveniunt. Sed cum pontem quasi duorum cubitorum emissum viderent, et iam pene fieri nobis introeuntibus, ut nec vita reliqui victis foret, voce magna conclamantes, nobis videntibus arma deponunt, manus submittunt, inducias vel usque mane supliciter postulantes. Advocato itaque Frinando Captivo ex parte regis, Herveo de Glanvilla ex nostris partibus, date sunt inducie, acceptis inde obsidibus quinque, ne machinas nostras [142v] noctu impedirent vel sibi aliquid interim nostri detrimento repararent;\(^3\) noctuque insuper deliberandum, ut in crastino civitatem nobis traderent, si sic aput eos deliberatum foret. Sin aliter, armis experiri cetera. Frinandus vero Captivus et Herveus de Glanvilla cum iam fere esset noctis vigilia prima, acceptis obsidibus, eos regi tradunt, quod fere maximum discordie seminarium fuerat, quod non nostris eos tradidissent; nam existimabant prodicionem\(^b\) per hos a rege, nam moris sui erat velle fieri, Frinandum Captivum et Herveum de Glanvilla in hoc succensentes.

Summo igitur mane,\(^4\) convocatis Colonensibus et Flandrensi-bus, constabularii nostri una cum senioribus castra regis adeunt, auditum quid veteratores illi sibi deliberassent. Interrogati, urbem regi tradendam, aurum et argentum ceteras-

\(^*\) qua corrected by the addition of *si* in margin.

\(^1\) 20-21 October.

\(^2\) The drawbridge at the top of the movable tower, to be let down upon the wall.

\(^3\) 21 October. The Teutonic Source gives this as the date when the victory was consummated, although the city was not actually entered until 24 October. *Brief des Priesters Winand*, p. 7; Duodechin, in *MGH, Scriptores*, XVII, 28; Arnulf, in *HF, XIV*, 347.

\(^4\) 22 October.
engine in an almost unbearable contest for two days and a night\(^1\) without ever putting down their arms.

About the tenth hour, the sea receding, our forces assembled on the sand in order that they might move the engine up to a distance of but four feet from the wall, so that they might the more easily put out the bridge.\(^2\) Whereupon the Moors gathered from all sides for the defense of the wall at the point which was threatened. But when they beheld the bridge extending about two cubits, and perceived that our entrance was about to bring it to pass that not even life would be spared to the vanquished, they cried out with a loud voice and put down their arms as we looked on, and extended their hands as suppliants and demanded a truce, if only until morning. And so Fernando Captivo was summoned on behalf of the king and Hervey de Glanvill on our behalf; and a truce was granted, and five hostages were received as a guarantee that they would not place obstacles in the way of our engines during the night or make any repairs to their advantage and our detriment.\(^3\) Moreover, they were to decide during the night to surrender the city to us on the morrow, if that should be the result of their deliberations; otherwise the issue would be left to the arbitrament of arms. And Fernando Captivo and Hervey de Glanvill, when it was already almost the first watch of the night, having received the hostages, delivered them to the king—an act which proved to be almost the very worst nursery of discord, for the reason that the hostages had not been handed over to our forces. For they thought that they were being betrayed by the king through the leaders since it was in accordance with his character to desire such a thing to happen. And they were inflamed with anger against Fernando Captivo and Hervey de Glanvill on this account.

Early next morning,\(^4\) therefore, when the men of Cologne and the Flemings had been summoned, our constables together with the older men went to the king’s camp to hear what decision those crafty fellows had arrived at for themselves. When questioned they said that they were in favor of handing the city over to the king and delivering the gold and silver
que omnes civium facultates in manibus nostris dandum favent. Ad hec responsuri nostri exeunt.

Fremit igitur et tabescit hostis antiquus, iure pristino nunc demum spoliandus. Vasa iniquitatis sue in omnes et per omnes excitat. Cuius adeo malitiae virus invaluit, ut vix aut nullatenus alter alteri assennum per diem prebuerit, invicem discindentes. Nam cum iam fere ad introitum portarum ventum, nisi sue propiciationis dexteram Deus noster opposisset, concordia lesa foret. Ea namque bonitatis sue clementia ab initio societatis nostre semper erga nos usus est, ut cum multis et intractabilibus discidiorum causis etiam duces nostri moderaminis sui gubernacula desperati relinquerent, tum denique Spiritus Sancti insparsiis favonius, quasi quodam solis meridiani vibraculo caliginose nubis intemperiem reverberans, concordia recurrentis gratiorem nexum conficeret.

Cum igitur in consilio nostre responsionis essemus, naute nostri cum sibi similibus fatuis, conspiratione facta per quendam sacerdotem Bristowensem sacrilegum, in harena convenere. (Erat autem hic moribus pessimis, ut postmodum eo latrocinis deprehenso cognovimus.) [143r] Qui ab humili sermone paululum incitari ut ad vociferationem usque pertransirent incepere; indignum ferentes tot et tantos domi militique preclaros ditionis senatique puercorum subiacere, quibus potius super hiis negotiis consulto opus non fore sed impetu. Quippe qui preveniente Spiritu huc advecti quicquid aegerent eius impetu optime fieri. Nam penes primates* suos neque consilium neque ceptum usquam nisi frustra fuit. Nam illis absentibus suburbium captum est, hisdem nescientibus Elmada subacta;

* Nam penes primates written over an erasure of 2.5 centimetres. The spreading of the ink has made the first word all but illegible.
and all the other property of the citizens into our hands. Our men went out to decide upon their reply to this.

Then the Old Enemy, now finally about to be despoiled of his former rights, growled and was consumed with rage. He roiled the vials of his wickedness against all and through all; and the poison of his malice so far prevailed that amid repeated dissensions not one, or hardly one, agreed with another throughout the day. Indeed, when we were now almost at the entrance of the gates, concord would have been broken had not our God interposed the right hand of his propitiation. But from the beginning of our association he always exercised the clemency of his goodness towards us, so that when from many and uncontrollable causes of discord even our leaders in desperation lost control of their tempers, then at last the breath of the Holy Spirit, as it were, repelling the chill of a misty cloud by a certain gleam of the noonday sun, reëstablished the grateful bond of a returning concord.

Accordingly, while we were in council as to our reply, our seamen, together with other fatuous fellows of their own kind, assembled on the sand; for a conspiracy had been started [among them] by a certain renegade priest of Bristol. (He was of the very worst morals, as we afterwards learned when he was arrested among thieves.) And they began by degrees to be so excited by his humble speech that they ended in an uproar, bearing it as an indignity that so many men, and men so famous both at home and abroad, should be subject to the authority and rule of a few leaders, with respect to whom they declared that what was needed in this enterprise was not consultation but inspiration. Indeed, whatever had been done under the inspiration of the [Holy] Spirit by those who had been brought here under its guidance had been done for the best, whereas under the chiefs there was never a plan or an undertaking but ended in failure. While they were absent the suburb had been taken; without their knowledge Almada was reduced; and, if they had been guided by this inspiration as they should have been, the mutineers asserted that the city
si hoc ut deceret veneralur impetu, iampridem urbem recepisse vel aliquid luci maius egisse aiebant.


Huius igitur tumultus eruptio in Herveum de Glanvilla delata est, qui non sibi sed regi [143v] obsides tradidisset, simulque quosdam ex ipsis, quasi degeneres, expertes urbis peccuniarum abiudicasset. De quibus amplius quadrigentis ex castris proruentes circumduaque armati perscrutantur, licet eum absentem noverint, voce magna clamantes, "Tollatur impius, puniatur proditor." Hoc itaque comperto cum castris

*a cum written in margin.  
*b An erasure of 2.2 centimetres follows pascatur.
would long since have been taken or something else accomplished of even greater profit.

But how shall we describe such insults except as a certain violence naturally implanted in bad character, as a result of which the guilt of the few disfigures the innocence of the many, although, on the other hand, the fewness of the good cannot excuse the disgraceful acts of the many, even if it would? Who would not become indignant at seeing the sincerity of virtue soiled by the accusation of vice, when those who criticize know not what they want or do not want, or what is satisfactory in good things or unsatisfactory in evil? If they see anyone humble, they call him abject; if proud, they judge him haughty; if but little instructed, they think him to be ridiculed for his inexperience; if at all learned, they say he is puffed up on account of his knowledge; if severe, they shudder at such cruelty; if indulgent, they blame his slackness; if simple, they despise him as a stupid; if keen, they avoid him as crafty; if diligent, they think him over-scrupulous; if easygoing, they adjudged him neglectful; if shrewd, covetous; if quiet, they pronounce him lazy; if abstemious, they declare him miserly; if in eating he indulges himself, they damn him for a glutton; if given to fasting, they call him vain; if frank, they condemn him for an impudent; if shy, for a rustic. The rigorous they do not like because of their austerity; the mild lose respect among them from association. Whichever way of life be led, the character of the good will always be caught on one point or the other of a two-pronged hook, for the tongues of slanderers are sharp.

Now this tumultuous outbreak was directed against Hervey de Glanvill, because he had delivered the hostages to the king rather than to themselves, and also because he had adjudged certain of them who had been guilty of some base offense to have no share in the riches of the city. More than four hundred of them rushed out from camp under arms and made a wide search for him, though they knew him to be absent, while they yelled, "Away with the wretch, let the traitor be punished." When news of this was received while we were in the
interessemus regis, a quibusdam senioribus nostrorum obviam itum est compescendum eorum vehementie initia. Hiis retroactis, ad ea quae superius responsuri convenimus.

Obsides vero comperto quod inter se nostri contentiose egissent, orationis prime verba retractantes dissimulant. Regi vero et suis nobis superius promissa vel predicta velle facere et tenere aiebant: nostri, nec pro morte quicquam; nam impuros, imfidos, impios, crudeles, qui nec dominis suis etiam par cere nossent. Que res nostros maximo pudore suffudit. Iterum cum rege in concilio ventum est; maxima diei parte sic consumpta, acquieverunt* tandem in hoc obsides, ut si eorum alcaiz una cum genero suo omnibus facultatibus suis libere potietur, concivesque cuncti cibariis suis, fore uti civitas traderetur nobis. Sin autem, armis experiri cetera.

Normanni quoque et Angli quibus bellorum casus gravissimo oneri fuerat, longa fatigati obsidione, concedi oportere aiebant, honestumque nec peccuniam vel cibaria honoribus capescende preponendum. Colonenses vero et Flandrenses quibus semper habendi innata cupiditas, longi itineris dispensa suorumque interitum multumque itineris superesse commemorantes, nil reliqui fieri posse hostibus decernebant. In hoc tandem luctamine adducti, ut omnes facultates sue et cibaria soli alcaie donarentur, sola eius Arabica iumentina excepta, quam ut sibi aliquo extorqueret argumento comes de Aerescot concupierat. In hoc demum eorum fixa sententia stetit, nostris quam indigne ferentibus. Nocte dirimunte concilium, obsides in sententia sua perseverant, Francis ad utrum-libet se habentibus, pacem vel bellum scilicet.

* acquieverunt.

b Apparently horti, which seems to make no sense.
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king's camp, some of our elders went over to repress this outburst of violence. Upon their return we met in council to make reply to the proposals above mentioned.

But the hostages, having learned that our forces were involved in a controversy among themselves, retracted the words of their first entreaty and resorted to dissimulation. So far as the king and his men were concerned, they said that they were willing to respect and to perform all the commitments which they had made; but for our forces they would not for their lives do anything, since these were base, faithless, disloyal, and cruel men, who did not even recognize an obligation to spare their own lords—a turn of events which covered our men with the greatest shame. Once more a council was held with the king, and when most of the day had thus been spent, the hostages at last consented to this, that if the alcayde and his son-in-law should freely receive all their property and all the citizens should receive their food, the city would be surrendered to us in full possession, but that otherwise the issue should be left to the arbitrament of arms.

The Normans and the English, for whom the vicissitudes of wars had been a heavy burden, and who were fatigued by the long siege, said that the concessions ought to be granted and upright conduct, not property or foodstuffs, preferred to the honor of taking the city. But the men of Cologne and the Flemings, in whom there is ever an innate covetousness of possessing, calling to mind the expenses of their long journey and the death of their men and that a long voyage yet lay before them, were determined that nothing could be left to the enemy. In this debate they were finally induced to concede that the alcayde alone should be granted food and all his property, with the single exception of his Arabian mare which the count of Aerschot so coveted that he extorted her for himself by some argument. At this point they finally stood their ground immovably, while our men bore it with much indignation. As night put an end to the council, the hostages still persisted in their position while the Franks were divided between two policies, namely, peace and war.
In crastino autem urbis aditum ferro experiendum decreverunt, reversis omnibus ad castra. Cum interim Colonenses et Flandrenses insignati quod rex obsidibus, ut videbatur, favisset, ex castris armati proruunt, ut obsides a castris regis vindicandum in eos violenter eriperent, tumultus atque armorum strepitus fit undique. [144r] Nos vero, cum in meditullio inter regis et eorum castra adhuc colloquentes expectaremus, parabamur regi nuntiamus. Christianus vero dux Flandrensium et comes de Aerescot, eorum tumultu comperto, vix etiam armati eorum inceptum compescunt. Dein conciliatum pro suis sedato tumultu regem adeunt, protestantes huius actionis immunes se fore. Accepta itaque ab eis securitate, tandem animo recepto, iubet suos arma deponere, obsidionem relinquendum in castris et eorum fidelitatem tenendum dum in terra sua morarentur.

Hiis utrimque firmatis, sicut pridie poposcerunt Mauri concessum est de urbe tradenda. Decretum est itaque inter nos et centum XL. armatorum ex nostris partibus et centum

*assotiari.*

1 23 October.

2 "Deinde factum est ut alchaida princeps eorum hoc pacto nobiscum conveniret, ut noster exercitus omnem supellectilem eorum cum auro et argento acciperet, rex autem civitatem cum nudis Sarracenis et tota terra obtineret": Arnulf, in HF, XIV, 327; Brief des Priesters Winand, p. 7. "Quod et factum est, et pactum inter nos et eos ita firmatum est, ut nobis omnem supellectilem, tam in auro quam in argento, vestibus et equis, mulis, regi civitatem redderen; ipsi vero, si pactum inter nos non infringerebant, cum integritate membrorum depositis armis abirent": Duodechin, in MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 28. Compare the words of Helmold quoted above, p. 112, note 1.
But on the morrow\textsuperscript{1} when all had returned to camp, they decided that an attempt should be made to enter the city at the point of the sword. Meanwhile, the men of Cologne and the Flemings, waxing indignant because the king, as it seemed, was favoring the hostages, rushed out of their camps under arms in order that they might seize the hostages with violence from the king's camp and take vengeance upon them. And there was tumult and clashing of arms all around. But since we were still engaged in conversation and awaiting the turn of events in the middle ground between the king's camp and theirs, we reported to the king what was about to happen. But when Christian, leader of the Flemings, and the count of Aerschot learned of the outbreak of their forces, they promptly put a stop to it, although they were hardly armed. Then, when the tumult had been quieted, they went to conciliate the king on behalf of their forces, protesting that they themselves were innocent of this action. Accordingly, when he had taken security from them and had at last recovered his temper, he ordered that their forces should put away their arms, declaring roundly that he would postpone the siege until the morrow; and he said that he would not put honor second even to the taking of the city, that, on the contrary, he would account all things for naught if it should be wanting; indeed, that disgraced by these outrages, he was unwilling any longer to associate with abandoned men—the most insolent desperadoes who would do anything. Finally, having with difficulty recovered his equanimity, he agreed to consider what he wished to be done upon the morrow. And so it was decided next day that our leaders from both divisions for themselves and for their men should swear fealty to the king, to be kept so long as they remained in his country.

When these matters had thus been settled on both sides, the terms of the surrender of the city on which the Moors had insisted on the previous day were conceded.\textsuperscript{2} Accordingly, it was decided among ourselves that one hundred and forty armed men from our forces and one hundred and sixty from
LX. ex Colonensibus et Flandrensibus civitatem pre omnibus ingredieruntur, atque munimentum superioris castri in pace tenerent, ut in ipso hostes pecunias et facultates suas omnes iuramento probatas coram nostris deferrent, et hiis ita coadunatis, urbem postea a nostris perscrutari, si quid amplius allati penes aliquos inventum* in cuius penatibus fuerit, dominum ipsius capite plectendum, et hoc modo omnes spoliatos extra urbem in pace dimittendos. Aperta itaque porta et ad hoc delectis data intrandi copia, Colonenses et Flandrenses argumentum fallendi callidum excogitantes, ut sui honoris causa preintrarent, a nostris impetrarunt. Accepta itaque huiusmodi licentia et preintrandi occasione, amplius ducentis ex eis cum denominatis supra subintrant, exceptis aliiis quos iam per muri ruinam que ex eorum patebat partibus intr miserant, nullo nostrorum nisi denominatis presume aditum. Precedente itaque archiepiscopo et coepiscopis cum domino crucis vexillo, 1 duces nostri una cum rege [144v] et qui ad hec fuerant delecti subintrantr.2

O quanta omnium leticia! O quanta omnium specialis gloria! O quanta pre gaudio et pietate lacrimarum affluentia, cum ad laudem et hominem Dei et sanctissime virginis Mariæ crucis salutifere vexillum in summa arce positum subacte in signum urbis ab omnibus videretur, precinente archiepiscopo et episcopis cum clero et omnibus, non sine lacrimis, admirabili iubilo Te Deum laudamus cum Asperges me,3 et orationibus devotis! Rex interim muros editoris castri pedes circuit.

* inventum is followed by f and an erasure of 7 millimetres. Apparently fuerit, which belongs after in cuius penatibus, was first written, and then not entirely erased.

1 See above, p. 156, note 1. 2 24 October.

2 The antiphon (from Psalms [Vulgate] 50: 9) intoned during the ceremony of aspersion with holy water, a rite of purification prescribed by the church for various occasions, such as the consecration and dedication of churches, the reconciliation of churches whose consecrated character has for any reason been lost, etc. See Liturgia, pp. 144-50, 157-59, 751-52, and passim. The more general aspersion here referred to seems to be unknown to ordinary liturgical practice.
the men of Cologne and the Flemings should enter the city before all the others, and without violence occupy the stronghold of the upper castle, in order that within the same the enemy might bring their money and possessions, acknowledged under oath, before our men, and that, after these things had all been collected, the city might be searched by our forces—if anything more should be found in anybody’s possession, the owner of the house in which it should be discovered was to be made to suffer for it with his head—and that in this manner the whole population, after it had been despoiled, should be released outside the walls. And so, the gate having been opened and an opportunity of entering obtained for those who had been chosen for the purpose, the men of Cologne and the Flemings, contriving deception by a clever argument, obtained the consent of our men that they should go in first for the sake of their honor. And when they had thus obtained permission and an opportunity of entering first, more than two hundred of them slipped in along with those who had been designated, as above mentioned, besides others whom they had already introduced through the breach in the wall which stood open on their side. But none of our forces presumed to enter, except those who had been designated. And so, the archbishop and his fellow bishops leading the way with a banner bearing the sign of the cross, our leaders, together with the king and those who had been chosen for the purpose, made their entry.

Oh, what rejoicing there was on the part of all! Oh, what especial pride on the part of all! Oh, what a flow of tears of joy and piety, when, to the praise and honor of God and of the most holy Virgin Mary, the ensign of the salvation-bearing cross was beheld by all placed upon the highest tower in token of the subjection of the city, while the archbishop and the bishops together with the clergy and all the people, not without tears, intoned with a wonderful jubilation the Te Deum laudamus together with the Asperges me and devout prayers! The king, meanwhile, made the circuit of the walls of the upper castle on foot.
 Colonenses igitur et Flandrenses, visis in urbe tot adminiculis cupiditatis, nullam iurisiurandi vel fidei religionem observant. Hinc illinc discurrunt; predas agunt; fores effringunt; penetralia cuiusque domus rimantur; cives proturbant, et contra ius et fas contumeliis afficiunt; vasa vestesque dissipant; in virgines contumeliose agunt; fas et nefas equipendunt; furtim omnia distrahunt\(^a\) quæ fieri omnibus communia debuerant. Episcopum vero civitatis antiquissimum,\(^1\) preciso iugulo, contra ius et fas occidunt. Ipsumque civitatis alcaiz, asportatis omnibus a domo sua, capiunt. Imentinam suam, de qua superius, ipse comes de Aerescot propriis manibus arripuit, eamque requisitus a rege et ab omnibus nostris in tanta obstinatione retinuit, ut diceret ipse alcaiz, quod iumentina sua sanguinem micturiens\(^b\) pullum perdidisset, actionis obscene callide imprimens vitium. Normanni vero atque Angli, quibus fides et religio maximo constabat, contemplantes quid huiusmodi portenderet actio, in loco denominato quieti sedebant, malentes observare manus ab omni rapina quam fidei et societatis coniuratae\(^c\) statuta violare, que res comitem de Aerescot et Christianum et eorum primarios maximo pudore suffudit, quorum iam evidenter iureiurando postposito nostris non permixta patebat cupiditas. Sed tandem in se reversi, precibus obnixis aput nostros impetraverunt, ut reliquas urbis partes nostri pariter cum suis pacifice ad partes adunarent, ut sic denique post portiones acceptas omnium, [145r] iniurias et subreptiones in pace discuti\(^d\) emendatum parati quod male presumpsissent.

\(^{a}\) *distrant.*  
\(^{b}\) *micturiens.*  
\(^{c}\) See above, p. 47.  
\(^{d}\) Reading doubtful; *discutiunt* may have been written first; then partly erased to change to *discutiunt*, and the correction never completed.
Thereupon the men of Cologne and the Flemings, when they saw so many temptations to greed in the city, observed not the bond of their oath or plighted faith. They rushed about hither and thither; they pillaged; they broke open doors; they tore open the innermost parts of every house; they drove out the citizens and treated them with insults, against right and justice; they scattered utensils and clothing; they insulted maidens; they made wrong equal with right; they secretly snatched away all those things which ought to have been made the common property of all the forces. They even slew the aged bishop of the city, against all right and decency, by cutting his throat. They seized the alcaide himself and carried everything out of his house. And his mare above mentioned, the count of Aerschot seized with his own hands, and at the demand of the king and of all our men that he give her up, he held on to her so obstinately that, because with an emission of blood she had lost her foal, the alcaide himself spoke out and branded the abominable action as disgusting. But the Normans and the English, for whom good faith and scruples of conscience were matters of the highest import, remained quietly at the posts to which they had been assigned, while they wondered what such an event might portend, preferring to keep their hands from all rapine rather than violate their engagements and the ordinances of the oath-bound association—an episode which covered the count of Aerschot and Christian and their principal followers with shame, since through the disregarding of their oath their unmixed greed now stood openly revealed to us. But finally having come to their senses, they obtained from us by insistent prayers that our men upon the same footing with theirs should peacefully bring together the remainder of the booty of the city with the portions already collected, in order that thus at last after shares had been apportioned to all, they might nullify the insults and the thefts in peace by being prepared to give satisfaction for what they had wrongfully taken in advance.
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Despoliatis igitur in urbe hostibus, a primo sabati mane\(^1\) per tres portas usque ad quartam feriam subsequentem in-desinenter exuntes visi sunt tanta* gentium multitudo ac si tota in ea Hyspania confluxisset. Compertum est deineps magne admirationis miraculum, quod ante\(^b\) urbis captionem per dies quindecim hostium cibaria fetore intolerabili ingusta-bilia sibi facta que postmodum nobis et ipsis grata accepta-que gustavimus. Spoliata igitur civitate, inventa sunt in fossis ad modum VIII. M. summarum\(^2\) tritici et hordei,\(^c\) olei autem ad modum XII. M. sextariorum.\(^3\) De ritu et eorum re-ligione que supra diximus oculis postmodum vidimus. Nam in eorum templo,\(^d\) quod VII. columnnarum ordinibus cum tot cumulis\(^e\) in altum consurgit, mortuorum cadavera ferme du-centa, exceptis languidis amplius octingentis, cum omni squa-lore et feditate sua in eo manentibus inventa sunt.

Capta vero urbe cum eam XVII. hebdomadibus\(^1\) obsedisse-mus,\(^4\) Succerienses, data munitione sui castri, regi se dedere. Castrum vero de Palmella a custodibus relictum, a rege vacuum suscipitur. Receptis igitur circumquaque munitioni-bus civitati pertinentibus, magnificatum est Francorum nomen per universas Hyspanie partes, irruitque timor super Mauros quibus verbum huius actionis divulgabatur.

Electus est subinde ad sedem pontificat\(u\) ex nostris Gis-lebertus Hastingensis,\(^5\) rege, archyepiscopo, coepiscopis, clericis,

---

\( ^{1} \) Visi sunt tanta written in different ink over an erasure of 4 centimetres.

\( ^{b} \) An erasure of 5 millimetres follows ante.

\( ^{c} \) Ordei.

\( ^{d} \) Templum.

\( ^{e} \) Stubbs, Itinerarium, Glossary, p. 454, and Hamilton, PMH, Scriptores, I, 405, have both read cumalis, but there is no doubt that cumulis is the correct reading.

\( ^{1} \) Epdomadibus.

\( ^{2} \) See above, p. 130, note 1.

\( ^{3} \) A sextarius, or sextar, was a measure of uncertain volume, perhaps containing between a pint and a quart.

\( ^{4} \) From Saturday, 28 June, when the fleet arrived at Lisbon, to Friday, 24 October, when the entry into the city occurred, was a day less than seventeen weeks. Stubbs, Itincomariun, p. clxxx, erroneously reads XVI for XVII.

\( ^{5} \) Bishop of Lisbon until his death which, according to Rodrigo da Cunha (Historia ecclesiastica da igreja de Lisboa, Lisbon, 1690, Pt. 2, fol. 73r), took place on 27 April, 1166. His election is noted and his talents are praised in the Indicul\(u\)m fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii, in PMH, Scriptores, I, 92. He is named as one of the witnesses of an early grant by King Affonso to the monastery of St. Vincent de Fora, ibid., p. 93.
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Accordingly, when the enemy within the city had been despoiled, from early Saturday morning until the following Wednesday so great a multitude of people was seen steadily filing out through three gates that it seemed as if all Spain had flowed together into it. Then we learned of a very wonderful miracle, namely, that for a fortnight before the capture of the city the victuals of the enemy became inedible on account of an intolerable stench, although afterwards they tasted agreeable and acceptable both to us and to them. And when the city had been ransacked, we found in the cellars as much as eight thousand seams\(^2\) of wheat and barley, and twelve thousand sextars\(^3\) of oil. Concerning their religion and their use, the things which we have said above we afterwards observed with our own eyes. For in their temple, which rises aloft on seven rows of columns surmounted by as many arches, we found almost two hundred corpses, besides more than eight hundred sick persons who were staying there in all their filth and squalor.

When the city had been taken after we had besieged it for seventeen weeks,\(^4\) the inhabitants of Cintra surrendered the stronghold of their castle and gave themselves up to the king. And the castle of Palmela, after it had been evacuated by its garrison, was occupied by the king while empty. And so, the strongholds appurtenant to the city in the surrounding country having been taken, the name of the Franks was magnified throughout all parts of Spain, and terror seized upon the Moors among whom tidings of this action were made known.

Then Gilbert of Hastings\(^5\) was chosen from among our

---

According to Cunha (op. cit., Pt. 2, fol. 69v) he was consecrated bishop by John, archbishop of Braga. Brandão (Brito, Monarchia Lusitana, Pt. 3, p. 238) gives, from the Livro da Sé de Braga, the text of his acknowledgment of subjection to the church of Braga and, from the same source, a record of the presence of his representative, Eldebreus, archdeacon of Lisbon, at a council held by the archbishop at Braga in 1148. He contested the ecclesiastical rights which the king had granted to the Templars at Santarém after its capture from the Moors in the spring of 1147, as is indicated by a note appended at the end of the king’s charter, dated April, 1147, in favor of the Templars: Marquis d’Albon, Cartulaire général de l’ordre du Temple, No. 439. His suc-
laicos omnibus electioni eius assensum prebentibus. Die vero qua omnium memoria sanctorum celebratur, ad laudem et honorem nominis Christi et sanctissime eius genitricis purificatum est templum ab archiepiscopo et coepiscopis quattuor et reparatur inibi sedes episcopatus, cum hiis castris et vicis subscriptis: trans Tagum, castro Alcacer, castro de Palmella, Elmada provintia; citra Tagum, castro Suchtrio, castro Scalphi, castro Lora. Sunt autem termini eius ab Alcacer castro usque ad castrum Lora, et a mari occidentali usque civitatem Eburensem.

Subsequuta est deinceps tanta Maurorum lues ut per here[m]i vestitates, per vineas et per vicos et plateas domorumque ruinas innumera cadaverum milia feris avibusque iacerent exposita, exanguibusque similes vivi super terram gradirentur, signumque crucis supliciter amplectentes deosularent, beatamque Dei matrem Mariam bonam predicarent, ut ad omnes actus vel sermones etiam in extremis agentes Mariam bonam, bonam Mariam intermiscerent, miserabilitque reclamarent. Et quid alius nobis hic iunctibus videri potest, nisi illud Ysaye vaticinium impletum in nobis cum

* An erasure of 2 centimetres follows usque.
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forces to be bishop, and the king, the archbishop, his fellow bishops, the clergy, and the laity all gave their assent to his election. And on the day on which the memory of All Saints is celebrated,¹ to the glory and honor of the name of Christ and of his most holy Mother, the temple was purified by the archbishop and his four fellow bishops,² and the episcopal see was restored therein, with jurisdiction over the following castles and villages: beyond Tagus, the castle of Alcácér do Sal, the castle of Palmela, the province of Almada; on this side of Tagus, the castle of Cintra, the castle of Santarém, the castle of Leiria. And its limits extend from Alcácér to Leiria, and from the western sea to the city of Évora.

Then there followed such a pestilence among the Moors that throughout the desert wastes, in vineyards, in villages, and squares, and among ruins of houses unnumbered thousands of corpses lay exposed to birds and beasts; and living men resembling bloodless beings went about the earth, and, grasping the symbol of the cross, they kissed it as suppliants and declared that Mary the Mother of God was good, so that in all their acts and speeches, even when already in extremis, they interspersed the words Maria bona, bona Maria, and cried out pitiably.³ And what else could occur to us as we wondered at these things than that the prophecy of Isaiah was happily being fulfilled in us in which it is said, "And the bridle

¹ 1 November. This is the latest date mentioned by this author, who gives no indication as to the sequel. According to Duodechin, after the winter had been passed in Lisbon the crusaders continued on their way to Jerusalem: "His ita feliciter gestis, nostri in eadem civitate usque ad Kalendas Februarii hiemaverunt; exinde per varia discrimina navigantes, sicut devoverant, ad dominicum sepulchrum pervenerunt." MGH, Scriptores, XVII, 28. That the king's bid for colonists met with some success is indicated by Helmond: "Factaque est illic cristicolarum colonia usque in presentem diem." Cronica Slavorum, p. 118. The northerners evidently remained for some time dominant in the monastery of St. Vincent de Fora at Lisbon. Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii, in PMH, Scriptores, 1, 93.

² John, archbishop of Braga, and presumably Peter, bishop of Oporto; Menendus, bishop of Lamego; Odorius, bishop of Viseu; and Gilbert of Hastings, bishop of Lisbon.

³ Evidently Mozarabs, as Herculano has said. Their bishop had been murdered during the sack of the city. See above, pp. 114–15 and note 2, pp. 176, 177.
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gaudio, quo dicitur, "Et frenum erroris quod erat in maxillis populorum versum est in canticum facte solemnitatis"?¹

Recolentes igitur nos tales fuisse gratias agamus Creatori, quod a servitio creature colla mentis excussimus. Nam dum freno erroris maxillas constricti laudem confessionis Deo dare nesciebamus; ergo dum confessionis laudem Deo reddimus in sanctificata solempnitate gaudemus. Respondeamus ergo moribus tante misericordie Redemptoris nostri, et quia lucem cognovimus, pravorum operum tenebras declinemus, praedictantes magnalia Dei que operari dignatus est in nobis. Tradidit enim Deus noster crucis adversaries in manibus nostris. Severissima namque super eos ultio divina adeo incubuit, ut dum urbem destructam castrumque eversum, agros depopulatos, terram* in solitudinem redactam, nullum in agris incolam, luctus gemitusque eorum conspicimus, vicis eorum et eventus malorum misereri libeat, condolerique et compati eorum infirmitatibus, et quod nondum finem habeant flagella celestis iusticie, certe quia nec inter nos Christianos etiam correcte sunt inter flagella actionis culpe. Dolendum et gaudendum est. Nam cum perversos quosque Deus omnipotens percutit, pereuntium miserie condolendum et iusticie iudicis congaudendum. Ergo nostrum quique semetipsum districte diiudicans, divina consideret iudicia, non solum ad vindictam malorum sed ad eruditionem bonorum facta, donis quosdam reficiens, alias flagellis erudiens. Non autem in iustificationibus nostris hostes prostravimus, sed in miseratione Dei multa.¹b Mentem ergo nostram donorum abundantia non elevet, nec nos habere quod alteri deest iactemus, nec hostium miseriam gloriam nostram existimemus, quos forsan miseria trahet ad gloriam, nos autem elatio ad miseriam; quos enim vult Deus indurat, et

¹ *eorum* written after *terram*, and then erased.

¹b The sentence *Non autem . . . Dei multa* is written before *donis quosdam reficiens alios flagellis erudiens*. The correct order is indicated by a superscribed *b*. before *Non autem* and a superscribed *a*. before *donis*. A peculiar sign resembling T is placed after *erudiens* to mark the end of the transposed text. This misplacement of the text perhaps accounts for the bad grammar of *reficiens* and *erudiens*, which, it seems, must agree with *iudicia*.

¹ Compare Isaiah 30: 28, 29.
of error that was in the jaws of the people was turned into the
song of a solemnity that was kept”?

Recalling then that we too have been such [namely, sinners]
let us give thanks to the Creator that we have released our
spiritual necks from servitude to the creature. For while the
jaws were constrained by the bridle of error, we knew not
how to render the praise of confession to God. Therefore,
when we render the praise of confession to God, we do rejoice
in a holy solemnity. Therefore, let us respond in our morals
to such mercy on the part of our Redeemer, and, because we
have known the light, let us turn away from the darkness of
evil deeds and proclaim the mighty works which he has deigned
to work through us. For our God has delivered the enemies
of the cross into our hands. And divine vengeance has pressed
upon them with such severity that, as we see the city in ruins
and the castle overthrown, the fields depopulated, the land
reduced to solitude, with no inhabitant in the fields, and as
we behold their mourning and lamentations, we are inclined
to feel pity for them in their vicissitudes and evil fortunes
and to suffer with them on account of their infirmities and to
feel sorry that the lashings of divine justice are not yet at an
end; and particularly are we moved to sorrow because not
even among us Christians have sins been corrected amid the
scourgings of this action. There is a necessity for both sorrow
and rejoicing. For when the omnipotent God strikes down
sinners, whoever they be, one must grieve for the sufferings
of the perishing yet rejoice at the justice of the judge. There-
fore, let each one of us, strictly judging himself, reflect that
divine judgments are rendered not only for the punishment
of the wicked but for the instruction of the good, encouraging
some with gifts, correcting others with scourges. Not in our
own righteousness have we overthrown the enemy, but through
the great compassion of God. Accordingly, let not the abun-
dance of his gifts arouse our pride, and let us not boast that
we have what another lacks, nor esteem as our glory the misery
of the enemy—whom perchance affliction will draw to glory,
but elation, us to affliction. For God hardeneth whom he will,
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quos vult ad [146r] misericordiam provehit;\(^1\) ut in Iob dicitur, "Ipso concedente pacem quis est qui condempnet? ex quo absconderit vultum suum quis est qui contempletur eum?"\(^2\) Nemo ergo discutiat cur stantibus nobis Christianis gentilitas hec in infirmitate succubuerit. Nemo discutiat cur alius venustetur ex dono, alter affligatur ex merito. Si enim miretur quis nos Christianos venustatos, "ipso concedente pacem quis est qui condempnet?" Si hostes consumptos obstupescit vel afflictos, "ex quo absconderit vultum suum, quis contempletur eum?" Itaque consilium summe et occulte virtutis sit satisfacito aperte rationis. Unde in evangelio Dominus cum de huiusmodi causa loqueretur, ait, "Confiteor tibi, Domine Pater celi et terre, quia abscondisti [hec] a sapientibus et prudentibus et revelasti ea parvulis."\(^3\) Atque mox tamquam rationem, quandam absconsionis ac revelationis adiungens, ait, "Ita, Pater, quoniam sic\(^*\) placitum fuit ante te."\(^4\) Quibus nimirum verbis exempla humilitatis accipimus, ne temere superna consilia discutiamus de aliorum electione et aliorum depressione. Videntes ergo sed non intelligentes divine animadversionis iudicium hostibus inculcatum, conscientie nostre immunditiam atque impuritatem consideremus, et cum timore et angustia spiritus dicamus Deo, "Parce iam, Domine, parce operi manuum tuarum. Quiescant, Domine, opera ire tue. 'Cesset iam manus tua, sufficit,'\(^5\) Domine. Iam vero iam satis est, quod hucusque adversus hos pro nobis decertasti. Sed convertatur potius si fieri potest luctus eorum in gaudium, 'ut cognoscant te solum Deum vivum et verum, et quem misisti Iesum Christum,'\(^6\) filium tuum, qui vivis et regnas per omnia seacula seculorum. Amen."

\(^*\) *Ita, Pater, quoniam sic* written over an erasure of 2 centimetres.

\(^1\) Compare Romans 9: 18.  \(^2\) Job 34: 29.
and on whom he will he hath mercy.\textsuperscript{1} As it is said in Job, "When he giveth quietness, who then can make trouble? and when he hideth his face, who then can behold him?"\textsuperscript{2} Therefore, let no one discuss why with us Christians standing erect these pagans have fallen down in sickness. Let no one discuss why one is made comely by divine grace while another is afflicted according to his deserts. For if anyone wonder that we Christians have been made comely, "When he giveth quietness, who then can make trouble?" If he confounds the enemy, wasted and afflicted, "When he hideth his face, who then can behold him?" And so let the counsel of the most high and hidden virtue be the satisfaction of open reason. In the Gospel, when a subject of this sort was under discussion, the Lord said, "I thank thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that thou didst hide these things from the wise and understanding, and didst reveal them unto babes."\textsuperscript{3} And presently, adding a certain explanation of the hiding and revealing, he said, "Yea Father, for so it was well-pleasing in thy sight."\textsuperscript{4} From which words, assuredly, we receive examples of humility, to the end that we should not rashly discuss the divine counsels concerning the election of some and the damnation of others. Observing, therefore, but not understanding, the judgment of divine chastisement imposed upon the enemy, let us consider the uncleanness and impurity of our own consciences, and with fear and anguish of spirit let us say unto God, "Spare now Lord, spare the work of thine hands. Lord, let the works of thy wrath be still. Lord, 'it is enough, stay now thine hand.'\textsuperscript{5} It is indeed enough that thou hast fought for us thus far against them. But rather, if it be possible, let their sorrow be turned into joy, 'in order that they may know thee, the only living and true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent,'\textsuperscript{6} even thy Son, who liveth and reigneth for ever and ever. Amen."

\textsuperscript{1} Matt. 11: 25. \textsuperscript{2} Matt. 11: 26. \textsuperscript{3} I Chronicles 21: 15. \textsuperscript{4} John 17: 3.
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OF UNUSUAL MEDIAEVAL WORDS OR OF COMMON WORDS APPEARING IN UNUSUAL FORMS OR USED IN UNUSUAL SENSES

Alcaiz, abl. alcaie, 94, 170, 176—an alcalde, or commander of a fortress among the Moors in Portugal and Spain
Area, ae, 118—an arena, perhaps an error for harena; cf. Sen. Ep. xxii. 1
Aurum, i, 94—a tax, or taxes in general
Balista, ae, 124, 160—a crossbow (or stonebow), or a missile shot from the same; also a crossbowman. See above, p. 125, note 3, p. 161, note 4.
Bustalium, i, 96—a gravestone
Caldeus (for Chaldaeus), in the phrase Lingua Caldea, 136—Arabic, or the language of the Moors in Portugal and Spain
Cattus, i, 160—a cat or penthouse for the protection of besiegers from missiles
Circo, ere, 174—to go around, to make a circuit of
Condoleor, eri (deponent form, for Condoleo), 182—to suffer with, to feel pity for
Convictualis, is, 142—a table companion
Convictus, us, 56—employ
Cumulus, i, 178—probably an arch; Stubbs (Itinerarium, p. 454) defined it as a cupola
Funda Balearica, 134, 142—a Balearic mangonel, a projectile-throwing machine of the high-trajectory type. See above, p. 135, note 3
Galeata, ae, 162—a galley
Garciones Fundiferi, 124—troops armed with slings
Hallo, 138—Allah, the god of the Muslims
Idolatria, ae (MS Idolatria, for Idololatria), 64—idolatry
Inexpio, are, in the gerundive form inexpiandus, 132—inexpiable.
Invivo, ere, 78—to live during a period of time (?); perhaps an error for vivo
Iumentina, ae, 170, 176—a mare
Mutuo, are, 144—to exchange
Pannusculus, i (for Panniculus), 104—a rag
Paululum, 136, 160, 166—gradually
Pedatica, ae, 112—a toll or custom, levied on ships and goods
Pomum Citreum (Ms Cetrium), 92—a citron (citrus medica); cf. the obsolete English pommeclere and pome-citron
Prenimius, 144—very intensive
Senatus, us, 166—authority or rule
Supermuralis, 162—upon a wall
Sus, is, 134—a siege-machine called a sow
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**Tugurium**, ii, 146, 160—a penthouse or mantlet made of interwoven branches

**Tysis**, is (for Phthisis), 92—consumption

**Venio**, ire, 106—to become; apparently equivalent to Devenio

**Vibraculum**, i, 166—a flash or ray or beam or gleam (used of sunlight breaking through clouds)

**Worma**, ae, 66—scarlet cloth (?); see above, p. 66, note 2
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Mediaeval names of persons are arranged alphabetically under the English form of the Christian name, mediaeval place names alphabetically under the modern form. Bibliographical citations are, with rare exceptions, to the first or main reference only.

Abd-ar-Rahman I, caliph of Cordova, 116
Abraham, 80, 81
Abu Muhammad (Abbatis Machumatus)
Sidrey ibn Wasir, lord of Évora and Beja, 136, 137, 138, 139
Acre (Syria), 16, 55
Adam, the first man, 130, 151
Adam of Bremen, *Gesta Hammaburgensis ecclesiae porticuam*, ed. J. M. Lappenberg, 16
Aerschot (Brabant), 53; *see also* Arnold, count of
Aethelred the Redless, king of England, 14, 15
Afflighem, abbey of, 53
Affonso Henriques, or Affonso I, king of Portugal, 13, 15, 16, 37, 42, 43, 50, 68, 69, 87, 95-115 *passim*, 126, 127, 138-42 *passim*, 164, 165, 168-75 *passim*, 178-81 *passim*
Africa, 90, 94, 102, 103
Ageianus, *see* Dacian
Aigrain, R., editor, *see* Liturgia
Alberic of Monte Cassino, 44
Albert of Aix, 21, 22, 23, 25; *Liber Christianae expeditionis*, 21
Albon, Marquis d’, editor, *see* Cartulaire général de l’Ordre du Temple
Alcácer do Sal (district of Lisbon), 17, 180, 181
Alcube, or Moorish governor, of Lisbon, 114, 115, 170, 171, 172, 176, 177
Alchüet, *see* Alcoça
Alcoçã, 88, 89
Alföldi, A., “The Helmet of Constantine,” 176
Alfonso I, king of Aragon, 18
Alfonso III, king of Asturias, 60
Alfonso VI, king of Castile, 18
Alfonso IX, king of Leon, 63
Alice, daughter of Hervey de Glanvil, 56
Allah, 138, 139
All Saints, 180, 181
Almada (Elmada), 90, 91, 94, 95, 140, 141, 142, 143, 166, 167, 180, 181
Almeida, Fortunato de, *Historia da igreja em Portugal*, 85
Almocavár, or Moorish burying ground, 127
Almoravides, 19, 68
Amadeus III, count of Maurienne and Savoy, 112
Ambrose, Saint, 78, 79
Amiens, 59
*Analectes pour servir à l’histoire ecclésiastique de la Belgique*, 53
Ananias (Annania), 82, 83
Andalusia, 96
Andreas de Londinio, 56
Andrew Buccuinte (*Bucca Uncta*), citizen of London, 56
Andrew of London, 56, 57
Anglo-Normans, 42, 43, 128
Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, 8
Anglo-Saxon chronicler, 4
*Annales Cambriae*, ed. John Williams ab Ithel, 7
*Annales Complutenses*, 67
*Annales de Waterlia*, 7
*Annales sancti Disibodi*, ed. Georg Waitz, 49
*Anonymi tractatus theologicus*, 28
Antioch (Syria), 6, 7
Antwerp, 23, 25
Apostle (James, author of Epistle of James), 148, 149; *see also* James, Saint, apostle to Spain
Aquila, 10
Aragon, 76, 77
Archelle (Seine-Inférieure), 57
Archier, T. A., 22
Archers (*archieri*), 128, 129, 160, 161
Arnold I, count of Aerschot, 54
Arnold II, count of Aerschot, 53
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Arnold III, count of Aerschot, 52, 53, 54, 84, 85, 170, 171, 172, 173, 176, 177
Arnold, Thomas, editor, see Henry of Huntingdon, Historia Anglorum
Arnulf, bishop of Lisieux, 6
Arnulf, Flemish priest, letter of, 49
Arnulf of Heiden, 5, 11
Arques (Seine-Infrérieure), 57, 58
Arras, 59
Arsuf (Palestine), 22
Artabrum, 67
Arsuf, 174, 175
Aspersion with holy water, 146, 147, 174
Association of crusaders, 13, 98, 99, 104, 105, 166, 167, 176, 177
Atlantic Ocean, 92, 93
Augustine, Saint, 80, 81
Augusto Ferreira, J., Memorias arqueológico-historicas ... do Porto, 67; Porto, origens historicas, 67
Ave, river, 66, 67
Aymery Picaud, 110
Azevedo, P. de, editor, see Herculano, A., Historia de Portugal

Baldwin I, king of Jerusalem, 21, 24, 25
Balearica Maior, 58, 59
Balearic Islands (Baleares), 66, 67, 86, 87
Balearic mangonels (fundae Balearicae), 134, 135, 142, 143, 162, 163
Ballard, A., British Borough Charters, 59
Bancroft, E., Experimental Researches concerning the Philosophy of Permanent Colors, 66
Barbarities of crusaders, 140, 141
Bardsey Abbey, 7
Battle of clouds above Cintra, 88–91
Bawdsey (Suffolk), 44
Baynes, N. H., “Constantine the Great,” 156
Bay of Biscay Pilot, see U.S. Hydrographic Office
Bayona Islands, see Cies Islands
Beatus manuscript of Osma, 87
Bédier, J., Legendes épiques, 116
Beja, 137
Berger, Élie, editor, see Recueil des actes de Henri II
Berlinges, see Burling Islands
Bernard, Saint, abbot of Clairvaux, 8–9
Bernard, maritime crusader from Flanders, 23
Bernard Witarzh, maritime crusader from Galicia, 21
Bernhardi, W., Konrad III, 50
Bertulf, privi de Bruges, 54
Biblioteca Nacional de Lisboa, Guia de Portugal, 51
Bidez, J., editor, see Philostorgius, Kirchengeschichte
Bijdragen tot de Geschiedenis bijzonderlijk van het aloude Hertogdom Brabant, 53
Bijdragen voor de Geschiedenis van het Bisdom van Haarlem, 53
Blessed bread (panis benedictus, pain bénit), 134, 135
Bohemond, prince of Antioch, 9, 10
Bolonenses, see Boulogne, men of
Boniface, Count, 82, 83
Book of St. James, 116
Borsiard, or Burchard, nephew of Bertulf, 54
Boulogne (Pas-de-Calais), 14; men of, 54, 55, 104, 105
Brabant, 53
Bradshaw, Henry, editor, see Statutes of Lincoln Cathedral
Braga (Bracara), 66, 67, 179; archbishop of, see John Peculiar; archbishop of Braga
Brandão, Antonio, 87, 179
Bretons, 104, 105, 140, 141
Bridge, stone at Corunna, 64, 65
Brief des kölnischen Priesters Winand, ed. Ernst Dümmler, 49
Brigantia, 66, 67
Bris托, John, see Corunna
Bristol, 13, 16; men of (Bristowenses), 42, 104, 105; renegade priest of, 166, 167
Britain, 62, 63, 64, 65
British Admiralty Charts, 65, 66
British Ocean, 5
Brito, Bernard de, Monarchia Lusytana, 87
Britany, 58, 59
Bucer, Martin, 29
Buggenhout (East Flanders), 53
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Burchard, see Borsiard
Burling Islands (Berlinges), 86, 87

Cadiz, 86
Cadiz, Ocean of, 92, 93
Caduva, see Cavado
Caen, 102
Caesar, Julius, 62, 63
Cale, 67

Calendar of the Charter Rolls Preserved in the Public Record Office, 55
Campia, 66, 67
Campolide (Compolet), beside Lisbon, 94, 95

Carton, Charles, editor, see Chronicon et cartularium abbatiae S. Nicolai Furnensis
Cartulaire de l'abbaye d'Afflighem, ed. Edgar de Marneffe, 53
Cartulaire général de l'Ordre du Temple, ed. Marquis d'Albon, 8-9
Castilho, Julio de, Lisboa antiga, 51
Castro, J. B. de, Mapa de Portugal, 180
Castrum Scalaphium, see Santarém
Cávado (Caduva) River, 66, 67

Cellars (fossae) at Lisbon for the storage of foodstuffs, 130, 131, 178, 179

Charles the Good, count of Flanders, 54

Charter et documents de l'abbaye de Saint-Pierre au Mont Blandin à Gand, ed. A. van Lokeren, 54

Cheney, C. R., 44

Choques (Pas-de-Calais), 22
Christian of Ghistelles, 54, 55, 84, 85, 172, 173, 176, 177

Christiana, 21, 114, 115, 182, 183, 184, 185
Chronica Gothorum, 16, 21, 50

Chronica regia Colonensis, ed. Georg Waitz, 58
Chronicles of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II, and Richard I, 6

Chronicon et cartularium abbatiae S. Nicolai Furnensis, ed. Ferdinand van de Putte and Charles Carton, 54

Chronicon Hanoniense, 54
Chronicon monasterii Aldenburgensis maius, ed. Ferdinand van de Putte, 54
Chronicon Valassense, ed. F. Somménil, 5

Chrysostom, On Matthew, 80, 81
Churches built by the crusaders at Lisbon, 132, 133

Cies Islands, 65

Cintra (Suchtria or Suchtrium), 38, 88, 89, 92, 93, 94, 95, 138, 139, 178, 179, 180, 181
Clergy, 174, 175, 180, 181

Clermont, council of, 157
Codera, Francisco, Decadencia y desaparición de los Almoravides, 138

Codex Calixtinius, 116
Coimbra (Coimbra), 88, 89
Coleman, C. B., Constantine the Great and Christianity, 157


Colomyria, see Coimbra
Commander (rector) of the king's galley, killed, 162, 163

Commerce, early English, 14-15, 22-23

Commissioners (legatarii), sent to parley with the enemy, 114, 115; return without results, 124, 125

Compolet, see Campolide


Conspiracy among seamen at Lisbon, 166, 167

Constables (constabularii) of the crusading forces, 54, 55, 56, 57, 126, 127, 128, 129

Constantine the Great, emperor, 156, 157

Cook, A. S., editor, see Old English Elene


Cooper, C. P., Account of the Most Important Public Records, 44

Corova, 117


Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, 26, 28, 29, 44

Corunna, 63


Corunna Peninsula, 63

Cosack, Ulrich, Eroberung von Lissabon, 40, 50

Councils, see Clermont, Toledo


Crawford Collection of Early Charters and Documents, ed. A. S. Napier and W. H. Stevenson, 55

Cross, Invention of the, see Helena


Cross-bowmen (balistae), 160, 161

Cruina, see Corunna

Crusades, attitudes of France and England towards, 3-26
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Crusading forces, organization of, 52-57
Ctesiphon, 116, 117
Cunha, Rodrigo da, Catalogo dos bispos do Porto, 87; Historia ecclesiastica da igreja de Lisboa, 178
Cuno, abbot of Disibodenberg, 49
Curia Regis Rolls, 55
Cynewulf, Elene, 157
Cyprus, island, 7, 24
Dacian (Ageianus), 96, 116, 117
Dagobert, patriarch of Jerusalem, letter of, 24
Damascus (Syria), 13
Danube River, 156
Darbo (province of Pontevedra), 18
Dartmouth (Devonshire), 52, 53, 54, 58, 98, 99
Daveau, J., “Excursions aux Iles Berlingas et Farilhões,” 86
David, king of Israel, 158, 159
David, C. W., Robert Curthose, Duke of Normandy, 5
Deben River, 44
De expugnatione Lyxbonensi, 3, 4, 12, 13, 16, 17, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 39, 40, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 60, 63
De situ et insulis Frestunum, 63
De situ et insulis navali... ed. Costanzo Gazzeri, 52
Delisle, Léopold, editor, see Recueil des actes de Henri II; Robert of Torigny, Chronique
Demer River, 53
Denizli, see Laodicea-ad-Lycum
Denmark, 16, 23
Dertemüller, see Dartmouth
Detlefse, D., Anordnung der geographischen Bücher des Plinius, 92
Devil, 150, 151; Old Enemy, 166, 167
Dexter, false, 88
Diego Gelmírez, bishop of Santiago, 18
Dieppe, 57
Dijon, abbot of Saint-Bénigne of, 9
Dillon, T. J., Travels through Spain and Portugal, 66
Diocletian, emperor, 96, 117
Discipline, ordinances for maintaining, 56-59
Documents Illustrative of the Social and Economic History of the Daneslaw, ed. F. M. Stenton, 57
Domesday Survey, 55
Donatus, disciple of St. James, 88, 89, 116, 117
Donatus, martyr of Concordia, 88
Douro (Doyra) River, 16, 66, 67, 87, 88; salubrious sands in, 68, 69
Dozy, R., Recherches sur l'histoire et la littérature de l'Espagne, 15
Duchesne, André, Histoire généalogique des maisons de Guines, d'Arden, de Gand, et de Coucy, 54
Dugdale, William, Monasticon Anglicanum, 6
Dümmler, Ernst, editor, see Brief des kölnischen Priester Winand
Duodechin, priest of Lahnstein, 39, 54; letter of, 49
Durand, U., see Martène, E., and U. Durand
East Anglia, 13, 40
East Atlantic Pilot, see U.S. Hydrographic Office
Edgar Aetheling, 5, 24
Edmund Ironside, 24
Edwards, Edward, editor, see Liber monasterii de Hyda
Ekkehard, Hierosolymita, ed. H. Hagenmeyer, 22
Eldebreus, archdeacon of Lisbon, 179
Elene, see Cynewulf
Elijah (Helia), 82, 83
Elmada, see Almada
El Peon, 61
Encyclopaedia universal illustrata, 59
Engineer (artifex) of the Anglo-Norman forces, 162, 163
England, 3, 10, 11, 12, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 100, 103, 157, 180
English, 14, 15, 17, 20, 132, 133, 140, 141, 142, 143, 146, 147, 170, 171, 176, 177
English Channel, 26
Erichtrea, see Erythraea
Erythraea, 86, 87
Espiga, 61
Este River, 67
Eulogia, 134
Euphrasius, 116, 117
Eusebius, bishop of Caesarea, Historia ecclesiastica, 157; Vita Constantini, 156, 157
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Évora, 180, 181; lord or king of, see Abu Muhammad

Faro, see Hercules' Tower

Farrer, William, Early Yorkshire Charters, 55; Honors and Knights Feats, 55

Feira (district of Aveiro), 87

Ferdinand II, king of Leon, 63

Fernández Duro, C., Marina de Castilla, 18

Fernández-Guerra, A., see Fita, F., and A. Fernández-Guerra

Fernando Captivo, 43, 112, 113, 164, 165

Fernando Menéndez, 112, 113

Férotin, Marius, Liber ordinum, 96

Ferrol (province of Corunna), 63

First Crusade, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, 23, 24, 25, 54, 110

Fita, F., and A. Fernández-Guerra, Recuerdos de un viaje a Santiago, 64

Flamba, island, see Tamba

Flanders, 14, 16, 23, 25, 58

Flandrenses, see Flemings


Flemish Hanse of London, 15

Florence of Worcester, 4

Flórez, Enrique, España sagrada, 18; editor, see Morales, Ambrosio de, Viaje

Foppens, J. F., editor, see Miraeus, Albertus, Opera diplomatica

Fourth Council of Toledo, see Toledo

France, 3, 4, 9, 58, 59

Franks, 3, 68, 69, 110, 111, 132, 133, 136, 137, 170, 171, 178, 179

Freeman, E. A., Reign of William Rufus, 24

Fernando Captivo, see Fernando Captivo

Fernando Menendéz, see Fernando Menéndez

Frías, 14, 25

Fulcher of Chartres, Historia Hierosolimitana, 4, 22

Gades, island, 87

Gaditanus Oceanus, see Cadiz, Ocean of

Galbert of Bruges, Histoire du meurtre de Charles le Bon, ed. H. Pirenne, 54

Galicia, 18, 21, 76, 77, 116

Gallegans, 160, 161, 162, 163

Gallic Ocean, 92, 93

Gams, P. B., Kirchengeschichte von Spanien, 64

Gauzon, castle, see Gózón

Gazzera, Costanzo, editor, see De iis inere navali

Geographical observations, 62, 63, 64–69, 86–89, 90–97

George, count of Wied, 58

Gerard de Glanvill, 44

Germany, 58, 59

Gervase de Cornhill, son of Roger “nepos Huberti,” 7

Gesta Del per Francos, 4

Gesta regis Henrici secundi, ed. William Stubbs, 58

Gesta Stephani regis Anglorum, 5, 6

Giants, 154, 155

Gilbert I of Ghent, restorer of Bardney Abbey, 7

Gilbert II of Ghent, benefactor of Rufford Abbey, 57

Gilbert of Hastings, bishop of Lisbon, 17, 178–181

Gilbert of Kent, 180

Giles, J. A., translator, 8

Giry, A., Histoire de la ville de Saint-Omer, 58

Glanville, see Alice; Gerard; Guia; Hervey; John; Osbert; Ranulf

Glanville-Richards, W. U. S., Records of the House of Glanville, 55

Gloucester, 7

Gocelin de Seusa, 112, 113

Gocelino Sotheri, 112, 113

Godfrey I, duke of Lower Lotharingia, 52, 53, 54

Godfrey III, duke of Lower Lotharingia, 54

Godfrey, son of Arnold II, count of Arleschot, 53

Godfrey of Bouillon, crusader, 54

Godric, Saint, hermit of Finchale, 22–23

Godric, a pirate of England, 22

Gonçalo de Sousa, maior domus of King Affonso Henriqueis, 113

Gonsalvo Rodrigues, 112, 113

Gonsalvo de Sousa, see Gonçalo de Sousa

Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge, 28

González Llanos, Manuel, Monografía de Gózón, 61
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Gosuinus, “De expugnatione Salaciae carmen,” 58
Gozón (province of Oviedo), 60, 61, 62
Gregory the Great, Pastoral Care, 30
Guard of King Asfonso Henríques (presidii regis), 128, 129; of the Anglo-
Norman siege tower, 162–165; of the western suburb, 128, 129
Guia, daughter of Hervey de Glanvill, 56
Guia de Portugal, see Biblioteca Nacional de Lisboa
Guillelmuus Anglicus, 7
Guinemer of Boulogne, 25
Gulf of Alexandretta, 25
Guia, daughter of Hervey de Glanvill, 56

Hagenmeyer, Heinrich, “Chronologie du royaume de Jérusalem,” 22; editor, see Ekkehard, Fulcher of Chartres, Kreuzugsbriefe
Hainault, 54
Hall, Hubert, editor, see Red Book of the Exchequer
Hallos, see Allah
Hamilton, N. E. S. A., 32, 48; editor, see William of Malmesbury, Gesta pontificum
Hamtownense, see Southampton, men of Hardewerk of Westphalia, 21
Harding of England, 21
Harold, king of England, 24
Hart, W. H., editor, see Historia et cartularium S. Petri Glouchestriae
Hastings, battle of, 24; men of, 16, 102, 103
Hefele, C. J. von, Histoire des conciles, 118
Helena, mother of Constantine, invention of the cross by, 156
Helia, see Elijah
Helmond, Cronica Slavorum, ed. B. Schneider, 50
Henry I, king of England, 7, 8, 9, 10, 56
Henry II, king of England, 14, 101, 102
Henry, bishop of Liège, 53
Henry of Huntingdon, 4, 5, 12, 14; Historia Anglorum, 50
Herculanum, Alexandre, Historia de Portugal, ed. D. Lopes and P. de Azevedo, 51
Hercules’ Tower (province of Corunna), 63
Hervey, Francis, editor, see Pinchebeck Register
Hervey de Glanvill, 13, 34, 37, 40, 42, 43, 44, 54, 55, 56, 57, 96, 97, 104, 105, 164, 165, 168, 169
Hildebert of Lavardin, De mysterio missae, 26, 27, 28
Historia Compostellana, 18, 19
Historia et cartularium monasterii S. Petri Glouchestriae, ed. W. H. Hart, 7
Historia Francorum qui exeriperunt Jerusalem, see Raymond of Aguilers
Historia Hierosolymitana, see Fulcher of Chartres
Hofmeister, A., editor, see Otto, bishop of Freising, Chronica
Höhlbaum, Konstantin, 14
Holy Land, 5, 24
Household officials (domus procuratoris) of the king of Portugal, 140, 141
Howlett, R., editor, see Gesta Stephani regis Anglorum
Huia Point, 65
Hunter, Joseph, editor, see Pipe Roll of 31 Henry I; Pipe Rolls of 2–3–4 Henry II
Hybernia, see Ireland
Hydefonxus or Hyldefonxus, see Affonso Henriques, king of Portugal
Hyspania, see Spain
Iberian Peninsula, 11
Ibn al-Athir, Kamel-Altevarykh, 22
Indalecius, 116, 117
Indiculum fundationis monasterii beati Vincentii Ulxbone, 50
Iohannes Anglicus, 7
Ipswich (Suffolk), 41, 160, 161
Ireland, 62, 63, 157
Iria Flavia, see Padron
Isaiah (Isaya), 180, 181
Isidore, bishop of Seville, 80, 81, 118, 119, 125
Italy, 9, 156
Itinerarium peregrinorum et gesta regis Ricardi I, ed. William Stubbs, 48
Ivo of Grandmesnil, 6–7, 10, 11
Jaffa (Palestine), 21, 22, 23, 24
James, Saint, apostle to Spain, 116, 117; Book of, 116; disciples of, 64, 88, 116, 117; see also Saint James, church or shrine of
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XIV. The Correspondence of Pope Gregory VII: Selected Letters from the Registrum. Translated with an introduction by Ephraim Emerton. xxxi + 212 pages. $3.50.

XV. The Literature of the New Testament. By Ernest Findlay Scott. xiii + 312 pages. $3.00.

XVI. The Book of Delight, by Joseph Ben Meir Zabara. Translated by Moses Hadass, with an introduction by Merriam Sherwood. xi + 203 pages. $3.25.

XVII. Cato the Censor on Farming. Translated by Ernest Brehaut. xli + 156 pages, illustrations. $3.75.


XX. The Earliest Norwegian Laws. Translated, with introduction, annotations, and glossary, by Laurence M. Larson. xi + 451 pages, maps. $5.00.

XXI. The Chronicle of the Slavs, by Helmod. Translated with introduction and notes by Francis Joseph Tschan. vii + 321 pages, map. $4.00.

XXII. Concerning Heretics; an Anonymous Work attributed to Sebastian Castellio. Now first done into English by Roland H. Bainton. xiv + 342 pages, illustrations. $4.00.

XXIII. The Conquest of Constantinople, from the Old French of Robert of Clari. Translated by Edgar H. McNeal. vii + 150 pages, map. $2.75.

XXIV. De expugnatione Lyxbonensi (The Conquest of Lisbon). Edited from the unique manuscript in Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, with introduction, notes, and an English translation, by Charles Wendell David. xii + 201 pages, maps, illustrations. $3.75.

FORTHCOMING VOLUMES

Abelard: Sic et non. By Richard McKeon, Dean of the Division of the Humanities, University of Chicago, and Mary Sweet Bell.

Correspondence of Bishop Boniface. By Ephraim Emerton, late Professor Emeritus, Harvard University.

English Translations from Medieval Sources: A Bibliography. By Austin P. Evans, Professor of History, Judith Bernstein, and Clarissa P. Farrar, Columbia University.

Erasmus: Education of a Christian Prince. By Lester K. Born, Assistant Professor of Classics, George Washington University.

Eudes of Rouen: The Diary of a Bishop. By Sidney M. Brown, Professor of History, Lehigh University.


Medieval Universities and Intellectual Life. By Lynn Thorndike, Professor of History, Columbia University.

Orosius: Seven Books of History against the Pagans. By Irving W. Raymond, Assistant Professor of History, Columbia University.

Pascal: On the Equilibrium of Fluids. By I. H. B. Spiers, Professor of French, and Frederick Barry, Associate Professor of the History of Science, Columbia University.

Philip de Novare: History of the War between Frederick II and the Ibelins in Syria and Cyprus. By John L. La Monte, Assistant Professor of History, University of Cincinnati.


William of Tyre: History of Things Done in the Lands Beyond the Sea. By Mrs. Emily Atwater Babcock, Instructor in Latin, and A. C. Krey, Professor of History, University of Minnesota.
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